

Variation in *in vitro* Fumonisin B₁ Production by Different *Fusarium verticillioides* Isolates in Kenya

¹A.E. Alakonya, ¹E.O. Monda and ²S. Ajanga

¹Kenyatta University, Plant and Microbial Sciences, P.O. Box 43844, Nairobi, Kenya

²Kenya Agricultural Research Institute, P.O. Box 169, Kakamega, Kenya

Abstract: Several *Fusarium verticillioides* isolates from different maize growing regions in Kenya were isolated and evaluated for their ability to produce fumonisin B₁ (FB₁). The toxin was quantified using a directly competitive ELISA method. There were differences in the ability of the various isolates to produce FB₁. Six isolates of *F. verticillioides* from every region produced varying amounts of FB₁ *in vitro*. The overall mean FB₁ level in positive isolates was 1513.3 µg kg⁻¹. Of all the isolates used in the study only 26% did not produce detectable levels of FB₁, whereas 74% produced varying amounts of FB₁ between 69 to >5000 µg kg⁻¹. Within every given region there was variation in the ability *F. verticillioides* isolates to produce FB₁. Isolates from Malava, Tongaren and Kakamega showed great variation among themselves. All isolates from Kitale, Tongaren, Kakamega and Embu produced detectable levels of FB₁. This data puts to rest the speculation that *F. verticillioides* isolates from Kenya may be low FB₁ producers. Kenya and most countries that allow free movement of maize need to reconsider their free domestic movement policy in order to avoid introduction of prolific isolates in otherwise 'pest free' areas.

Key words: *Fusarium verticillioides* • Ear rot • Maize • Mycotoxins • ELISA • Kenya

INTRODUCTION

Maize (*Zea mays* L.) is a staple food crop in Kenya. The crop is grown on about 1.4 million hectares that yield an estimated 28 tonnes annually [1]. Despite increasing maize demand in Kenya, its production is on the decline due to drought, low soil fertility, pests and diseases [1-4]. One of the main concerns is maize ear rot disease which not only causes rotting of maize but also contaminate it with mycotoxins. The major and dominant ear rot fungi in Kenyan maize is *Fusarium verticillioides* [2,5,6]. The fungi is the most important producer of fumonisins, a group of mycotoxins that have the ability to cause equine leukoencephalomalacia, pulmonary edema, human esophageal cancer and rat liver cancer [7-9]. In Kenya, mycotoxicoses recurs in certain areas indicating some existence of a conserved factor (s) to such areas. Given that most maize varieties are widely planted and their seed are supplied by the same companies we thought that the role of Agro-ecological zone on the ability of isolates to produce FB₁ should be investigated. The purpose of this study was therefore to determine if difference (s) exists in the FB₁ production ability of various *F. verticillioides* isolates from different agro-ecological zones in Kenya.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sample Collection and Preparation: Maize samples were collected from Kakamega, Shikoti, Tongareni, Kitale, Embu, Kitui and Malava. A half a kilogram of maize sub-samples was collected from ten farmers from each site and constituted to form a sample. They were then transported in cotton bags to prevent moisture migration and heating. The moisture content was determined using a moisture meter and where it fell above 13%, the samples were dried till moisture content reduced to between 11-13%. Moisture content below 13% prevents growth of saprophytic fungi and hence maintains the integrity of the sample. The sample from each region was divided into three portions equally using a Pascal's Cascade Rotary Divider (Model 1) with a medium cone cap. A third of the sample was kept at KARI-Kakamega laboratory and another third was kept at Kenyatta University as a reference sample the third was used for isolation of the different *F. verticillioides* isolates at Kenyatta University research laboratory.

Isolation and Identification of *F. verticillioides*: The *F. verticillioides* isolation was on PCNB agar medium that

is a selective medium for isolation of *Fusarium* species. An isolation method by Castella *et al.* [10] was used. It involves dipping seeds in 70% ethanol then surface sterilizing in 1% sodium hypochloride for two minutes before rinsing twice in sterile distilled water and drying between sterile filter papers. Five seeds were then plated on PCNB media in triplicates and incubated at 25°C for five days. The colonies of observed fungal growth were sub-cultured on agar agar media till a pure culture of suspected *F. verticillioides* isolates were obtained. It is important to carry out sub culturing on agar than other rich media to avoid loss of FB₁ producing abilities of the isolates [11]. The *F. verticillioides* suspected colonies were then sub-cultured on Sucrose Nutrient agar placed under Non Ultra Violet light of alternating 12 hours of light and darkness for seven days. Pink coloured cultures were then selected. The cultures were viewed by cutting 1 cm² of SNA with the fungal colony and mounting directly on the slide with a drop of water and cover slip (SNA is transparent) then confirmed according to Booth, [12] and Nelson *et al.* [13]. After confirmation single conidial isolation was done onto slants for every *F. verticillioides* isolates followed by storage at 20°C to await FB₁ production evaluation.

Evaluation of FB₁ Production Ability by Different *F. verticillioides* Isolates: Each *F. verticillioides* isolate was cultured on 2 plates of Potato Dextrose agar 25°C for 10 days. Conidia of different *F. verticillioides* isolates were suspended in sterile water and 50 ml of 10⁷ spores/ml inoculated on moistened corn (200 g of kernels and 200 ml of sterile water) in half litre conical glass jars previously autoclaved at 121°C for one hour on each of the two consecutive days to ensure they were sterile and could not harbor ear rot fungi. Cultures were then incubated in the dark with shaking in process for 28 days at 25°C. The cultures were then dried at 45°C for 72 hours. The dry sample was ground fine using a coffee blender with ethanol cleaning between samples and stored at 0°C until analysis. Each one of the maize cultures was then assayed for FB₁ by Enzyme Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) on microtiter plates. The FB₁ standard toxins were purchased from Sigma Chemicals USA. Preparation of toxin-Horseradish Peroxidase conjugate was by periodate method. Summarily the immunogen was coupled to keyhole limpet hemocyanin via glutaoaraldehyde reaction and coated to micro titer plates where toxin levels were determined based on absorbance at 450 nm as described by Usleber, *et al.* [14] and Gathumbi *et al.* [15]. Each sample was analysed in duplicate and the average calculated. The detection limits for the toxin was 10 µg kg⁻¹ to 5000 µg kg⁻¹.

RESULTS

Variation in the ability of *F. verticillioides* isolates to produce FB₁ was quite high and is summarized in Table 1. The six isolates from every region produced varying amounts of FB₁ *in vitro*. For Kitale FB₁ level ranged from 89 µg kg⁻¹ to 878.5 µg kg⁻¹ with a mean of 406 µg kg⁻¹. One of the isolates from Tongaren did not produce detectable levels of FB₁ whereas the positive samples produced FB₁ in the range of 140.5 µg kg⁻¹ and 4423 µg kg⁻¹. The mean level of FB₁ was 1154.7 µg kg⁻¹. All isolates from Kakamega produced detectable levels of FB₁ ranging from 116.5 µg kg⁻¹ to 3606 µg kg⁻¹. The mean FB₁ level was 962.8 µg kg⁻¹. Three isolates from Kitui did not produce detectable FB₁ amounts. Those that produced ranged between 69.0 µg kg⁻¹ and 754.5 µg kg⁻¹. The mean FB₁ levels for Kitui isolates was 357.5 µg kg⁻¹. Two Malava isolates did not produce detectable levels of FB₁, where as the lowest FB₁ level was 147.0 µg kg⁻¹ and the highest was greater than 5000 µg kg⁻¹. The mean FB₁ level in positive isolates was 1513.3 µg kg⁻¹. All Embu isolates were positive with a range of 116.5 to 1014.5 µg kg⁻¹. Only one isolate from Shikoti produced FB₁ of 124.5 µg kg⁻¹. Of all the isolates used in the study, only 26% did not produce detectable levels of FB₁ whereas 74% produced varying amounts of FB₁. Within a given region variation in the ability of FB₁ was evident especially for Malava, Tongaren and Kakamega isolates (Table 1). All isolates from Kitale, Tongaren, Kakamega and Embu produced detectable levels of FB₁.

DISCUSSION

Mycotoxins are fungal metabolites capable of having acute toxic, carcinogenic, mutagenic, teratogenic, immunotoxic and oestrogenic effects to man and animals [10]. Common mycotoxins in maize include aflatoxins, fumonisins, moniliformin, deoxynivalenol and zearalenone. *Fusarium verticillioides* is the leading fungal species in producing fumonisins that have been shown to cause equine leukoencephalomalacia, pulmonary edema, human esophageal cancer and rat liver cancer [16]. The data on FB₁ production by *Fusarium verticillioides* isolates from Kenyan maize revealed wide spread producibility of the toxin by this domestic isolates. This was comparable to those reported by Castelia *et al.* [10], Leslie *et al.* [17] and Platter *et al.* [18] but deviated by being higher than those recorded by Lee *et al.* [14]. Results from this study refute earlier claims that *Fusarium verticillioides* isolates from Kenya may be low FB₁ producers Kederu *et al.* [20]. In some cases large differences in FB₁ production was observed among isolates recovered from the same region

Table 1: Fumonisin B₁ levels produced by different *F. verticillioides* isolates

Isolate No.	Source of maize used to isolate <i>F. verticillioides</i> and amount of FB ₁ produced						
	Kitale	Tongaren	Kakamega	Kitui	Malava	Embu	Shikoti
C001	754.5	336.0	3606.0	754.5	ND	ND	ND
C002	143.0	ND	761.5	ND	ND	ND	ND
C003	301.0	140.5	1014.5	248.5	>5000	>5000	124.5
C004	272.5	165.0	116.5	69.0	147.0	147.0	ND
C005	878.5	4423.0	154.5	ND	709.0	709.0	ND
C006	89.0	709.0	123.5	ND	197.0	197.0	ND
Mean	406.4	1154.7	962.8	357.3	1513.3	1513.3	124.5

¹Numbers are in $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$. ²Mean is average for FB₁ regions positive isolates. ³ND means FB₁ absent or level below 10 $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$

showing that studies aiming to test a single *Fusarium verticillioides* isolate per maize sample or any other unit may be misleading because the isolate that may be implicated to produce the FB₁ level in the sample or responsible for a disease due to consumption of a maize sample may not be the one isolated. The study has also established that different *Fusarium verticillioides* isolates are capable of producing significant quantities of FB₁ and this isolates are not limited/confined to a given region of the country however the ability of these isolates to produce FB₁ in the field need to be investigated further with emphasis on the diversity of the climates in the maize growing areas of Kenya with the aim of putting in place measures that can prevent introduction of prolific strains to areas that don't have them. Based on this FB₁ levels in *in vitro* it is obvious that regardless of the mechanism of managing ear rot applied, *Fusarium* resistance in maize gotten through resistance breeding seems to be a worth option in reducing FB₁ hazard in Kenya. Alternatively, genetic engineering of maize with antifungal genes targeting ear rot fungi may be promising.

In sub Saharan Africa the diet is mainly maize based with a 400 g average daily intake per person. In Europe the daily intake is 10 g per person [12]. Though difficult to estimate we think the toxigenic isolates can be able to produce FB₁ beyond levels acceptable by regulatory bodies worldwide. In Asia maximum acceptable limit for FB₁ range between 5 to 35 $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$, Latin America ranges between 2 to 35 $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ where as North America ranges between 0 and 5 $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$ [16]. The detection limitations of our protocol could not establish if the 11 that tested negative isolates produced any amount of the toxin (between 0 and 10 $\mu\text{g kg}^{-1}$) were above the threshold set for feed and food by most regulatory organizations. Mycotoxin screening methods like ELISA used in this study make it possible to screen large samples compared to other methods like HPLC and TLC which need heavy investment in reagents, equipment and training of staff.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We thank Rockefeller Foundation FORUM programme for funding and are grateful to Kenyatta University and Kenya Agricultural Research Institute for facilitation.

REFERENCES

1. Kenya Agricultural Research Institute, 1998. Annual report Kenya Agricultural Research Institute, Nairobi, Kenya, pp: 17.
2. Alakonya A.E., 2004. Ear rot fungi and fumonisin B₁ levels in selected maize varieties at different harvest intervals in western Kenya. Msc thesis, Kenyatta University, Nairobi, Kenya.
3. Edmeades, G.O., M. Banziger, S.C. Chapman, J.M. Ribaut and J. Balanous, 1995. Recent advances in breeding for drought tolerance in Maize. Paper presented at the west and Central Africa. Regional maize and Cassava workshop, May 28-2 1995, Cotonou, Benin Republic.
4. Hasssan, R.M., F. Murithi and G. Kamau, 1998. Determinants of fertilizer use and the gap between farmers maize yields and potential yields in Kenya. In: Maize technology development transfer: AGISA. Application for research planning in Kenya. Hassan,R.M.(EDS). CAB International in association with International maize and wheat Improvement Center (CIMMYT) and Kenya Agricultural Research Institute (KARI).
5. Ajanga, S.M., 1999. Maize ear rots and associated mycotoxins in Western Kenya. Ph.D. Thesis. University of Greenwich. UK.
6. Ajanga, S.M. and J.R. Hillocks, 2001. Maize cob rot and its association with stalk borer damage. Crop Protection, 19: 297-300.

7. Colvin, B.M. and L.R. Harrison, 1992. Fumonisin induced pulmonary oedema and hydrothorax in swine. *Mycopathologia*, 117: 79-82.
8. Coramelli, M., A. Dondo, G. Cantini Cortellazi, A. Visconti, F. Minervini, M.B. Doko and F. Guarda, 1993. Leukoencephomalacia in the equine caused by fumonisins: First report in Italy. *Ippologia*, 4: 49-56.
9. Marasas, W.F.O., 1995. Fumonisin their implications to human and animal health. *Natural Toxicol.*, 3: 193-198.
10. Castella, G., R.M. Bragulat and F.J. Cabanes, 1999. Fumonisin production by *Fusarium* species isolated from cereals and feeds in Spain. *J. Food Protection*, 62(7): 811-813.
11. Nelson, P.E., A.E. Desjardins and R.D. Platter, 1993. Fumonisin. Mycotoxins produced by *Fusarium* species – biology chemistry and significance. *Annual Rev. Phytopathol.*, 31: 233-252.
12. Booth, C., 1977. *Fusarium: Laboratory guide to the identification of major species*. Commonwealth Mycological Institute, Ferry Lane, Kew, Surrey, UK., pp: 1-58.
13. Nelson, P.E., W.F.O. Marasas and T.A. Toussoun, 1984. Toxigenic *Fusarium* species. Identity and mycotoxicology. The Pennsylvania State University Press. University park and London, pp: 155-211.
14. Usleber, E., S. Margit and T. Gerhard, 1994. Enzyme immunoassay for fumonisin B1 applied to corn-based food. *American Chem. Soc.*, 42: 1392-1396.
15. Gathumbi, J.K., E. Usleber and E. Martlbauer, 2001. Production of ultrasensitive antibodies against aflatoxin B1. *Lett. Applied Microbiol.*, 32: 349-351.
16. Van Egmond, H.P., C.S. Ronald and A.J. Marco, 2007. Regulations relating to mycotoxins in food. Perspectives in a global and European context. *Anal Bioanal Chem.*, 389: 147-157.
17. Leslie, J.F., R.D. Platter, A.E. Desjardins and C.J.R. Klittich, 1992. Fumonisin producing strains from different mating populations of *Gibberella fujikuroi* (section *Liseola*). *Phytopathology*, 82: 341-345.
18. Platter, R.D., 1995. Detection of fumonisins produced in *Fusarium moniliforme* cultures by HPLC with Electrospray MS and Evaporative Light Scattering Detectors. *Natural Toxi.*, 3: 294-398.
19. Lee, U.S., H.S. Jan, T. Tanaka, Y.J. Oh, C.M. Choc and Y. Ueno, 1985. Effect of milling on decontamination of *Fusarium* mycotoxins nivalenol, deoxynivalenol and Zearalenone in Korea Wheat. *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 35: 126-129.
20. Kedera, C.J., R.D. Platter and A.E. Desjardins, 1999. Incidence of *Fusarium* spp and levels of fumonisins in maize in Western Kenya. *Applied Environ. Microbiol.*, 65: 41-44.
21. Shepherd, G.S., 2004. Mycotoxins worldwide: Current issues in Africa. In: Meeting the mycotoxin menace. Barug D., H.P. Van Egmond, R. Lopez Garcia, W.A. Van Osenbruggen and A. Visconti (Eds.). Wageningen Academic Publishers, Wageningen. The Netherlands, pp: 81-88.