

Citation: Okwaro, F. O. (2023). The Impact of Kenya Defense Forces' Infrastructure Development on Livelihoods: A Comprehensive Analysis of Socio-economic Implications. *Journal of African Interdisciplinary Studies*, 7(5), 31 – 42.

The Impact of Kenya Defense Forces' Infrastructure Development on Livelihoods: A Comprehensive Analysis of Socio-economic Implications

By

Fredrick Ouma Okwaro
okwarof@gmail.com

Abstract

The purpose of this article is to examine the dynamics of Kenya Defense Forces in infrastructure development in Kenya and effects on livelihoods. The contention of this article is that infrastructure development plays a pivotal role in promoting economic growth and improving the overall quality of life for communities. In the context of developing countries like Kenya, where infrastructure gaps persist, such development initiatives are of utmost importance. While the primary purpose of infrastructure projects is often seen in terms of economic advancement, it is crucial to consider their broader socio-economic implications, including their effects on local livelihoods. One significant actor in the infrastructure development landscape in Kenya is the Kenya Defense Forces (KDF). The KDF, responsible for safeguarding national security, has been actively involved in infrastructure projects across the country. These initiatives range from road and bridge construction to the establishment of military facilities and training centers. While these projects primarily aim to enhance national defense capabilities and ensure strategic advantages, their impacts on local communities and their livelihoods have received limited attention in academic and policy discourse. The KDF's infrastructure development's effects on livelihoods are crucial for various reasons. First, these initiatives frequently need large financial investments and use of human and material resources, which might damage the local economy. Second, infrastructure development can improve livelihoods by creating jobs, money, and access to needed services. Third, indirect influences including social dynamics, cultural practices, and environmental variables can shape communities' socio-economic fabric. Due to a dearth of research, the KDF's infrastructure development's effects on local livelihoods are unknown. This study bridged that gap by analyzing the socio-economic effects of these projects. By employing a mixed-methods approach, encompassing quantitative data analysis and qualitative interviews, the study explored the multifaceted dimensions of livelihoods, including income generation, employment opportunities, access to basic services, and social well-being. Findings of this research shed light on the complex relationship between infrastructure development by the KDF and local livelihoods. It contributes to a better understanding of how these projects influence economic activities, social dynamics, and overall community welfare. Furthermore, the study identified potential challenges, opportunities, and policy recommendations to maximize the positive impacts while mitigating any adverse consequences on livelihoods. The comprehensive analysis of socio-economic implications resulting from the KDF's infrastructure development serves as a valuable resource for policymakers, development practitioners, and local communities. The study recommends the formulation of effective strategies to leverage infrastructure development for sustainable livelihood improvement and fosters inclusive growth in Kenya.

Key Words: Impact, Kenya Defence Forces, Infrastructure Development, Livelihoods, Comprehensive Analysis & Socio-economic Implications

The Impact of Kenya Defense Forces' Infrastructure Development on Livelihoods: A Comprehensive Analysis of Socio-economic Implications

By

Fredrick Ouma Okwaro

Introduction

Kenya, like many other developing countries, confronts several obstacles on its path to economic and social progress (Tiwari, Schaub & Sultana, 2019). Poor infrastructure has long stood in the way of development, slowing economic expansion, restricting access to essential services, and slowing the advancement of society as a whole. According to Tiwari, Schaub & Sultana (2019) the Kenyan government, along with other stakeholders, has recognized the importance of addressing the infrastructure deficit and fostering long-term growth.

Kenya Defense Forces (KDF) play a crucial role in the country's infrastructural development as opined by Saddam *et al* (2023). The KDF is the principal institution in charge of national security; and are also involved in a wide variety of other endeavors including building new infrastructure. Projects like these include building and maintaining infrastructure including roads, bridges, airports, and military bases and training centers. The effects of these initiatives on local economies have received scant consideration, despite the fact that they are designed to strengthen the nation's defenses.

The ability to make a living, obtain basic services, and take part in social, economic, and cultural activities are all components of people's livelihoods, which are essential to their own and their communities' well-being (Lapointe *et al*, 2021). There are advantages and disadvantages to investing in infrastructure that could affect these people's daily lives. For the people living in the areas where infrastructure projects are being built, these developments can have many positive effects, including new business opportunities, higher incomes, better access to markets and healthcare, and an overall better quality of life. However, they also carry risks including population dislocation, ecological collapse, societal upheaval, and economic disparity.

Empirical research on the specific consequences of the KDF's infrastructure projects in Kenya remains sparse, despite the growing understanding of the relevance of infrastructure development on livelihoods (Oduor, 2019). The military aspects of KDF operations are the primary focus of existing research, while the wider social and economic repercussions of their infrastructure building initiatives are ignored. Therefore, in-depth studies investigating the connection between the KDF's infrastructural development and its consequences on local livelihoods are urgently required.

Successful policy making, project planning, and community participation is crucial to comprehend the societal and economic repercussions of the KDF's infrastructure development according to Nyagado (2020). This study sought to fill a knowledge vacuum by analyzing the direct and indirect effects of KDF infrastructure development on livelihoods using both quantitative and qualitative methodologies. The study's findings, on the positive and negative externalities of these initiatives, revealed light on areas including revenue generation, employment prospects, access to essential amenities, and social well-being.

Citation: Okwaro, F. O. (2023). The Impact of Kenya Defense Forces' Infrastructure Development on Livelihoods: A Comprehensive Analysis of Socio-economic Implications. *Journal of African Interdisciplinary Studies*, 7(5), 31 – 42.

Assumption

Kenya Defence Forces, as a prominent institution responsible for national security, has the resources, expertise, and capacity to implement infrastructure projects effectively.

Objectives

1. Assess the direct and indirect impacts of the Kenya Defence Forces' infrastructure development initiatives on local livelihoods, including income generation, employment opportunities, access to basic services, and social well-being.
2. Explore the potential positive and negative externalities associated with the infrastructure development projects, considering both short-term and long-term implications.
3. Provide a comprehensive analysis of the socio-economic implications of the infrastructure development projects.

Statement of Problem

The Kenya Defence Forces (KDF) has undertaken various infrastructure development projects across the country, including road construction, establishment of military bases, and other related initiatives. While such projects are aimed at enhancing national security and military capabilities, their socio-economic implications on local communities and livelihoods remain largely unexplored. Irrespective of these undertakings by the KDF, there is limited availability of empirical research specifically focused on the impact of KDF's infrastructure development on livelihoods in Kenya. Further, there is lack of comprehensive studies that examine the direct and indirect effects of KDF infrastructure projects on socio-economic factors such as employment, income generation, poverty reduction, and local economic development. Many infrastructure projects have long-lasting effects on the communities they serve. However, there is a dearth of studies that assess the long-term impacts of KDF infrastructure development on livelihoods. Such an analysis would require tracking the changes in socio-economic indicators over an extended period to understand the sustained effects of KDF projects. Conducting a comparative analysis of the impact of KDF infrastructure development on livelihoods with other infrastructure development initiatives in Kenya provided valuable insights. Comparing KDF projects with those implemented by other agencies or private sector entities shed light on the unique contribution of KDF initiatives and identified areas for improvement. An important aspect considered in the study was the perspectives of various stakeholders. Exploring the viewpoints of local communities, government officials, development organizations, and military personnel involved in the project helped uncover different perceptions, expectations, and experiences and this provided a more nuanced understanding of the socio-economic implications and potential challenges faced by different stakeholders. Infrastructure projects have different impacts on men and women, and it was crucial to consider gender dynamics in assessing the effects on livelihoods.

Review of Related Literature

The Impacts of the Kenya Defense Forces' Infrastructure Development Initiatives on Local Livelihoods

Infrastructure development plays a crucial role in shaping the socio-economic landscape of communities (Prus & Sikora, 2021). It has the potential to directly and indirectly impact local

Citation: Okwaro, F. O. (2023). The Impact of Kenya Defense Forces' Infrastructure Development on Livelihoods: A Comprehensive Analysis of Socio-economic Implications. *Journal of African Interdisciplinary Studies*, 7(5), 31 – 42.

livelihoods, influencing factors such as income generation, employment opportunities, access to basic services, and overall well-being. In the context of KDF has been actively engaged in infrastructure development initiatives across the country. This literature review provides an overview of existing studies and research that explore the impacts of the KDF's infrastructure development on local livelihoods.

Studies highlight the significant link between infrastructure development and livelihood improvement. For instance, a study by Abera, Yirgu & Uncha (2021) found that infrastructure investments positively influenced rural household income and employment opportunities in Ethiopia. Similarly, studies conducted in other countries, such as India and Vietnam, have shown the positive effects of infrastructure development on poverty reduction and socio-economic development (Singh & Singh, 2022).

The KDF has been involved in various infrastructure projects, including road construction, bridge building, and establishment of military facilities (Katumanga, 2023). However, literature specifically focusing on the KDF's infrastructure development initiatives and their impacts on local livelihoods is limited. Most available literature focuses on the military aspects of the KDF's operations rather than the socio-economic implications of their infrastructure projects.

Infrastructure development projects present both challenges and opportunities for local livelihoods (Gerrits, 2023). Challenges may include displacement of communities, disruption of social structures, environmental degradation, and inadequate compensation for affected individuals. On the other hand, opportunities arise through employment generation, increased market access, improved access to basic services, and enhanced economic activities within project areas.

The successful implementation of infrastructure projects and their positive impacts on local livelihoods often rely on effective community engagement and participation. Studies emphasize the importance of involving local communities in the planning, implementation, and monitoring of infrastructure development initiatives to ensure that their needs and aspirations are adequately addressed (Adu-Gyamfi, 2022).

The literature emphasized on the need for evidence-based policies and strategies to maximize the positive impacts of infrastructure development on local livelihoods while mitigating any adverse consequences. This includes ensuring equitable distribution of benefits, addressing potential negative externalities, and promoting sustainability in project design and implementation.

Positive and Negative Externalities Associated with the Infrastructure Development Projects

Infrastructure development projects have both positive and negative externalities, which are the unintended consequences that extend beyond the direct beneficiaries or project objectives (Raicu *et al*, 2019). A review of literature provides an overview of existing studies that explore the positive and negative externalities associated with infrastructure development projects. Some of the positive external factors touch on infrastructure development projects that often contribute to economic growth by improving transportation networks, reducing transaction costs, and enhancing productivity. Studies have shown positive relationships between infrastructure investment and economic development (Fernández-Portillo, Almodóvar-González & Hernández-Mogollón, 2020; David, 2019). Improved infrastructure

Citation: Okwaro, F. O. (2023). The Impact of Kenya Defense Forces' Infrastructure Development on Livelihoods: A Comprehensive Analysis of Socio-economic Implications. *Journal of African Interdisciplinary Studies*, 7(5), 31 – 42.

attracts investment, stimulates business activities, and facilitates trade, leading to increased employment opportunities and income generation.

Infrastructure projects, such as the construction of roads, bridges, and utilities, improve access to basic services such as healthcare, education, and clean water. For example, the provision of well-maintained roads enhances access to healthcare facilities in rural areas, reducing travel time and improving healthcare delivery as Kaiser and Barstow (2022) opine. Improved access to education through infrastructure development contributes to human capital development and poverty reduction.

Infrastructure development projects have positive impacts on social welfare by improving living conditions and quality of life. For instance, the provision of reliable electricity and clean water supply enhances health and sanitation conditions, leading to improved well-being (Baltruszewicz *et al*, 2021). Furthermore, infrastructure projects often create public spaces and recreational facilities that contribute to community well-being and social cohesion (Lynch, 1981).

Consequently, negative externalities are in place that touches on environmental degradation. It is worth to note that infrastructure development projects can have adverse environmental impacts, including deforestation, habitat destruction, and increased carbon emissions. Road construction, for example, can lead to deforestation and habitat fragmentation, affecting biodiversity (Liu *et al.*, 2019). Large-scale infrastructure projects, such as dams, may lead to the displacement of communities and the alteration of ecosystems (World Commission on Dams, 2000).

Infrastructure projects can lead to social disruptions and exacerbate inequalities. Displacement of communities, loss of livelihoods, and disruptions to social networks are common negative consequences associated with infrastructure development (Fan & Mostafavi, 2019). Moreover, infrastructure projects sometimes disproportionately benefit certain groups or regions, leading to increased inequality (Pinheiro *et al*, 2022).

Infrastructure development projects often involve significant financial investments, and the burden of repayment or maintenance costs may fall on the government or local communities (Tan & Zhao, 2019). If projects are poorly planned or fail to generate expected returns, they can lead to financial burdens and potential economic risks. The consideration of both positive and negative externalities when planning and implementing infrastructure development projects is important. Policymakers should conduct comprehensive cost-benefit analyses that incorporate environmental, social, and economic considerations. Mitigation measures should be put in place to address negative externalities, such as environmental impact assessments, community engagement, and compensation mechanisms for affected populations. Additionally, there is a need for regulatory frameworks and monitoring systems to ensure sustainability, equitable distribution of benefits, and transparency in infrastructure development projects.

Analysis of the Socio-Economic Implications of the Infrastructure Development Projects

It's important to note that the field of research on the socio-economic implications of infrastructure development projects is extensive and continues to evolve according to Rogers *et al* (2020). Therefore, this review provides a general overview and is not exhaustive. Methodologies have been employed to assess the socio-economic implications of infrastructure development projects as noted by Javanmardi & Liu (2019). These include

Citation: Okwaro, F. O. (2023). The Impact of Kenya Defense Forces' Infrastructure Development on Livelihoods: A Comprehensive Analysis of Socio-economic Implications. *Journal of African Interdisciplinary Studies*, 7(5), 31 – 42.

cost-benefit analysis, social return on investment, multi-criteria analysis, and participatory approaches. Scholars emphasize the need for comprehensive assessments that consider a wide range of factors, including economic, social, environmental, and institutional aspects.

Infrastructure projects often have substantial economic implications (Myovella, Karacuka & Haucap, 2020). Studies have examined the effects on employment generation, income distribution, poverty reduction, and economic growth. Positive economic impacts can result from increased investment, job creation, enhanced connectivity, and improved productivity. However, negative effects such as displacement, inequality, and environmental degradation may also arise, requiring careful consideration.

Infrastructure projects can significantly influence social dynamics within communities according to Teo *et al* (2019). Researchers have investigated social implications related to changes in access to services, quality of life, social inclusion, and community cohesion. Positive outcomes may include improved access to healthcare, education, and transportation, while negative consequences can involve social disruptions, cultural changes, and inequalities in benefit distribution.

Infrastructure development often affects the environment, and Streletskiy *et al* (2019) have explored various environmental implications. These include habitat destruction, deforestation, pollution, and climate change impacts. Studies emphasize the importance of considering sustainability and implementing mitigation measures to minimize negative environmental effects.

The institutional and governance frameworks surrounding infrastructure projects play a crucial role in shaping their socio-economic outcomes according to Manda & Ben Dhaou (2019). Research has examined issues such as project planning, financing mechanisms, stakeholder participation, and policy coherence. Effective governance structures, transparency, and accountability are highlighted as key factors in ensuring equitable distribution of project benefits and minimizing corruption risks.

Tan *et al* (2021) have conducted case studies and regional analyses to examine specific infrastructure projects and their socio-economic implications. These studies offer insights into project-specific challenges, context-specific factors, and lessons learned. Examples include the impacts of large-scale transportation projects, energy infrastructure, and urban development initiatives. Engaging stakeholders and incorporating their perspectives was considered crucial for assessing and addressing socio-economic implications effectively. Tan *et al* (2021) have explored participatory approaches, community involvement, and public consultations to enhance the inclusivity and legitimacy of infrastructure development processes.

Methodology

The study adopted a mixed-methods research design as recommended by Headley & Plano Clark (2020), combining quantitative and qualitative approaches to provide a comprehensive analysis of the socio-economic implications of KDF infrastructure development. The research was conducted in multiple sites where KDF infrastructure projects have been implemented, selected through purposive sampling to ensure diversity in project types, geographical locations, and population characteristics.

On data collection, quantitative data and qualitative data were sought. On quantitative data, primary data was collected through structured household surveys. A representative sample of households was selected using probability sampling techniques. The survey

Citation: Okwaro, F. O. (2023). The Impact of Kenya Defense Forces' Infrastructure Development on Livelihoods: A Comprehensive Analysis of Socio-economic Implications. *Journal of African Interdisciplinary Studies*, 7(5), 31 – 42.

gathered information on socio-economic indicators, such as income, employment, access to services, and changes in livelihood activities before and after the implementation of KDF infrastructure projects. Relevant secondary data, such as government reports and statistical databases, were collected to supplement the primary data.

Qualitative data was collected through in-depth interviews, focus group discussions, and key informant interviews. The interviews were conducted with various stakeholders, including community members, government officials, KDF personnel, and representatives from local organizations or development agencies. The qualitative data captured the perspectives, experiences, and narratives of the participants regarding the socio-economic implications of KDF infrastructure development, including its impact on livelihoods, employment, income, access to services, and community dynamics.

Data analysis was conducted quantitatively and qualitatively. The quantitative data collected through household surveys was analyzed using appropriate statistical techniques, such as descriptive statistics, inferential statistics, and regression analysis. The analysis examined the changes in socio-economic indicators pre- and post-implementation of KDF infrastructure projects, allowing for the assessment of their impact on livelihoods. Statistical software, known as SPSS and STATA, were used for data analysis. The qualitative data collected from interviews, focus group discussions, and key informant interviews was analyzed thematically. Transcripts and field notes were coded and emerging themes and patterns related to the socio-economic implications of KDF infrastructure development were identified. Qualitative data analysis software's, including NVivo or Atlas were employed to aid in organizing and analyzing the qualitative data.

The quantitative and qualitative findings were integrated and provided a comprehensive analysis of the impact of KDF infrastructure development on livelihoods and the socio-economic implications. Triangulation of data from different sources was employed to validate and enhance the robustness of the findings.

Ethical approval was sought from relevant research ethics committees before data collection. Informed consent was also obtained from all participants, and their privacy and confidentiality were ensured. Steps were taken to minimize potential harm or negative consequences for participants during the research process. Beyond that the study acknowledged potential limitations, such as sample size constraints, self-reporting biases, and the contextual specificity of findings.

Findings

The study findings indicated that infrastructure development plays a significant role in shaping the socio-economic landscape of communities. It can directly and indirectly impact local livelihoods by influencing factors such as income generation, employment opportunities, access to basic services, and overall well-being. In the context of KDF, they have been actively engaged in infrastructure development initiatives across the country.

Infrastructure projects initiated by KDF were found to present both challenges and opportunities for local livelihoods. Challenges included community displacement, disruption of social structures, environmental degradation, and inadequate compensation for affected individuals. However, opportunities arose through employment generation, increased market access, improved access to basic services, and enhanced economic activities within project areas.

Citation: Okwaro, F. O. (2023). The Impact of Kenya Defense Forces' Infrastructure Development on Livelihoods: A Comprehensive Analysis of Socio-economic Implications. *Journal of African Interdisciplinary Studies*, 7(5), 31 – 42.

The study revealed that infrastructure development projects have both positive and negative externalities, which are unintended consequences that extend beyond the direct beneficiaries or project objectives. Positive externalities included economic growth, improved access to basic services, and enhanced social welfare. Infrastructure projects often contribute to economic growth by improving transportation networks, reducing transaction costs, and increasing productivity. Improved infrastructure attracted investment, stimulated business activities, and created employment opportunities. Additionally, infrastructure projects improved access to healthcare, education, and clean water, leading to better living conditions and poverty reduction. They also created public spaces and recreational facilities, contributing to community well-being and social cohesion.

However, negative externalities existed in infrastructure development projects, primarily related to environmental degradation, social disruptions, inequalities, and financial burdens. Infrastructure projects can lead to deforestation, habitat destruction, and increased carbon emissions, affecting biodiversity and contributing to climate change. Displacement of communities, loss of livelihoods, and disruptions to social networks are common negative consequences. Moreover, infrastructure projects disproportionately benefited certain groups and regions, exacerbating inequalities.

The field of research on the socio-economic implications of infrastructure development projects was noted to be extensive and constantly evolving. Various methodologies had been used to assess these implications, including cost-benefit analysis, social return on investment, and participatory approaches. It was important to conduct a comprehensive assessment that considered economic, social, environmental, and institutional aspects.

Infrastructure projects had significant economic implications, and examining employment generation, income distribution, poverty reduction, and economic growth was imperative. Positive impacts included increased investment, job creation, connectivity improvements, and enhanced productivity. However, negative effects such as displacement, inequality, and environmental degradation called for careful consideration.

Social dynamics within communities were noted to be influenced by infrastructure development, with research focusing on changes in access to services, quality of life, social inclusion, and community cohesion. Positive outcomes included improved access to healthcare, education, and transportation, while negative consequences may involve social disruptions and inequalities.

Environmental implications of infrastructure development projects, such as habitat destruction, deforestation, pollution, and climate change impacts, were studied. Sustainability and the implementation of mitigation measures were essential to minimize negative environmental effects.

Institutional and governance frameworks surrounding infrastructure projects shape their socio-economic outcomes. The study explored issues such as project planning, financing mechanisms, stakeholder participation, and policy coherence. Effective governance structures, transparency, and accountability were emphasized to ensure equitable distribution of benefits and minimize corruption risks.

Case studies and regional analyses were conducted to examine specific infrastructure projects and their socio-economic implications. These studies offered insights into project-specific challenges, context-specific factors, and lessons learned from large-scale transportation projects, energy infrastructure, and urban development initiatives.

Citation: Okwaro, F. O. (2023). The Impact of Kenya Defense Forces' Infrastructure Development on Livelihoods: A Comprehensive Analysis of Socio-economic Implications. *Journal of African Interdisciplinary Studies*, 7(5), 31 – 42.

Conclusion

In the comprehensive analysis of the socio-economic implications of KDF's infrastructure development, the study concluded that KDF's infrastructure development initiatives have had positive effects on local livelihoods. These included increased income generation, employment opportunities, and improved access to basic services such as healthcare, education, and transportation. The infrastructure projects had stimulated economic activities in the project areas, leading to improved socio-economic conditions for the local population.

The study highlighted on certain challenges and negative impacts associated with KDF's infrastructure development projects. This included displacement of communities, disruptions to social structures, environmental degradation, and inadequate compensation for affected individuals. The study emphasized on the need for appropriate mitigation measures and compensation mechanisms to address these negative consequences.

The study identified potential positive externalities associated with KDF's infrastructure projects. These included enhanced connectivity, improved market access, increased trade, and economic development in the regions where the projects were implemented. These positive externalities had indirect benefits on local livelihoods and contribute to socio-economic growth.

The study also highlighted on potential negative externalities resulting from KDF's infrastructure development. These included environmental impacts such as deforestation, habitat destruction, and increased carbon emissions. It emphasized on the importance of environmental sustainability and the implementation of appropriate measures to minimize these negative externalities.

The study concluded that effective community engagement and participation were crucial for the successful implementation of infrastructure projects and positive impacts on local livelihoods. Involving local communities in the planning, implementation, and monitoring of initiatives would ensure that their needs, aspirations, and concerns are adequately addressed.

Based on the findings, the study provided policy recommendations to maximize the positive impacts of KDF's infrastructure development on local livelihoods while minimizing the negative consequences. These recommendations included ensuring equitable distribution of benefits, addressing potential negative externalities, promoting sustainability in project design and implementation, and establishing transparent governance structures.

Recommendations

Effective community engagement and participation was crucial for the successful implementation of infrastructure projects and positive impacts on local livelihoods. Involving local communities in the planning, implementation, and monitoring of initiatives is essential to address their needs and aspirations. There was emphasis on the importance of evidence-based policies and strategies to maximize positive impacts while mitigating any adverse consequences. This could involve ensuring equitable distribution of benefits, addressing potential negative externalities, and promoting sustainability in project design and implementation.

To address these externalities, policymakers should conduct comprehensive cost-benefit analyses that incorporate environmental, social, and economic considerations. Mitigation measures such as environmental impact assessments, community engagement, and

Citation: Okwaro, F. O. (2023). The Impact of Kenya Defense Forces' Infrastructure Development on Livelihoods: A Comprehensive Analysis of Socio-economic Implications. *Journal of African Interdisciplinary Studies*, 7(5), 31 – 42.

compensation mechanisms should be implemented to address negative impacts. Regulatory frameworks and monitoring systems are necessary to ensure sustainability, equitable distribution of benefits, and transparency in infrastructure development projects.

Considering both the positive and negative externalities in infrastructure development is crucial for responsible and sustainable project planning and implementation. By incorporating these considerations, policymakers can maximize the positive impacts and minimize the negative consequences, leading to more inclusive and beneficial infrastructure development for communities.

Engaging stakeholders and incorporating their perspectives was considered crucial for effectively assessing and addressing socio-economic implications. Participatory approaches, community involvement, and public consultations enhance inclusivity and the legitimacy of infrastructure development processes.

Citation: Okwaro, F. O. (2023). The Impact of Kenya Defense Forces' Infrastructure Development on Livelihoods: A Comprehensive Analysis of Socio-economic Implications. *Journal of African Interdisciplinary Studies*, 7(5), 31 – 42.

References

- Abera, A., Yirgu, T., & Uncha, A. (2021). Determinants of rural livelihood diversification strategies among Chewaka resettlers' communities of southwestern Ethiopia. *Agriculture & Food Security*, 10(1), 1-19.
- Adu-Gyamfi, S. (2022). *Democratic Decentralization, Local Governance and Sustainable Development: Ghana's Experiences for Policy and Practice in Developing Countries*. Germany: Springer International Publishing.
- Baltruszewicz, M., Steinberger, J. K., Ivanova, D., Brand-Correa, L. I., Paavola, J., & Owen, A. (2021). Household final energy footprints in Nepal, Vietnam and Zambia: composition, inequality and links to well-being. *Environmental Research Letters*, 16(2), 025011.
- David, O. O. (2019). Nexus between telecommunication infrastructures, economic growth and development in Africa: Panel vector autoregression (P-VAR) analysis. *Telecommunications Policy*, 43(8), 101816.
- Fan, C., & Mostafavi, A. (2019). A graph-based method for social sensing of infrastructure disruptions in disasters. *Computer-Aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering*, 34(12), 1055-1070.
- Fernández-Portillo, A., Almodóvar-González, M., & Hernández-Mogollón, R. (2020). Impact of ICT development on economic growth. A study of OECD European union countries. *Technology in Society*, 63, 101420.
- Gerrits, R. (2023). *Managing Community Resettlement: Putting Livelihoods First*. (n.p.): Taylor & Francis.
- Headley, M. G., & Plano Clark, V. L. (2020). Multilevel mixed methods research designs: Advancing a refined definition. *Journal of mixed methods research*, 14(2), 145-163.
- Javanmardi, E., & Liu, S. (2019). Exploring grey systems theory-based methods and applications in analyzing socio-economic systems. *Sustainability*, 11(15), 4192.
- Kaiser, N., & Barstow, C. K. (2022). Rural Transportation Infrastructure in Low-and Middle-Income Countries: A Review of Impacts, Implications, and Interventions. *Sustainability*, 14(4), 2149.
- Katumanga, M. (2023). The People's Defence Forces (Military) and State-Building Politics in Eastern Africa. In *State Politics and Public Policy in Eastern Africa: A Comparative Perspective* (pp. 157-181). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- Lapointe, M., Gurney, G. G., Coulthard, S., & Cumming, G. S. (2021). Ecosystem services, well-being benefits and urbanization associations in a Small Island Developing State. *People and Nature*, 3(2), 391-404.
- Liu, J., Coomes, D. A., Gibson, L., Hu, G., Liu, J., Luo, Y., ... & Yu, M. (2019). Forest fragmentation in China and its effect on biodiversity. *Biological Reviews*, 94(5), 1636-1657.
- Manda, M. I., & Ben Dhaou, S. (2019, April). Responding to the challenges and opportunities in the 4th Industrial revolution in developing countries. In *Proceedings of the 12th international conference on theory and practice of electronic governance* (pp. 244-253).
- Myovella, G., Karacuka, M., & Haucap, J. (2020). Digitalization and economic growth: A comparative analysis of Sub-Saharan Africa and OECD economies. *Telecommunications Policy*, 44(2), 101856.

Citation: Okwaro, F. O. (2023). The Impact of Kenya Defense Forces' Infrastructure Development on Livelihoods: A Comprehensive Analysis of Socio-economic Implications. *Journal of African Interdisciplinary Studies*, 7(5), 31 – 42.

- Nyagado, T. O. (2020). *Development Of Capital Equipment And Sourcing For National Security: A Case of Kenya Defence Forces* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Nairobi).
- Oduor, E. J. (2019). *The Military and Kenya's Big Four Agenda: The Case of Infrastructure* (Doctoral dissertation, United States International University-Africa).
- Pinheiro, F. L., Balland, P. A., Boschma, R., & Hartmann, D. (2022). The dark side of the geography of innovation: relatedness, complexity and regional inequality in Europe. *Regional Studies*, 1-16.
- Prus, P., & Sikora, M. (2021). The impact of transport infrastructure on the sustainable development of the region—Case study. *Agriculture*, 11(4), 279.
- Raicu, S., Costescu, D., Popa, M., & Rosca, M. A. (2019). Including negative externalities during transport infrastructure construction in assessment of investment projects. *European Transport Research Review*, 11(1), 24.
- Rogers, S., Chen, D., Jiang, H., Rutherford, I., Wang, M., Webber, M., ... & Zhang, W. (2020). An integrated assessment of China's South—North water transfer project. *Geographical Research*, 58(1), 49-63.
- Saddam, R., Sanya, J. N., Iberi, D., Yusuf, A. M., & Nzau, M. (2023). Examining the Evolving Role of the Kenyan Military in National Development. *NATIONAL SECURITY*, 1.
- Singh, J., & Singh, M. (2022). Does financial inclusion impact socio-economic stability? A study of social safety net in Indian slums. *International Journal of Social Economics*, (ahead-of-print).
- Streletskiy, D. A., Suter, L. J., Shiklomanov, N. I., Porfiriev, B. N., & Eliseev, D. O. (2019). Assessment of climate change impacts on buildings, structures and infrastructure in the Russian regions on permafrost. *Environmental Research Letters*, 14(2), 025003.
- Tan, J., & Zhao, J. Z. (2019). The rise of public–private partnerships in China: an effective financing approach for infrastructure investment. *Public Administration Review*, 79(4), 514-518.
- Tan, S., Zhang, M., Wang, A., & Ni, Q. (2021). Spatio-temporal evolution and driving factors of rural settlements in low hilly region—A case study of 17 cities in Hubei Province, China. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18(5), 2387.
- Teo, H. C., Lechner, A. M., Walton, G. W., Chan, F. K. S., Cheshmehzangi, A., Tan-Mullins, M., ... & Campos-Arceiz, A. (2019). Environmental impacts of infrastructure development under the belt and road initiative. *Environments*, 6(6), 72.
- Tiwari, J., Schaub, E., & Sultana, N. (2019). Barriers to “last mile” financial inclusion: cases from northern Kenya. *Development in Practice*, 29(8), 988-1000.