AMERICAN
METEOROLOGICAL
SOCIETY

Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society

EARLY ONLINE RELEASE

This is a preliminary PDF of the author-produced manuscript that has been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. Since it is being posted so soon after acceptance, it has not yet been copyedited, formatted, or processed by AMS Publications. This preliminary version of the manuscript may be downloaded, distributed, and cited, but please be aware that there will be visual differences and possibly some content differences between this version and the final published version.

The DOI for this manuscript is doi: 10.1175/BAMS-D-12-00124.1

The final published version of this manuscript will replace the preliminary version at the above DOI once it is available.

A Drought Monitoring and Forecasting System for Sub-Sahara African Water Resources and Food Security.

Justin Sheffield[1], Eric F. Wood[1], Nathaniel Chaney[1], Kaiyu Guan[1], Sara Sadri[1], Xing Yuan[1], Luke Olang[2], Abou Amani[3], Abdou Ali[4], Siegfried Demuth[5], and Laban Ogallo[2]

Submitted to the Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society

8 May 2013

Revised 16 September 013

[1]Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, 08540, USA

[2]Intergovernmental Authority on Development (IGAD) Climate Prediction and Applications Center (ICPAC), Nairobi, Kenya

[3]UNESCO International Hydrological Programme (IHP), Nairobi, Kenya

[4]Centre Regional de Formation et d'Application en Agrométéorologie et Hydrologie Opérationnelle (AGRHYMET), Niamey, Niger

[5]UNESCO International Hydrological Programme (IHP), Paris, France

Corresponding author:

Justin Sheffield

Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering,

Princeton University,

Princeton, NJ, 08540,

USA

Capsule: The development and implementation of a drought monitoring and seasonal hydrological forecast system for sub-Saharan Africa contributes to building capacity through technology and knowledge transfer.

Abstract

Drought is one of the leading impediments to development in Africa. Much of the continent is dependent on rain-fed agriculture, which makes it particularly susceptible to climate variability. Monitoring drought and providing timely seasonal forecasts are essential for integrated drought risk reduction. Current approaches in developing regions have generally been limited, however, in part because of unreliable monitoring networks. Operational seasonal climate forecasts are also deficient, often reliant on statistical regressions, which are unable to provide detailed information relevant for drought assessment. However, the wealth of data from satellites and recent advancements in large scale hydrological modeling and seasonal climate model predictions have enabled the development of state-of-the-art monitoring and prediction systems that can help address many of the problems inherent to developing regions. An experimental drought monitoring and forecast system for sub-Saharan Africa is described that is based on advanced land surface modeling driven by satellite and atmospheric model data. Key elements of the system are the provision of near real-time evaluations of the terrestrial water cycle and an assessment of drought conditions. The predictive element takes downscaled ensemble dynamical climate forecasts and provides, when merged with the hydrological modeling, ensemble hydrological forecasts. We evaluate the overall skill of the system for monitoring and predicting the development of drought, and illustrate the use of the system for the 2010/11 Horn of Africa drought. A key element is the transition and testing of the technology for operational usage by African collaborators and we discuss this for two implementations in West and East Africa.

1. Introduction

Drought is a naturally occurring climate phenomenon that impacts human and environmental activity globally and can be considered to be one of the costliest and widespread of natural disasters (NCDC, 2012; Below et al., 2007). One of the reasons for this is the often large spatial extent and lengthy duration of droughts, sometimes reaching continental scales and lasting for multiple years (Sheffield and Wood, 2011). Compared to other natural disasters, this translates into a greater proportion of the population affected. Drought can also be exacerbated by human activities such as deforestation, land use change and poor management of water resources that feedback to climate and alter the storage of water on the land. In sub-Saharan Africa (SSA), droughts account for less than 20% of natural disasters but account for over 80% of the affected population (UN/ISDR, 2007). Much of the continent is dependent on rain-fed agriculture, which makes it particularly susceptible to climate variability. Almost 70% of the labour force is engaged in agricultural work and agriculture contributes to about 25% of average GDP across the continent (Dixon et al., 2001). When the direct impacts of drought on agriculture and water resources occur over these large space and time scales there are deleterious effects on food and water security. The impacts are driven by the generally high vulnerability of the local populations and are exacerbated by prevailing local and external economic and political conditions (Haile, 2005), which can be associated with development of famine and may be accompanied by the spread of disease. Drought hampers efforts to reach the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs; UN, 2005) and is therefore one of the leading impediments to development in SSA (Benson and Clay, 1998; Brown et al., 2011). The population of SSA is over 870 million people and is

expected to at least double by mid century. Coupled with expected overall drying with climate change, in particular in southern Africa and parts of West Africa (Sheffield and Wood, 2008; Williams and Funk, 2011; Seneviratne et al., 2012), there are worrisome implications for water resource sustainability and food security.

Sub-Saharan Africa has suffered from many devastating droughts in recent history. Among some of the most devastating droughts globally during the past 50 years have been the Sahelian droughts of the 1970s and 80s, which drove famine conditions over much of the region and led to an estimated 600,000 deaths (Benson and Clay, 1998; Mortimore and Adams, 2001) and droughts in 1991-92 in southern Africa (Benson and Clay, 1998). Most recently, multi-year droughts across the Horn of Africa (Lyon and DeWitt, 2012) led to food shortages across the region and famine conditions in Somalia and northern Kenya (UNOCHR, 2011) that have intensified the debate on the role of climate change and how drought will change in the future (Kotir, 2011).

Drought in SSA is linked to the high seasonal and inter-annual variability in rainfall as shown in Figures 1 and 2. In general, seasonal rainfall higher than 500 mm is required to sustain healthy agriculture, highlighting the tenuous nature of agropastoral livelihoods in many parts of SSA. Rainfall is highly seasonal with well-defined dry seasons across most of the continent. In West Africa, the rainy season is mainly concentrated in July-September with the northward movement of the West African Monsoon rains. This is also the case in the Horn of Africa, with some parts (Kenya, northern Tanzania) experiencing two peaks (short and long rains). In southern and east Africa the rainy season is generally from November to April. Variability at inter-annual and decadal time scales is large (Figure 2), especially in the transitional regions between

semi-arid and arid regions, such as the Sahel during the early growing season (June-August). Decadal persistence in wet or dry conditions is one of the greatest problems. Much of this variability is driven by connections to ENSO as well as to variations in the Atlantic and Indian Ocean (e.g. Rowell, 2013).

2. The Need and Potential for Physically-Based Drought Monitoring and Prediction

Monitoring drought development and providing timely seasonal forecasts are essential for drought risk reduction and especially in SSA where livelihoods are closely intertwined with climate variability (Tarhule and Lamb, 2003; Amissah-Arthur, 2003; Hayes et al., 2004; Hansen et al., 2011; Pozzi et al., 2013). Current approaches in developing countries have generally been limited, in part because of unreliable monitoring networks and lack of access to information and technology that prevents the development of systems locally, as well as generally low institutional capacity and lack of national policy on drought mitigation. In SSA, regional climate outlook forums (RCOFs) were initiated in 1997 and have been the primary mechanism for generating and disseminating seasonal climate forecasts (Ogallo et al., 2008). These operational seasonal climate forecasts are reliant on statistical regressions and provide only a broad-brush view of seasonal rainfall (e.g. tercile probabilities), and are therefore unable to provide detailed information relevant for agricultural adaptation, such as start of the wet season, intra-seasonal rainfall, soil moisture and extreme temperatures (Patt et al., 2007). There is also a reported tendency for the consensus of the forums to hedge on the side of caution when issuing forecasts (Hansen et al., 2011). In several countries, the national meteorological and hydrological agencies extend the RCOF outlooks to provide local

interpretation and more detailed impact orientated information to farmers, subsistence communities and water resources managers. Nevertheless, the skill, resolution, and local relevance of the forecasts generally remain dependent on the RCOF outlooks, which may limit confidence and uptake of the forecasts (e.g. Manatsa et al., 2012).

The wealth of data from satellites and advancements in large scale hydrological modeling and seasonal climate model predictions have enabled the development of state-of-the-art monitoring and prediction systems in developed regions, such as the U.S. and Europe, that can help address many of the problems inherent to developing regions. For example, the U.S. Drought Monitor (Svoboda et al., 2002) and the European Drought Observatory (EDO; Vogt et al., 2011) combine bottom-up approaches of merging drought evaluations at national and sub-national levels with a top-down approach of providing continental scale information from meteorological networks, hydrological modeling and satellite remote sensing. In SSA, elements of these are mirrored by the Famine Early Warning System Network (FEWS-NET) and the FAO Global Information and Early Warning System on Food and Agriculture (GIEWS). These systems accumulate information from local observers, market reports and remote sensing on evolving drought and food security conditions and provide outlooks on potential problem areas.

Satellite remote sensing is a particularly promising source of information in SSA as it is possible to measure every component of the hydrological cycle at the land surface, and the state of natural vegetation and agriculture, often at very high spatial resolution (< 1km) and in near real-time (Tang et al., 2009; Wardlow et al., 2012). Satellite-based retrievals for some variables are well developed (e.g. for precipitation, Huffman et al.,

147 2007; and vegetation, Funk and Brown, 2005) and are a promising new source of data for
148 other variables (e.g. Gao et al., 2012; Tapley et al., 2004; de Jeu et al. 2008; Vinukollu et
149 al., 2011). Their use in operational drought monitoring is still generally in its infancy,
150 although a substantial body of research literature now exists on the potential for drought
151 monitoring at large scales (e.g. Anderson et al., 2011; Houborg et al., 2012; Mu et al.,
152 2013). The use of satellite remote sensing to augment low density in situ observations has
153 obvious benefits, however there are several challenges that need to be addressed to
154 realize their potential in the context of drought monitoring. In general, these include the
155 relatively short record lengths of satellite products, changes in satellite sensors that can
156 lead to temporal inhomogeneities, and the indirect nature of the retrievals of physical
157 variables. In particular, errors in individual products, inconsistencies between products
158 and non-closure of the water budget imply that they should be used with caution
159 (Sheffield et al., 2009; Gao et al., 2010; Pan et al., 2012; Armanias and Fisher, 2013).
160 Remote sensing generally provides independent views of different parts of the
161 coupled ecological-hydrological system. However, there is scope to provide a holistic and
162 consistent view of drought by merging remote sensing data with land surface
163 hydrological modeling, either directly via assimilation (e.g. satellite retrievals of soil
164 moisture) or indirectly in the form of input drivers (e.g. precipitation or vegetation).
165 Several studies have shown this potential (Brocca et al., 2010; Milzow et al., 2010; van
166 Dijk and Renzullo, 2011; Anderson et al., 2013). Furthermore, land surface hydrological
167 modeling has evolved to a state that models can provide realistic depictions of the water
168 cycle over large scales with acceptable errors when driven by accurate meteorological
169 data and/or merged with remote sensing data. Much of this progress has been derived

from regional and global intercomparison studies that have highlighted key model differences and provided mechanistic insights into model behavior and errors (Henderson-Sellers et al., 1993; Mitchell et al., 2004; Guo et al., 2006; Xia et al., 2012), as well as better input data. In particular, availability of real-time satellite precipitation data has enabled the use of hydrological models for flood and drought applications in regions of low density ground measurements (e.g. Pan et al., 2010; Yilmaz et al., 2011), although currently this approach does not out-perform those using just a handful of gauges (e.g. Stisen and Sandholt, 2010).

Seasonal hydrological predictions have the potential to provide vital information throughout SSA for a variety of needs including water resources management, agricultural and urban water supply and flood mitigation. In particular, seasonal forecasts of drought risk can enable farmers to make adaptive choices on crop varieties, labor usage, and technology investments (Hansen et al., 2011). Forecast skill is generally derived from teleconnections with ocean variability, in particular sea surface temperature (SST) anomalies, as well as persistence in the state of the land in terms of soil moisture, snowpack or streamflow conditions (Shukla et al., 2013). In particular, the persistence of SST anomalies forms the basis of climate forecasts either as a predictor in a statistical model or as boundary conditions to dynamic seasonal forecasts using General Circulation Models (GCM). For SSA, the main source of predictability is derived from the El Nino Southern Oscillation (ENSO) and its manifestation in SST anomalies in the eastern tropical Pacific ocean, with drought more likely over west Africa and southern Africa during El Nino events and over east Africa during La Nina events (Camberlin et al., 2001; Smith et al., 2012; Yuan et al., 2013; Rowell, 2013), although the spatial footprint

of these teleconnections may be limited (Yuan et al., 2013). Forecasts of hydrological variables can also be derived using hydrological models forced by statistical or dynamical precipitation forecasts. More often, operational systems are based on the use of Ensemble Streamflow Prediction (ESP) type forecasts, which sample from the historic climate record and rely mainly on the skill derived from the land surface initial conditions. Operational versions of such systems are generally limited to a few regions around the world, for example in the US, Europe and Australia, and are virtually absent in SSA.

Seasonal climate forecasts from dynamical models have evolved considerably over recent years and are now showing greater skill than statistical forecasts, at least for large-scale climate features such as ENSO (e.g. Jin et al., 2008; Weisheimer et al., 2009; Barnston et al., 2012). They also provide forecasts that are grounded in the physics of weather and climate, and therefore can provide objective information on multiple aspects of drought (for example, precipitation and temperature), in contrast to statistical prediction tools, which are generally limited to single variable outcomes. The challenge is that precipitation forecasts from these models are generally limited in skill beyond a few weeks because of the inherent chaotic nature of the atmosphere (Lavers et al., 2009; Yuan et al., 2011), and otherwise to regions with strong teleconnections with ENSO at seasonal time scales, and then only for coarse tendencies for drier or wetter conditions than normal. Furthermore, the model spatial resolution (of the order of hundreds of kms) is generally too coarse to be useful for hydrological prediction. Nevertheless, continued improvements in dynamical forecasts (Yuan et al., 2011; Pappenberger et al., 2011; Barsnton et al., 2012), the development of downscaling and bias correction methodologies for hydrological applications (Luo and Wood, 2008; Gobena and Gan,

2010) and the focus on improved estimates of initial conditions in lieu of forecast skill (Bierkins and van Beek, 2009; Li et al., 2009; Shukla et al., 2013; van Dijk et al., 2013) have provided incentive to develop and test experimental hydrological forecast systems. Despite the high frequency and impacts of drought on SSA populations, and the potential for increased resilience of local populations if monitoring and forecast information can be accessed and utilized, the evaluation and implementation of seasonal hydrological forecasts has been limited to a few regional (e.g. Oettli et al., 2011; Manatsa et al., 2012) and continental studies (e.g. Dutra et al., 2012b; Yuan et al., 2013).

This article presents the development and implementation of an African Drought Monitor (ADM), an advanced real-time drought monitoring and seasonal forecast system for sub-Saharan Africa, which has evolved via collaboration with the UNESCO International Hydrological Programme (IHP). The IHP supports an international scientific cooperative program in water research, education and capacity building responding to the growing needs of sustainable development. In 2006, IHP discussed the possibility of developing a demonstration system for SSA that would respond to the needs of UNESCO members, contribute to IHP activities and capacity building, and respond to the drought needs of the Group on Earth Observations (GEO). The initial demonstration system has now matured into an operational framework that merges statistical and dynamical climate predictions, hydrological models and remote sensing data to provide timely and useful information on drought in SSA. The system has been implemented at regional centers in Niger and Kenya and the article highlights the feedback with local meteorologists and hydrologists who are charged with managing local water resources systems and providing information to farmers.

3. Sub-Saharan African Drought Monitoring and Seasonal Forecast System

3.1. Overview of Approach

The system estimates drought conditions through a combination of hydrological modeling, satellite remote sensing and seasonal climate forecasts. It draws from the long legacy of operational and experimental systems in the U.S., in particular the Princeton drought monitoring and forecast system (Luo et al., 2007) that was developed within the North American Land Data Assimilation System Phase 2 (NLDAS-2; Xia et al., 2012) and the NCEP Climate Test Bed program. The system consists of three parts (Figure 3): First, a historic, multi-decadal reconstruction of the terrestrial water cycle is obtained by forcing the Variable Infiltration Capacity (VIC) land surface hydrological model (Liang et al. 1996) with a merged reanalysis/observation data set. This forms the climatology against which current conditions are compared. Second, the real-time monitoring system (2009-present) is driven by remotely sensed precipitation and atmospheric analysis data that tracks drought conditions in real-time. The simulated outputs are augmented by satellite remote sensing of soil moisture and vegetation indices. Third, a seasonal forecast component provides hydrological predictions and derived drought products out to 6-months, based on bias-corrected and downscaled climate model forecasts that are used to drive the VIC model.

3.2. Hydrological Modeling and Data Sources

The VIC model is used to predict land surface hydrological fluxes and states. VIC is a semi-distributed, grid-based model that represents sub-grid variability in land cover, elevation, soil water storage capacity and storm coverage. The model is run in two simulation modes: retrospectively and in near real-time. The retrospective simulation mode forms a long-term climatology to which current conditions from the real-time mode can be compared. The retrospective simulation covers the period 1950-2008 and is forced by the long-term global meteorological forcing dataset of Sheffield et al. (2006), which merges gridded observational data with satellite remote sensing products and atmospheric reanalysis data. This dataset has been downscaled to 0.25-degree resolution and is currently being updated based on improved assimilation of station data (Chaney et al., 2013).

The real-time simulation is forced by a combination of precipitation from the Tropical Rainfall Measurement Mission (TRMM) Multi-Satellite Precipitation Analysis (TMPA; Huffman et al., 2007) and temperature and windspeed from the NOAA NCEP Global Forecasts System (GFS). Other required variables (surface solar and longwave radiation; humidity, pressure) are predicted from the precipitation and temperature data using empirical regressions (Bohn et al., 2013). The satellite-based TMPA and GFS data are bias-corrected based on climatological differences with the long-term dataset for their overlap period (approximately 2002-2008) by matching their empirical cumulative probability distribution functions. We evaluated the robustness of this approach by comparing the historic simulation with a simulation forced by the bias-corrected TMPA data for the overlap period. This showed relative biases of less than 1 percent in predicted runoff and soil moisture averaged over large river basins such as the Niger and Congo.

Boundary conditions for the model include the land cover map based on Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer (AVHRR) data (Hansen et al., 2000), and soil type and texture based on the FAO digital soil map of the world (FAO, 1995). The model was calibrated against streamflow observations from 966 stations of the Global Runoff Data Center (GRDC) across SSA, filtered for upstream dams. The GRDC stream gauge database over the continent was disaggregated into gridded monthly runoff fields over the entire domain (Chaney and Sheffield, 2012). Following Troy et al. (2008), the VIC model is calibrated at each grid cell against the derived runoff fields between 1970 and 1990 using the Shuffled-Complex evolution algorithm (Duan et al. 1992).

3.3. Other Data Products

The system is flexible enough to incorporate other sources of data including output from other models and remote sensing. Currently, remotely sensed soil moisture and vegetation data are included in the system to complement the modeled information. Data from the Soil Moisture Ocean Salinity (SMOS) L-band upwelling passive microwave sensor are included in the system in the form of a soil moisture index (Kerr et al., 2012). This dataset has been initially evaluated by Al-Bitar et al. (2012) and Pan et al. (2012) over the US and by Gruhier et al. (2013) for West Africa, and shows promise for drought monitoring (Sahoo et al., 2011) as a complement to the modeled output, as well as to provide corrections to the satellite rainfall (e.g. Pellarin et al., 2008; Crow et al., 2011) or directly via assimilation (Sahoo et al., 2012).

The system also displays operational retrievals of vegetation stress from visible and microwave sensors drawing from the distinctive and complementary information that

each provides about vegetation (Guan et al., 2012). The optical-based vegetation index (VI) product captures the landscape-integrated canopy-level leaf chlorophyll and photosynthetic intensity (Sellers et al., 1992). It is based on a merging of the GIMMS NDVI for the historic period (1982-2008) and the MODIS EVI for 2000-present. The passive microwave based vegetation optical depth (VOD) product captures the landscape-integrated total water column through the whole canopy (Jones et al., 2012). It is composed of the VOD product of Liu et al. (2011) based on SSM/I, TRMM and AMSR-E for 1987-2008, and the AMSR-E based VOD (Jones and Kimball, 2012). A third product based on scatterometer backscatter (dB) captures the landscape-integrated vegetation canopy biomass (depending on the penetration of the wavelength) and top-canopy water content (Jarlan et al., 2002). In our case, we merged the Ku-band dB from QuickSCAT (2000-2009) and C-band dB from ASCAT (2009-present) using the quantile matching approach. The Ku-band dB only detects the top-canopy information due to its relatively small wavelength (13GHz), whereas the C-band dB (6GHz) penetrates the canopy and captures information about both surface soil moisture and vegetation states (Guan et al., 2013).

3.4. Seasonal Drought Forecasts

The seasonal forecast system is based on climate forecasts from the NCEP Climate Forecast System version 2 (CFSv2; Saha et al., 2013, submitted to Journal of Climate), which is the second generation system from the CFSv1 (Saha et al., 2006). The CFSv2 improves on the previous version through upgraded physical parameterizations and data assimilation scheme. The precipitation and temperature forecasts from CFSv2

show a significant improvement over CFSv1 (Yuan et al., 2011). Monthly precipitation and temperature at the CFSv2 model scale are bias corrected and downscaled using a Bayesian merging method (Luo et al., 2007, 2008) to 0.25-degree, daily resolution. These data are then used to force the VIC model to generate the hydrologic forecasts.

3.5. Hydrological and Drought Products

A suite of drought indices is produced by the system (Table 1) that reflects different aspects of drought. The standardized precipitation index (SPI; McKee et al., 1993) is the World Meteorological Organization (WMO) ratified index for meteorological drought. The current SPI-n value is calculated by considering data from the current and n-1 preceding months. The forecasted SPI-n values are calculated in the same way and may contain historic data depending on the forecast lead-time and value of n (Quan et al. 2012; Yoon et al. 2012; Yuan and Wood 2013). The antecedent historic data can be considered as information from initial conditions in the same way as other drought indices based on land surface hydrological variables, such as soil moisture. The soil moisture index is based on the percentile index of Sheffield et al. (2004) developed for the continental US and previously applied regionally (e.g. Wang et al., 2011) and globally (e.g. Sheffield et al., 2009). The index is calculated by determining the percentile of the daily average of relative soil moisture at each grid cell with respect to its empirical cumulative probability distribution function provided by the historical simulations (1950-2008). The cumulative streamflow index represents the volume deficit below the median flow (Tallaksen and van Lanen, 2004) and is calculated at 822 streamflow gauging points across SSA. The vegetation indices are based on the visible and microwave data products

and are calculated as percentiles, similar to the soil moisture. Each of the indices represents a normalized representation of the variable that is calculated relative to the long-term climatology from the retrospective simulation. The ~60-year climatology is long enough to contain several extreme drought events such as those of the 1970s and 1980s in the Sahel, and in the 1990s in southern Africa, and therefore provides a robust climatology. Extending the dataset to the earlier part of the instrumental record would include more extreme events but this is only possible for some regions with adequate station density. The drought indices (and all hydrological variables and meteorological forcings) are available for the entire record between 1950 and real-time.

3.6. Web Interface

A key element of the system is the provision of an intuitive and easy to use web interface to allow users to view the predictions and extract data for further analysis. Figure 4 shows a snapshot of the interface showing recent soil moisture conditions. The interface is based on Google Maps®, which provides the Geographical Information System (GIS) capabilities for panning and zooming, and overlaying other geographic layers, such as political boundaries, towns and cities, and satellite land cover imagery. The system features a simple and intuitive user interface that allows the user to access different hydrological and drought products as spatial maps. The user can display current conditions or animate a series of maps to show evolving conditions. Streamflow drought can also be displayed for over 822 gauges that correspond to the GRDC network and FAO reservoir database. These gauges were chosen to coincide with actual gauging locations that are or were active. Other locations can be added where necessary at gauged

or ungauged locations. The user can also drill down to examine the water budget and drought indices averaged over the upstream river basin by clicking on a stream gauge (see Figure 4). Given the large spatial domain and potential diversity of users, the system also features multi-lingual support, currently for English, French, Arabic, Mandarin and Spanish. The interface can be accessed at http://hydrology.princeton.edu/adm. The drought indices output by the system are also provided as an Open Geospatial Compliant web service that can be picked up by other organizations to produce, for example, blended drought indicators such as provided by the US Drought Monitor. Currently the soil moisture drought index is served to the NOAA National Climatic Data Center to provide coverage for the African continent for the Global Drought Monitor Portal (Heim and Brewer, 2012).

4. Transition to African Regional Centers

In 2012, the system was transitioned and tested for operational usage by African collaborators at workshops held in two regional centers in SSA. The goals of the workshops were to understand user needs for drought information, current capabilities of regional centers and national agencies for providing climate and hydrological information, and training and feedback on the system, including design and implementation of regional validation plans. The drought monitor software and data were installed on center servers and hydrological scientists and professionals were trained in the operational running of the system and interpretation of the data output. Feedback was also solicited from scientists and managers from national hydrological, meteorological and agriculture agencies and services. The first workshop was held in January 2012 at the

AGRHYMET (Centre Regional de Formation et d'Application en Agrométéorologie et Hydrologie Opérationnelle) regional center in Niamey, Niger (Figure 5a), which provides information and training in support of improved agricultural production and food security for CILSS member countries of Burkina Faso, Cape Verde, Chad, Gambia, Guinea Bissau, Mali, Mauritania, Niger, and Senegal. Feedback from this workshop was vital to understand the utility of the system, from the point of view of users from the meteorological and hydrological communities who saw the potential of the historic and real-time data at ungaged locations and from the point of view of managers who saw the potential of the system for decision-making. A key lesson learnt was that providing a working system was essential to show the potential for management and research, but one that could then be tailored to local user needs and was validated to ensure confidence in the data and uptake of the information. Feedback from participants also highlighted the pressing need for a predictive component for water resources and agricultural planning and hazard mitigation. The second workshop was held in June 2012 at the Intergovernmental Authority on Development (IGAD) Climate Prediction and Applications Center (ICPAC) in Nairobi, Kenya (Figure 5b), which disseminates information to countries within the Greater Horn of Africa (Djibouti, Eritrea, Ethiopia, Kenya, Rwanda, South Sudan, Sudan, Somalia, Tanzania, Uganda). Both workshops developed a validation plan as an outcome, the results of which are shown below for the ICPAC workshop.

A third workshop is expected to take place at AGRYHMET in late 2013 with the goals of strengthening capacity and long-term sustainability in use of the system, and in particular the seasonal forecasting component that was developed since and in response

to the first workshop in January 2012. User needs will be focused on the point of view of women and youth who are generally under-represented but particularly vulnerable to drought impacts, with the goal of mainstreaming their engagement in understanding user needs and developing drought policies. Policy makers will be invited to discuss drought management issues through plans and policy recommendations, including the kinds of institutional settings that are required. The workshop will also focus on user needs and alternative information pathways for dissemination of data, as well as to revisit validation and operational aspects of the system.

5. Validation and Results

5.1. Overview of Performance of the VIC Model

Figure 6 shows a summary of large-scale evaluations of the bias-corrected satellite precipitation and the VIC model output against available observational and other model-based data. Evaluations at this scale provide confidence in the overall approach of using satellite-based products and hydrological models as surrogates for ground measurements of hydrological variables. The lynchpin of the system is the accuracy of the satellite precipitation. Improvements in the TMPA product have led to several updates in its real-time and historical research products (e.g. Zhou et al., 2013), and the real-time product is now calibrated based on climatological evaluations against monthly gauge analyses. Sylla et al. (2012) evaluated the TMPA (3B42 V6) dataset for Africa and showed that the large-scale patterns of precipitation were similar to observational estimates from GPCP and FEWS products but there were considerable differences

between all datasets in terms of daily higher order statistics, such as extreme rainfall and the duration between rain events. Of particular importance is the latter, which the TMPA overestimates and this is corrected for in the system based on the historical gauge based climatology. Figure 6a-c shows that, in general, the real-time TMPA (3B42RT V6) are biased high annually compared to our long-term observational dataset, despite the calibration. The bias is corrected for at monthly scale to ensure consistency between the historic and real-time predictions.

Figure 6d-f shows an evaluation of the VIC output for simulated streamflow against 624 station time series from the GRDC database. The figure highlights the scarcity of readily available data for many parts of the continent and especially in humid regions of central Africa and the drier greater Horn of Africa. The uncalibrated model shows large errors in terms of the Nash Sutcliffe efficiency (NSE; Nash and Sutcliffe, 1970), especially in Cameroon and Gabon, with negative values indicating that the model does not perform better than the mean. The median NSE values increase after calibration from -0.04 to 0.93 and the mean from -0.54 to 0.66. In particular, the model simulations are improved across West Africa and East Africa, but stations in drier regions such as the Horn of Africa and southwest Africa show little improvement. The spatial distribution of the calibrated parameters generally reflects climate gradients for parameters that control baseflow and infiltration, but with random structure in the other parameters that reflects the many degrees of freedom in the calibration process.

The model output was also evaluated for consistency against satellite based evapotranspiration and land water storage change (Figure 6g-l). Evapotranspiration is from the RS-PM algorithm with the surface resistance parameterization of Mu et al.

(2007) as implemented by Vinokullo et al. (2012). This algorithm uses the same Penman-Monteith approach as the VIC model to estimate potential evaporation but differs in its representation of surface resistances, which are based on relationships with humidity and temperature. The evapotranspiration is compared for the annual mean over 1997-2006 and shows similar spatial distribution and magnitudes but with large (> 1 mm/day) negative differences in West Africa and the Ethiopian highlands, and positive differences in parts of the northern Congo. A lack of observational based estimates of evapotranspiration (except for a handful of flux measurement towers) means that the validation of the model simulation is difficult. The change in seasonal water storage is from the Gravity Recovery and Climate Experiment (GRACE) satellite and is calculated as the difference in the maximum and minimum seasonal water storage averaged over 2003-2011. The comparison shows consistency between the datasets, given the differences in representative scale (GRACE represents variations on scales of about 500km) and physical quantities represented (GRACE represents total water storage, including surface water, soil moisture, groundwater; VIC represents soil moisture only). The VIC data tend to underestimate the seasonal change across north central and central Africa, which may be a reflection of the current lack of groundwater representation in the model as north-central Africa has relatively shallow groundwater tables (MacDonald et al., 2012), current lack of representation of inland water bodies and wetlands or uncertainties in rainfall, particularly over central Africa.

5.2. User Driven Validation: Example for Greater Horn of Africa

Driven by feedback from the workshops and the need to evaluate the system for potential use in specific regions, a validation plan was developed that centered on hydrological validation at gauging sites of interest. National representatives were eager to understand the utility of the system, in particular for providing predictions at ungauged or no longer reporting locations. It was agreed at the workshops that more detailed evaluations at existing sites would be necessary to understand utility. Figure 7 shows results from the validation exercise for sites in the Greater Horn of Africa region for which data were supplied by participating national hydrological agencies at the ICPAC workshop. These sites were chosen because of the available data and key locations for supporting decision making in the various countries. None of these sites are available in the GRDC database, and so did not contribute to model calibration. The sites represent a mixture of basin sizes from 100 to 150,000 km^2. The sites therefore provide a stringent test of the system for sites of particular interest to users. The results are mixed as expected given the low density of rain gauge data and the reliance on satellite precipitation since 2009, but are encouraging for most of the sites in terms of the depiction of a range of flows (mean daily flows, 3-day peak values and 7-day low flows) and the maintenance in the level of skill into the real-time period. However, some of the sites appear to be highly regulated by natural wetlands/lakes (e.g., the Kapiri site in Uganda that sits between two lakes) or potential water withdrawals. Overall, there is a tendency to over predict the different flow types in the larger/wetter basins and under predict in the smaller basins. The high bias suggests one or more problems related to the modeling framework, including over-estimation by the bias-corrected satellite precipitation, underestimation of evapotranspiration potentially due to upstream wetlands

and lakes that are not modeled (also leading to a lack of attenuation of flows), and lack of representation of anthropogenic impacts, such as water withdrawals. Accounting for withdrawals is challenging without direct information on withdrawals and irrigation, and current information is generally limited to national statistics. However, simple bias adjustment or even modeling of withdrawals could be implemented to better represent these local conditions.

5.3. Seasonal Forecasts Evaluations

The skill of the CFSv2 forced hydrological forecasts have been evaluated by Yuan et al. (2013) who analyzed a 26-year (1982-2007) set of seasonal hydrological hindcasts. They found that probabilistic drought forecasts of the 6-month SPI and soil moisture percentiles performed better than climatology over drier regions out to 3-5 months, with SPI6 generally more skillful than soil moisture, except at the beginning of the rainy season in western and southern Africa because of the strength of the seasonal cycle. Similar results were found by Dutra et al. (2012b) based on evaluations of the ECMWF seasonal forecasts system of SPI over selected African river basins, but that skill generally increased with longer time scales of SPI. Figure 8 summarizes the skill of the CFSv2 driven hydrological forecasts for the start of wet season for three regions in terms of Brier skill scores (BSS) for 1, 2 and 3-month forecasts of soil moisture, from the beginning of the wet season. A BSS value of one indicates a perfect forecasts and zero indicates no skill relative to a climatological forecast. The scores are very high in parts of the regions that experience a later onset of the wet season (for example, in the northern Sahel), but are overall modest for lead-1 forecasts (Brier skill scores < 0.6). At lead times

of 2 and 3 months, the scores drop to < 0.4, and less than zero in some areas indicating that the forecasts are worse than climatology. Examination of the time series of regional skill shows larger variability from year to year at longer lead times (not shown) and hints that slightly higher skill is associated with ENSO: for east Africa during La Niña events and for southern Africa during El Niño events.

6. Case Study of the 2010-2011 Horn of Africa Drought

The Horn of Africa (HoA) drought during 2010-2011 caused devastating human impacts across the region, in particular affecting southern Somalia, where an estimated 250,000 deaths were associated with severe food insecurity and declared famine conditions, with over half of the deaths to children less than 5 years old (FAO, 2013). Although, the famine was a result of many factors, including the ongoing conflict and political insecurity in the region (Maxwell and Fitzpatrick, 2012), the drought played a major role. Figure 9 shows the output from the ADM for 2010-2011 for precipitation (expressed as SPI3), and soil moisture, vegetation and streamflow, expressed as percentile indices. The SPI3 shows the decline into drought conditions with the failure of the short-rains of the *deyr*-season at the end of 2010 (October-December) that was associated with the La Nina of 2010/11 (Dutra et al., 2012a; Lyon and DeWitt, 2012). The long-rains of the *gu*-season (April-June) of 2011 compounded the 2010 short-rains failure but were not as bad. Peak drought conditions were reached in about June 2011. The soil moisture and vegetation indices follow the decline in precipitation but with lagged response as the drought signal propagates through the system. The EVI shows the quickest response of the vegetation indices followed by the VOD and dB indices. The

559 peak spatial extent covered much of the region, from central Kenya up through southern
560 Somalia and into southeastern Ethiopia, with most of the southeastern part of the region
561 in drought conditions below the 20th percentile. The streamflow time series for the
562 Shebelle River (Figure 9) indicates the local effect of the drought on one of southern
563 Somalia's main rivers, which is an important source of water for irrigation and pastoral
564 communities.

565 Figure 10 shows the 6-month hydrological forecasts for 10 ensemble members
566 initialized on two dates: September 2010 (September-February) and February 2011
567 (February-July). The forecasts, using procedures described earlier, are shown in terms of
568 the area averaged soil moisture percentiles and the area in drought calculated for the main
569 drought region (40-52E, 5-12N), which is also the same region used by Dutra et al.
570 (2012a). The area in drought is calculated using a drought threshold of the 20th percentile
571 of soil moisture. The September 2010 forecasts indicate good skill in reproducing the
572 decline in soil moisture over the 6-months of the forecast, but under-predict the drought
573 in latter months (Dec 2010 – Feb 2011). Furthermore, the spread across ensemble
574 members is relatively small. The February 2011 forecasts also do well for the first two
575 months (Feb-Mar 2011), showing an increase in drought, but then fail to show the
576 continuation to the peak in April-June, with large spread among ensemble members.
577 Dutra et al. (2012a) showed similar results in terms of precipitation using the ECMWF
578 seasonal forecast system.

579

580 **7. Challenges and Future Directions**

Given the tremendous impact of drought in sub-Saharan Africa where the growing population is mostly dependent on rain-fed agriculture, the development and implementation of the ADM system has the potential to build capacity through technology and knowledge transfer. The feasibility of the system and its capability to monitor and forecast over large scales and at high resolution has been made possible with the advent of mature remote sensing data products and advances in land-surface modeling and seasonal dynamical forecasting, albeit subject to errors in the predictions as discussed previously. In particular, remote sensing is capable of overcoming sparse in-situ monitoring and differences in data availability across political boundaries that have historically hindered monitoring of regional phenomena such as drought.

The system shows encouraging performance at large-scale when compared to other estimates of the land water cycle, but notable errors when compared to local measurements. This is to be expected given the low density of monitoring networks, the high spatial and temporal variability of precipitation and the heterogeneity of the land surface, as well as human influences, such as water and land management. Currently the system does not simulate surface water bodies, such as lakes and wetlands, although these are features of the VIC model. Inclusion of these would be relatively straightforward and could potentially help improve performance at, for example, the stream gauging sites shown in the validation that are controlled by upstream wetlands and lakes. The system also does not account for human influences on water, such as reservoirs, river withdrawals and irrigation applications and return flows. Simple representations of these activities have been implemented in large-scale models (e.g. Haddeland et al., 2007), but further research is required to determine the best way to

implement these in the system, given the spatial diversity of practices and the low availability of estimates of water use. Continued collaboration with local partners is essential for conveying the current limitations of the system to users and the implications for decision making, and for making progress towards addressing these limitations through integration of local knowledge and information about water use.

Performance of the system is also highly reliant on the accuracy of the meteorological data. There is scope to enhance the accuracy of both the long-term data and real-time satellite-based data by merging with data from regional/national station databases (Chaney et al., 2013), other gauge/remote sensing analyses (e.g. FEWS) and with assimilation of remotely sensed soil moisture as a surrogate for on the ground rainfall where gauge data are unavailable (Pellarin et al., 2012). These enhancements will filter down into the seasonal forecasting component for which much of the skill in the first month is dependent on the initial conditions, which can also be improved through real-time assimilation of remotely sensed soil moisture and lake/river levels.

The seasonal hydrological forecasts show encouraging skill in the first one to three months depending on the region and season but are inherently limited by the chaotic nature of the atmosphere. Nevertheless, the case study of the 2010/11 Horn of Africa drought exemplifies the potential that large-scale teleconnections such as ENSO can provide extended and useful forecasts out to several months. The forecast system can be enhanced through use of climate forecasts from multiple models (both dynamical and statistical), drawing from strengths in each one, as has been demonstrated in other applications (e.g. Luo et al., 2007), as well as the potentially higher forecast skill conditional on climate states such as ENSO. Of particular importance is that the

627 hydrological predictions provide information on quantities, such as soil moisture and
628 streamflow, and at spatial and temporal resolutions, that are relevant to end-users. We are
629 currently adding an agricultural model to the forecast system, which when merged with
630 the real-time vegetation indices, can provide crop yield forecasts.

631 Implementation of the system at regional centers in Niger and Kenya has
632 highlighted the potential to augment existing capability in regions with established
633 monitoring networks and provide the only source of information in areas lacking
634 observations. It is important to recognize, however, that the sustainability of the system is
635 highly dependent on the capacity of scientists and professionals who are charged with
636 maintaining and running the system, and interpreting and disseminating the real-time
637 updates and forecasts. It was therefore important to identify key personnel at regional
638 centers and national agencies, and work with established researchers at affiliated
639 universities, whilst at the same time training new young scientists and professionals.
640 Experience has shown that ongoing collaborations through workshops, exchanges and
641 educational activities provide the best means of sustained usage and further development
642 of tailored regional systems. In this spirit, the system is open-source and free to use to
643 encourage opportunities for further implementation, development and collaboration, and
644 this is happening with ongoing validation activities for countries within the ICPAC and
645 AGRHYMET regions. Direct funding for the development and implementation of the
646 ADM was based solely on travel support and modest initial development funding from
647 UNESCO IHP but leveraged other funding that supported the development of general
648 methodologies for forecasting and modeling. Sustained funding is required to keep
649 momentum going, train each new wave of scientists and professionals, and facilitate

long-term collaborations with African universities and training centers to build long-term capacity in research and development.

The application of hydrological and climate research into transferable technology with minimal overhead has been made possible with the development of the ADM and has the potential to help reduce the impacts of drought across sub-Saharan Africa. Realizing this potential, however, requires overcoming the many barriers that hinder the uptake and utility of output from the system, especially for local farming and pastoral communities. These barriers relate to the relevancy of the information to end users, the robustness of forecasts, and the communication and interpretation of information (Roncoli, 2006; Patt et al., 2007), especially given the current errors and uncertainties in the information from the ADM at local scales. Beneficial outcomes have been demonstrated in a few studies at local scale whereby changes in behavior based on forecast information brought about modest improvements in livelihoods, however the complexity of socio-economic contexts ensures that the benefits are difficult to reap (e.g. Ingram et al., 2002; Luseno et al., 2003; Ziervogel and Calder, 2003; Ziervogel et al., 2010; Roncoli et al., 2011). The potential at larger scales has yet to be realized (Tarhule and Lamb, 2003; Patt et al., 2007; Webster and Jian, 2011; Webster, 2013) and requires concerted efforts to bridge the gap between the wealth of physical climate, water and agricultural information and on-the-ground improvements in water and food security.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the support of the UNESCO International Hydrology Program (IHP) for supporting the initial development of the system, training workshops

673 and exchange visits. We also acknowledge the NOAA Climate Program Office
674 Modeling, Analysis, Predictions and Projections (MAPP) Program that supported work
675 on the forecast methodologies under grants NA11OAR4310097 and NA10OAR4310130.
676 We thank Peris Muchiri of the FAO Somalia Water and Land Information Management
677 (SWALIM), Nebert Wobusobozi, Director of Uganda Water Resources, and Gérard
678 Ntungumburanye, Head of Hydrology Service at the Geographic Institute of Burundi
679 (IGEBU) who provided the observed streamflow data used in the validation.
680

References

Al-Bitar, A., D. Leroux, Y. Kerr, O. Merlin, P. Richaume, A. K. Sahoo, and E. F. Wood, 2012: Evaluation of SMOS soil moisture products over continental US using the SCAN/SNOTEL network. *IEEE Transactions on Geosciences and Remote Sensing*, 50, 1572-1586. doi:10.1109/TGRS.2012.2186581.

Amissah-Arthur, A., 2003: Targeting climate forecasts for agricultural applications in sub-Saharan Africa: situating farmers in user-space. *Climatic Change,* 58 (20).

Anderson, M. C., C. Hain, B. Wardlow, A. Pimstein, J. R. Mecikalski, and W. P. Kustas, 2011: Evaluation of drought indices based on thermal remote sensing of evapotranspiration over the Continental United States. *J. Climate*, 24, 2025–2044. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/2010JCLI3812.1

Anderson, W. B., B. F. Zaitchik, C. R. Hain, M. C. Anderson, M. T. Yilmaz, J. Mecikalski, and L. Schultz, 2012: Towards an integrated soil moisture drought monitor for East Africa. *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss.*, 9, 4587-4631, doi:10.5194/hessd-9-4587-2012.

Armanios, D. E., and J. B. Fisher, 2013: Measuring water availability with limited ground data: assessing the feasibility of an entirely remote-sensing-based hydrologic budget of the Rufiji Basin, Tanzania, using TRMM, GRACE, MODIS, SRB, and AIRS. *Hydrological Processes,* 27, 9.

Barnston, A. G., M. K. Tippett, M. L. L'Heureux, S. Li, and D. G. DeWitt, 2012: Skill of Real-Time Seasonal ENSO Model Predictions during 2002 to 2011: Is Our Capability Increasing?. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, 93, 631-651

Below, R., E. Grover-Kopec, M. Dilley, 2007: Documenting drought-related disasters: a global reassessment. *J. Environment and Development*, 16 (3), 328-344.

Benson, C., and E. Clay, 1998: The impact of drought on sub-Saharan African economics: A preliminary examination. World Bank Tech. Paper No. 401, 80 pp.

Bierkens, M. F. P., and L. P. H. van Beek, 2009: Seasonal Predictability of European Discharge: NAO and Hydrological Response Time, *J. Hydromet.*, *10*(4), 953-968.

Bohn, T. J., B. Livneh, J. W. Oyler, S. W. Running, B. Nijssen, and D. P. Lettenmaier, 2013: Global evaluation of MTCLIM and related algorithms for forcing of ecological and hydrological models. *Agr. Forest. Meteorol.*, 176, 38-49, doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2013.03.003.

Brocca, L., F. Melone, T. Moramarco, W. Wagner, V. Naeimi, Z. Bartalis, and S. Hasenauer, 2010: Improving runoff prediction through the assimilation of the ASCAT soil moisture product. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 14, 1881-1893, doi:10.5194/hess-14-1881-2010.

Brown, C., R. Meeks, K. Hunu, and W. Yu, 2011: Hydroclimate risk to economic growth in sub-Saharan Africa. *Climatic Change*, 106, 621–647. DOI 10.1007/s10584-010-9956-9

Camberlin, P., S. Janicot, and I. Poccard, 2001: Seasonality and atmospheric dynamics of the teleconnection between African rainfall and tropical sea-surface temperature: Atlantic vs. ENSO. *Int. J. Climatol.*, 21(8), 973-1005, doi: 10.1002/joc.673.

Chaney, N. W., and J. Sheffield, 2012: Deriving runoff fields over Africa: Spatial disaggregation of GRDC stream gauges. GRDC Report Series (Submitted).

726 Chaney, N. W., J. Sheffield, G. Villarini, and E. F. Wood, 2013: Spatial analysis of trends
727 in climatic extremes with a high resolution gridded daily meteorological data set over
728 sub-Saharan Africa. In preparation.
729 Crow, W. T., M. J. van den Berg, G. J. Huffman, and T. Pellarin, 2011: Correcting
730 rainfall using satellite-based surface soil moisture retrievals: The Soil Moisture
731 Analysis Rainfall Tool (SMART), *Water Resour. Res.*, 47, W08521,
732 doi:10.1029/2011WR010576
733 De Jeu, R. A. M., W. W. Wagner, T. R. H. Holmes, A. J. Dolman, N. C. van de Giesen,
734 and J. Friesen, 2008: Global Soil Moisture Patterns Observed by Space Borne
735 Microwave Radiometers and Scatterometers, *Surveys in Geophysics*, 28, 399-420 doi
736 10.1007/s10712-008-9044-0.
737 Dixon, J. and A. Gulliver with D. Gibbon, 2001: Farming Systems and Poverty:
738 Improving Farmers' Livelihoods in a Changing World. FAO & World Bank, Rome,
739 Italy & Washington, DC, USA.
740 Dutra, E., L. Magnusson, F. Wetterhall, H. L. Cloke, G. Balsamo, S. Boussetta, and F.
741 Pappenberger, 2012a: The 2010-2011 drought in the Horn of Africa in ECMWF
742 reanalysis and seasonal forecast products. *Int. J. Climatol.*, doi:10.1002/joc.3545.
743 Dutra, E., F. Di Giuseppe, F. Wetterhall, and F. Pappenberger, 2012b: Seasonal forecasts
744 of drought indices in African basins. *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss.*, 9, 11093-
745 11129, doi:10.5194/hessd-9-11093-2012.
746 Duan, Q., S. Sorooshian, and V. K. Gupta, 1992: Effective and efficient global
747 optimization for conceptual rainfall-runoff models. *Water Resources Research*, **28,**
748 1015-1031.

749 FAO, 1995: The Digital Soil Map of the World, Version 3.5. United Nations Food and
750 Agriculture Organization, CD-ROM. [Available from Food and Agriculture
751 Organization of the United Nations, Viale delle Terme di Caracalla, 00100 Rome,
752 Italy]
753 FAO/FEWSNET, 2013: Mortality among populations of southern and central Somalia
754 affected by severe food insecurity and famine during 2010-2012. FAO/FEWSNET
755 Report, May 2013.
756 Funk, C., and M. Brown, 2005: A maximum to minimum technique for making
757 projections of NDVI in semi-arid Africa for food security early warning. *Rem. Sens.*
758 *Env.*, 101, 249-256.
759 Gao, H., C. Birkett, and D. P. Lettenmaier, 2012: Global monitoring of large reservoir
760 storage from satellite remote sensing, *Water Resour. Res.*, 48, W09504,
761 doi:10.1029/2012WR012063
762 Gao, H., Q. Tang, C. Ferguson, E.F. Wood, and D. P. Lettenmaier, 2010: Estimating the
763 water budget of major U.S. river basins via remote sensing. *Int. J. Rem. Sen.*, 31(14),
764 3955-3978.
765 Guan, K., Wood, E. F. and Caylor, K. K., 2012: Multi-sensor derivation of regional
766 vegetation fractional cover in Africa. *Rem. Sen. of Env.*, 124, 653-665.
767 Guan, K., Wolf, A., Medvigy, D., Caylor, K., Pan, M. and Wood, E., 2013: Seasonal
768 coupling of canopy structure and function in African tropical forests and its
769 environmental controls. Ecosphere, 4(3).

770 Guo, Z., and Coauthors, 2006: Evaluation of GSWP-2 soil moisture simulations. Part II:
771 Sensitivity to external meteorological forcing. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 111.D22S03,
772 doi:10.1029/2006JD007845.
773 Gobena, A. K., and T. Y. Gan, 2010: Incorporation of seasonal climate forecasts in the
774 ensemble streamflow prediction system, *J. Hydr.*, *385*(1-4), 336-352.
775 Gruhier, C., T. Pellarin, P. de Rosnay, Y. Kerr, 2013: SMOS soil moisture product
776 evaluation over West-Africa at local and regional scale, under review.
777 Haile, M., 2005: Weather patterns, food security and humanitarian response in sub-
778 Saharan Africa, *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B-Biological*
779 *Sciences*, 360(1463), 2169-2182, doi: 10.1098/rstb.2005.1746.
780 Haddeland, I., T. Skaugen, and D.P. Lettenmaier, 2007: Hydrologic effects of land and
781 water management in North America and Asia: 1700-1992. *Hydrol. Earth Sys. Sci.*,
782 11(2), 1035-1045.
783 Hansen, M. C., R. S. DeFries, J. R. G. Townshend, and R. Sohlberg, 2000: Global land
784 cover classification at 1 km spatial resolution using a classification tree approach, *Int.*
785 *J. Remote Sens.*, 21, 1331–1364.
786 Hansen, J. W., S. Mason, L. Sun, and A. Tall, 2011: Review of seasonal climate
787 forecasting for agriculture in sub-Saharan Africa. *Expl. Agric.*, 47 (2), 205-240.
788 doi:10.1017/S0014479710000876.
789 Hayes, M., O. Wilhelmi, and C. Knutson, 2004: Reducing drought risk: Bridging theory
790 and practice. *Nat. Hazards Rev.* doi:10.1061/(asce)1527-6988(2004)5:2(106)

791 Heim, R. R., and M. J. Brewer, 2012: The Global Drought Monitor Portal: The
792 Foundation for a Global Drought Information System. *Earth Interact.*, 16, 1–28. doi:
793 http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/2012EI000446.1
794 Henderson-Sellers, A, Z-L Yang, and R.E. Dickinson, 1993: The Project for
795 Intercomparison of Land-surface Parameterization Schemes, *Bulletin of American*
796 *Meteorological Society*, 74:1335-1349.
797 Houborg, R., M. Rodell, B. Li, R. Reichle, and B. F. Zaitchik, 2012: Drought indicators
798 based on model-assimilated Gravity Recovery and Climate Experiment (GRACE)
799 terrestrial water storage observations. *Water Resources Research*, 48, 7
800 Huffman, G. J., R. F. Adler, D. T. Bolvin, and G. Gu, 2009: Improving the global
801 precipitation record: GPCP Version 2.1, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 36(17), L17808, doi:
802 10.1029/2009gl040000.
803 Ingram, K. T., M. C. Roncolia, and P. H. Kirshen, 2002: Opportunities and constraints for
804 farmers of west Africa to use seasonal precipitation forecasts with Burkina Faso as a
805 case study. *Agricultural Systems*, 74 (3), 331–349.
806 Jarlan, L., E. Mougin, P. Frison, P. Mazzeg, and P. Hiernaux, 2002: Analysis of ERS
807 wind scatterometer time series over Sahel (Mali). *Remote Sensing of Environment* **81**,
808 404-415.
809 Jin, E., and Coauthors, 2008: Current status of ENSO prediction skill in coupled ocean-
810 atmosphere models. *Climate Dyn.*, 31(6), 647-664, doi: 10.1007/s00382-008-0397-3.
811 Jones, L. A., and Kimball, J. S., 2012: A global daily record of land parameter retrievals
812 from AMSR-E (Version 1.2, Update 1), Numerical Terradynamic Simulation Group
813 (NTSG), The University of Montana.

814 Jones, M. O., J. S. Kimball, L. Jones, and K. C. McDonald, 2012: Satellite passive
815 microwave detection of North America start of season. *Remote Sensing of*
816 *Environment,* 123, 324-333.
817 Kerr Y., P. Waldteufel, P. Richaume, J.-P. Wigneron, P. Ferrazzoli, A. Mahmoodi, A. Al
818 Bitar, F. Cabot, C. Gruhier, S. Juglea, D. Leroux, A. Mialon, and S. Delwart, 2012:
819 The SMOS Soil Moisture Retrieval Algorithm. *IEEE TGRS*, 50(5), 1384-1403. DOI:
820 10.1109/TGRS.2012.2184548.
821 Kotir, J., 2011: Climate change and variability in Sub-Saharan Africa: a review of current
822 and future trends and impacts on agriculture and food security. *Environment,*
823 *Development and Sustainability*, 13 (3), 587-605.
824 Lavers, D., L. Luo, and E. F. Wood, 2009: A multiple model assessment of seasonal
825 climate forecast skill for applications, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 36(23), L23711
826 Li, H., L. Luo, E. F. Wood, and J. Schaake, 2009: The role of initial conditions and
827 forcing uncertainties in seasonal hydrologic forecasting, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 114,
828 D04114, doi:10.1029/2008JD010969.
829 Liang, X., E. F. Wood, and D. P. Lettenmaier, 1996: Surface soil moisture
830 parameterization of the VIC-2L Evaluation and modification. *Global and Planetary*
831 *Change*, 13, 195-206.
832 Liu, Y. Y., R. A. M. de Jeu, M. F. McCabe, J. P. Evans, and A. I. J. M. van Dijk, 2011:
833 Global long- term passive microwave satellite- based retrievals of vegetation optical
834 depth, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 38, L18402, doi:10.1029/2011GL048684.

835 Luo, L., E. F. Wood, and M. Pan, 2007: Bayesian merging of multiple climate model
836 forecasts for seasonal hydrological predictions, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 112, D10102,
837 doi:10.1029/2006JD007655.
838 Luo, L., and E. F. Wood, 2008: Use of Bayesian merging techniques in a multi-model
839 seasonal hydrologic ensemble prediction system for the Eastern U.S. *J. Hydrometeo.*,
840 5, 866-884.
841 Luseno, W. K., J. G. McPeak, C. B. Barrett, P. D. Little, and G. Gebru, 2003: Assessing
842 the Value of Climate Forecast Information for Pastoralists: Evidence from Southern
843 Ethiopia and Northern Kenya. *World Development*, 31 (9), 1477-1494.
844 Lyon, B., and D. G. DeWitt, 2012: A recent and abrupt decline in the East African long
845 rains. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 39, L02702, doi:10.1029/2011GL050337.
846 MacDonald, A. M., H. C. Bonsor, B. É. Ó. Dochartaigh, and R. G. Taylor, 2012:
847 Quantitative maps of groundwater resources in Africa. *Environ. Res. Lett.*, 7, 024009.
848 doi:10.1088/1748-9326/7/2/024009.
849 Manatsa, D. L. Unganai, C. Gadzirai, and, S. K. Behera, 2012: An innovative tailored
850 seasonal rainfall forecasting production in Zimbabwe. *Nat. Hazards*, 64 (2), 1187-
851 1207. DOI: 10.1007/s11069-012-0286-2.
852 Maxwell, D., and M. Fitzpatrick, 2012: The 2011 Somalia famine: Context, causes, and
853 complications. *Global Food Security*, 1 (1), 5-12.
854 Mckee, T. B., N. J. Doesken, and J. Kleist, 1993: The relationship of drought frequency
855 and duration to time scales. Eighth Conference on Applied Climatology.
856 Milzow, C., P. E. Krogh, and P. Bauer-Gottwein, 2010: Combining satellite radar
857 altimetry, SAR surface soil moisture and GRACE total storage changes for model

858 calibration and validation in a large ungauged catchment. *Hydrology and Earth*
859 *System Sciences Discussions*, 7 (6), 9123-9154.
860 Mitchell, K. E., D. Lohmann, P. R. Houser, E. F. Wood, J. C. Schaake, A. Robock, B. A.
861 Cosgrove, J. Sheffield, Q. Duan, L. Luo, R. W. Higgins, R. T. Pinker, J. D. Tarpley,
862 D. P. Lettenmaier, C. H. Marshall, J. K. Entin, M. Pan, W. Shi, V. Koren, J. Meng, B.
863 H. Ramsay, and A. A. Bailey, 2004: The Multi-institution North American Land Data
864 Assimilation System (NLDAS): Utilizing multiple GCIP products and partners in a
865 continental distributed hydrological modeling system. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 109, D07S90,
866 doi: 10.1029/2003JD003823.
867 Mortimore, M. J., and W. M. Adams, 2001: Farmer adaptation, change and 'crisis' in the
868 Sahel. *Global Environmental Change*, 11, 49–57.
869 Mu, Q., F. A. Heinsch, M. Zhao, S. W. Running, 2007: Development of a global
870 evapotranspiration algorithm based on MODIS and global meteorology data. *Remote*
871 *Sensing of Environment*, 111, 519-536. doi: 10.1016/j.rse.2007.04.015.
872 Mu, Q., M. Zhao, J. S. Kimball, N. G. McDowell, and S. W. Running, 2013: A remotely
873 sensed global terrestrial drought severity index. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, 94, 83–98.
874 doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-11-00213.1
875 Nash, J. E. and J. V. Sutcliffe, 1970: River flow forecasting through conceptual models
876 part I - A discussion of principles. *J. Hydrology*, 10 (3), 282–290.
877 NCDC, 2012: Billion-Dollar Weather/Climate Events. Available online:
878 http://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/billions
879 Oettli, P., B. Sultan, C. Baron and M. Vrac, 2011: Are regional climate models relevant
880 for crop yield prediction in West Africa ? *Environ. Res. Lett.*, 6, 9pp.

881 Ogallo, L., P. Bessemoulin, J. P. Ceron, S. Mason, S., and S. J. Connor, 2008: Adapting
882 to climate variability and change: The climate outlook forum process. WMO Bulletin,
883 57, 93–102.
884 Pan, M., H. Li, and E. F. Wood. 2010: Assessing the Skill of Satellite-based Precipitation
885 Estimates in Hydrologic Applications, *Water Resources Research*, 46, W09535,
886 doi:10.1029/2009WR008290.
887 Pan, M., A. K. Sahoo, T. J. Troy, R. K. Vinukollu, J. Sheffield, and E. F. Wood, 2012:
888 Multisource estimation of long-term terrestrial water budget for major global river
889 basins. *J. Climate*, 25 (9), 3191-3206. DOI: 10.1175/JCLI-D-11-00300.1.
890 Pan, M., A. K. Sahoo, E. F. Wood, A. Al-Bitar, D. Leroux, and Y. Kerr, 2012: An Initial
891 Assessment of SMOS derived Soil Moisture over the Continental United States, *IEEE*
892 *Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing*,
893 doi:10.1109/JSTARS.2012.2194477 (in press).
894 Pappenberger, F., J. Thielen, and M. Del Medico, 2011: The impact of weather forecast
895 improvements on large scale hydrology: analysing a decade of forecasts of the
896 European Flood Alert System. *Hydr. Proc.*, *25*(7), 1091-1113.
897 Patt, A. G., L. Ogallo, and M. Hellmuth, 2007: Learning from 10 years of Climate
898 Outlook Forums in Africa. *Science*, 318 (5847), 49-50. DOI:
899 10.1126/science.1147909.
900 Pellarin, T., A. Ali, F. Chopin, I. Jobard, J.-C. Bergès, 2008: Using spaceborne surface
901 soil moisture to constrain satellite precipitation estimates over West Africa.
902 *Geophysical Research Letters*, 35, L02813, doi:10.1029/2007GL032243.

903 Pellarin, T., S. Louvet, C. Gruhier, G. Quantin, and C. Legout, 2012: A simple and
904 effective method for correcting soil moisture and precipitation estimates using
905 AMSR-E measurements, *Remote Sensing of Environment*, submitted.
906 Pozzi, W., J. Sheffield, R. Stefanski, D. Cripe, R. Pulwarty, et al., 2013: Framework for
907 global drought early warning: Expanding international cooperation for development
908 of a framework for global drought monitoring and forecasting. *Bull. Amer. Meteor.*
909 *Soc.*, in press.
910 Quan, X.-W., M. Hoerling, B. Lyon, A. Kumar, M. Bell, M. Tippett, and H. Wang, 2012:
911 Prospects for dynamical prediction of meteorological drought. *J. Appl. Meteor.*
912 *Climatol.*, 51, 1238-1252.
913 Roncoli, C., 2006: Ethnographic and participatory approaches to research on farmers'
914 responses to climate predictions. *Clim. Res.*, 33, 81-99.
915 Roncoli, C., B. S. Orlove, M. R. Kabugo, and M. M. Waiswa, 2011: Cultural styles of
916 participation in farmers' discussions of seasonal climate forecasts in Uganda.
917 *Agriculture and Human Values*, 28 (1), 123-138.
918 Rowell, D. P., 2013: Simulating SST Teleconnections to Africa: What is the State of the
919 Art? *J. Climate*, doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-12-00761.1
920 Saha, S., and Coauthors, 2006: The NCEP Climate Forecast System. *J. Climate*, 19,
921 3483–3517. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/JCLI3812.1
922 Sahoo, A. K., G. D. L. De Lannoy, R. Reichle, and P. Houser, 2012: Assimilation and
923 Downscaling of Satellite Observed Soil Moisture over the Little River Experimental
924 Watershed in Georgia, USA. *Advances in Water Resources*, (in press).

925 Sahoo, A., E. F. Wood, J. Sheffield, M. Pa, A. Albitar, D. Leroux, and Y. Kerr, 2011: A
926 strategy for global drought monitoring using SMOS soil moisture observations.
927 *Geophysical Research Abstracts*, Vol. 13, EGU2011-8409.
928 Sellers, P. J., J. A. Berry, G. J. Collatz, C. B. Field, and E. G. Hall, 1992: Canopy
929 Reflectance, Photosynthesis, and Transpiration. III. A Reanalysis Using Improved
930 Leaf Models and a New Canopy Integration Scheme. *Remote Sensing of Environment*
931 42, 187-216.
932 Seneviratne, S. I., N. Nicholls, D. Easterling, C. M. Goodess, S. Kanae, J. Kossin, Y.
933 Luo, J. Marengo, K. McInnes, M. Rahimi, M. Reichstein, A. Sorteberg, C. Vera, and
934 X. Zhang, 2012: Changes in climate extremes and their impacts on the natural
935 physical environment. In: Managing the Risks of Extreme Events and Disasters to
936 Advance Climate Change Adaptation [Field, C.B., V. Barros, T.F. Stocker, D. Qin,
937 D.J. Dokken, K.L. Ebi, M.D. Mastrandrea, K.J. Mach, G.-K. Plattner, S.K. Allen, M.
938 Tignor, and P.M. Midgley (eds.)]. A Special Report of Working Groups I and II of
939 the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). Cambridge University Press,
940 Cambridge, UK, and New York, NY, USA, pp. 109-230.
941 Sheffield, J., G. Goteti, F. Wen, and E. F. Wood, 2004: A simulated soil moisture based
942 drought analysis for the United States, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 109, D24108,
943 doi:10.1029/2004JD005182.
944 Sheffield, J., G. Goteti, and E. F. Wood, 2006: Development of a 50-yr high-resolution
945 global dataset of meteorological forcings for land surface modeling, *J. Climate*, 19
946 (13), 3088-3111.

947 Sheffield J., and E. F. Wood, 2008: Projected changes in drought occurrence under future
948 global warming from multi-model, multi-scenario, IPCC AR4 simulations, *Climate*
949 *Dynamics*, 13 (1), 79-105, doi:10.1007/s00382-007-0340-z.
950 Sheffield, J., K. M. Andreadis, E. F. Wood, and D. P. Lettenmaier, 2009: Global and
951 continental drought in the second half of the 20th century: severity-area-duration
952 analysis and temporal variability of large-scale events, *J. Climate*, 22(8), 1962-1981.
953 Sheffield, J., and E. F. Wood, 2011: Drought: Past Problems and Future Scenarios,
954 Earthscan, UK, pp 192.
955 Shukla, S., J. Sheffield, E. F. Wood, and D. P. Lettenmaier, 2013: On the sources of
956 global land surface hydrologic predictability, *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss.*, 10,
957 1987-2013, doi:10.5194/hessd-10-1987-2013.
958 Smith, D. M., A. A. Scaife, and B. P. Kirtman, 2012: What is the current state of
959 scientific knowledge with regard to seasonal to decadal forecasting. *Environ. Res.*
960 *Lett.*, 7, 015602.
961 Stisen, S., and I. Sandholt, 2010: Evaluation of remote-sensing-based rainfall products
962 through predictive capability in hydrological runoff modelling. *Hydrol. Process.*, 24,
963 879–891.
964 Svoboda, M., and Coauthors, 2002: The Drought Monitor. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*,
965 83(8), 1181-1190, doi: 10.1175/1520-0477(2002)083<1181:tdm>2.3.co;2.
966 Sylla, M. B., F. Giorgi, E. Coppola, and L. Mariotti, 2012: Uncertainties in daily rainfall
967 over Africa: assessment of gridded observation products and evaluation of a regional
968 climate model simulation. *Int. J. Climatol.*. doi: 10.1002/joc.3551

969 Tallaksen, L. M., and H. A. J. van Lanen (eds) *Hydrological Drought: Processes and*
970 *Estimation Methods for Streamflow and Groundwater*, Developments in Water
971 Science, Elsevier Science B.V., vol 48, pp53–96
972 Tang, Q., H. Gao, H. Lu, and D. P. Lettenmaier, 2009: Remote sensing: hydrology.
973 *Progress in Physical Geography*, 33, 490. DOI: 10.1177/0309133309346650.
974 Tapley, B. D., S. Bettadpur, J. C. Ries, P. F. Thompson, and M. M. Watkins, 2004:
975 GRACE Measurements of Mass Variability in the Earth System, *Science*, *305*(5683),
976 503-505.
977 Tarhule, A., and P. J. Lamb, 2003: Climate research and seasonal forecasting for West
978 Africans: perceptions, dissemination, and use? *Bull. Amer. Met. Soc.*, 84, 1741–1759.
979 Troy, T. J., E. F. Wood, and J. Sheffield, 2008: An efficient calibration method for
980 continental-scale land surface modeling. *Water Resources Research*, 44.
981 Tucker, C. J., J. E. Pinzon, M. E. Brown, D. A. Slayback, E. W. Paka, E. Mahoney, E. F.
982 Vermote, and N. E. Saleous, 2005: An extended AVHRR 8-km NDVI dataset
983 compatible with MODIS and SPOT vegetation NDVI data. *International Journal of*
984 *Remote Sensing*, 26 (20**)**, 4485-4498.
985 UN Millennium Project, 2005: Investing in development: a practical plan to achieve the
986 millennium development goals (ed. J. D. Sachs). London: Earthscan Publications.
987 UN/ISDR, 2007: Drought risk reduction framework and practices: Contributing to the
988 implementation of the Hyogo Framework for Action, United Nations Secretariat of
989 the International Strategy for Disaster Reduction, Geneva, Switzerland.
990 UNOCHR, United Nations Organization for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs,
991 2011: Eastern Africa Drought Humanitarian Report 4, 15 July, New York, NY.

van Dijk, A. I. J. M., and L. J. Renzullo, 2011: Water resource monitoring systems and the role of satellite observations. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 15 (1), 39-55.

van Dijk, A., J. Peña-Arancibia, E. F. Wood, J. Sheffield, H. Beck, 2013: Seasonal streamflow predictability: skill of a global ensemble streamflow prediction system assessed for 6192 small catchments worldwide, *Water. Resour. Res.*, accepted

Vinukollu, R. K., R. Meynadier, J. Sheffield, and E. F. Wood, 2011: Multi-model, multi-sensor estimates of global evapotranspiration: climatology, uncertainties and trends. *Hydrol. Process.*, 25: 3993–4010. doi: 10.1002/hyp.8393.

Wang, A., D. P. Lettenmaier, and J. Sheffield, 2011: Soil moisture drought in China, 1950-2006. *J Climate*, 24 (13), 3257-3271. doi: 10.1176/2011JCLI3733.1

Wardlow, B., M. Anderson and J. Verdin (eds.), 2012: Remote sensing of drought: Innovative monitoring approaches. Taylor and Francis, London, United Kingdom, p. 270.

Webster P. J., and J. Jian 2011: Probability, uncertainty and prediction: A pathway towards the alleviation of poverty in the developing world. *Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc.*, 369 (1956).

Webster, P. J., 2013: Improve weather forecasts for the developing world. *Nature*, 493, 17. doi: 10.1038/493017a.

Weisheimer, A., F. J. Doblas-Reyes, T. N. Palmer, A. Alessandri, A. Arribas, M. Déqué, N. Keenlyside, M. MacVean, A. Navarra, and P. Rogel, 2009: ENSEMBLES: A new multi-model ensemble for seasonal-to-annual predictions-Skill and progress beyond

1014 DEMETER in forecasting tropical Pacific SSTs. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 36, L21711,
1015 doi:10.1029/2009GL040896.
1016 Williams, A. P, and C. Funk, 2011: A westward extension of the warm pool leads to a
1017 westward extension of the Walker circulation, drying eastern Africa. *Climate*
1018 *Dynamics*, 37 (11-12), 2417-2435. DOI: 10.1007/s00382-010-0984-y.
1019 Vogt, J. V., P. Barbosa, B. Hofer, and A. Singleton, 2011: Developing a European
1020 Drought Observatory for Monitoring, Assessing and Forecasting Droughts across the
1021 European Continent, *Geophysical Research Abstracts*, European Geosciences Union,
1022 13.
1023 Xia, Y., K. Mitchell, M. Ek, J. Sheffield, B. Cosgrove, E. Wood, L. Luo, C. Alonge, H.
1024 Wei, J. Meng, B. Livneh, D. Lettenmaier, V. Koren, Q. Duan, K. Mo, Y. Fan, D.
1025 Mocko, 2012: Continental-Scale Water and Energy Flux Analysis and Validation for
1026 the North-American Land Data Assimilation System Project Phase 2 (NLDAS-2),
1027 Part 1: Intercomparison and Application of Model Products. *J. Geophys, Res.*, Vol.
1028 117, No. D3, D03110, http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2011JD016051
1029 Yilmaz, K. K., Adler, R.F., Tian, Y., Hong, Y., Pierce, H., 2010: Evaluation of a
1030 Satellite-based Global Flood Monitoring System. *International Journal of Remote*
1031 *Sensing*, 31(14), 3763-3782. doi: 10.1080/01431161.2010.483489
1032 Yoon, J. H., K. Mo, and E. F. Wood, 2012: Dynamic-model-based seasonal prediction of
1033 meteorological drought over the contiguous United States. *J. Hydrometeor.*, 13, 463-
1034 481.

1035 Yuan, X., E. F. Wood, L. Luo, and M. Pan, 2011: A first look at Climate Forecast System
1036 version 2 (CFSv2) for hydrological seasonal prediction. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 38,
1037 L13402. doi:10.1029/2011GL047792.
1038 Yuan, X., E. F. Wood, N. W. Chaney, J. Sheffield, J. Kam, M. Liang, and K. Guan, 2013:
1039 Probabilistic seasonal forecasting of African drought by dynamical models. *J.*
1040 *Hydrometeor.*, in review.
1041 Yuan, X., and E. F. Wood, 2013: Multi-model seasonal forecasting of global drought
1042 onset. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, doi:10.1002/grl.50949, in press.
1043 Zhou, T., B. Nijssen, G. J. Huffman, and D. P. Lettenmaier, 2013: Evaluation of the
1044 TRMM real-time multi-satellite precipitation analysis version 7 for macro scale
1045 hydrologic prediction. *Geophysical Research Letters* (submitted)
1046 Ziervogel, G., and R. Calder, 2003: Climate variability and rural livelihoods: assessing
1047 the impact of seasonal climate forecasts in Lesotho. *Area*, 35(4), 403-417.
1048 Ziervogel, G., P. Johnston, M. Matthew, and P. Mukheibir, 2010: Using climate
1049 information for supporting climate change adaptation in water resource management
1050 in South Africa. *Climatic Change*, 103. 3-4. 537-554.
1051
1052
1053
1054

1055

1056 **Table 1**. Drought indices represented in the African Drought Monitor and their attributes.

Index	Data Source	Drought Type	Attributes
Standardized Precipitation Index (SPI)	Bias corrected TMPA (2009-present), hybrid observational/reanalysis (1950-2008)	Meteorological drought	0.25-degree, SPI-1,3,6,12
VIC Soil Moisture Index	VIC land surface model (1950-present);	Agricultural drought	0.25-degree, daily
SMOS Soil Moisture Index	SMOS retrievals (2010-present)	Agricultural drought (top 5cm of soil)	0.25-degree, daily
NDVI/EVI	GIMMS NDVI (1982-2008); MODIS EVI (2000-present);	Ecological drought (optical-based)	8km/0.5-degree, bi-monthly/daily
VOD Index	SSM/I,TRMM,AMSR-E VOD (1987-2008); AMSR-E VOD (2000-present)	Ecological drought (passive microwave)	0.25-degree, daily
dB Index	QuickSCAT (1999-2009), ASCAT (2009-present)	Ecological-hydrological drought (active microwave)	0.25-degree, 2/4 days

Streamflow percentiles	VIC land surface model (1950-present)	Hydrological drought	822 streamflow gauges, daily/monthly
Cumulative streamflow deficit	VIC land surface model (1950-present)	Hydrological drought	822 streamflow gauges, daily/monthly

1057

1058

Figure Captions

Figure 1. Seasonal mean precipitation for 1950-2008 for (a) December-February, (b) March-May, (c) June-August and (d) September-November.

Figure 2. Seasonal standard deviation of precipitation for 1950-2008 for (a) December-February, (b) March-May, (c) June-August and (d) September-November.

Figure 3. Flow chart of the African Drought Monitor and Forecast System. The system comprises three parts: 1) Historic reconstructions of the terrestrial hydrological cycle that is derived from simulations of the VIC land surface model forced by a hybrid reanalysis-observational meteorological dataset. The datasets are used for a variety of applications including analysis of historic drought events, estimation of trends and variability, and investigation of drought mechanisms. 2) Real-time monitoring component that updates the model run to 2-3 days from real-time forced by bias-corrected and downscaled TMPA satellite precipitation and GFS analysis fields of temperature and windspeed. There is also potential to force other impact models such as crop models. 3) Seasonal hydrological forecast component that uses bias-corrected and downscaled CFSv2 climate forecasts of precipitation and temperature to drive the model and provide ensemble predictions of drought conditions, for precipitation, soil moisture and streamflow. Existing components are shown in normal font, and potential future components in italic font.

Figure 4. The African Drought Monitor web interface (http://hydrology.princeton.edu/adm) enables users to access the system's input and output data interactively. Google Maps is used to display the spatial information in an efficient and intuitive manner. The user can visualize and animate the temporal evolution of the drought-related hydrologic variables over the continent (top right) and select

among the stream gauges monitored in the system to display the time series of the evolution of basin averaged water balance variables and drought products (bottom). The user can also readily download the data.

Figure 5. (a) A training session at the first workshop in January 2012 at the AGRHYMET center in Niamey, Niger, in which participants from national meteorological and hydrological agencies and river basin management authorities were trained in the use of the system. (b) Participants from the second workshop in June 2012 at the ICPAC center in Nairobi, Kenya.

Figure 6. Evaluation of VIC model input and output data. Annual mean precipitation (mm/day) for 2003-2008 for (a) the TMPA 3B42RT V6 satellite product, (b) the long-term (1948-2008) historic ADM data, (c) and their difference (TMPA - ADM). Monthly Nash-Sutcliffe efficiency values of the VIC simulated streamflow with respect to available historic GRDC observations for (d) the uncalibrated model, (e) the calibrated model, and (f) their difference (calibrated – uncalibrated). Annual mean evapotranspiration (mm/day) for 1997-2006 for (g) the RS-PM satellite product of Vinokullo et al., (2012), (h) VIC, and (i) their difference (VIC – RS-PM). The seasonal range in water storage (ΔS; mm) for 2003-2011 for (j) GRACE JPL rel5, (k) VIC, and (l) their difference GRACE - VIC.

Figure 7. An example of streamflow validation over the Greater Horn of Africa region for a diverse set of basins. (top right) location of validation sites selected by participants from national hydrological agencies at the ICPAC workshop in 2012. (top two rows) Examples of time series of simulated streamflow discharge from the ADM compared to available observations. The Beledweyne site is on the Shebeelle River in south-central

Somalia, which is an area of intensive irrigation. The Bardera site is on the Juba River in southern Somalia, which is an important agricultural area. Note the lack of observational data during the peak of the Somali civil war in the 1990s. The Ndago site is in a small catchment in southwestern Burundi. The Ruizi site is in southwestern Uganda and may be subject to water abstractions. The Kapiri site in eastern Uganda is in a wetland area between two lakes. (bottom row) Summary comparisons for 16 sites in Somalia, Uganda and Burundi for (left) mean flows, (middle) 3-day peak flows, and (bottom) 7-day low flows. The median (horizontal line), inter-quartile range (box) and range (whiskers) are shown for the observations and ADM predictions.

Figure 8. Brier skill score for 1, 2 and 3-month forecasts of soil moisture for (a) West Africa (forecast date = 15 April), (b) East Africa (forecast date = 15 March) and (c) Southern Africa (forecast date = 15 October). The forecasts are from VIC model simulated soil moisture forced by bias-corrected and downscaled CFSv2 climate forecasts, and evaluated relative to the VIC observation-forced historic simulation. The reference forecast is the soil moisture climatology from the offline simulation.

Figure 9. The evolution of the 2010-2011 drought over the Horn of Africa. (left) Time series of drought indices averaged over the HoA region (30-52E, 5S-15N) and (right) maps of drought indices at the height of the drought as indicated by the gray shading in the time series. The bottom time series shows the simulated streamflow at the Agfoi gauging station on the Shebelle River (upstream area = 107,336 km^2), which flows from the Ethiopian highlands and is one of the two main rivers (along with the Jubba River) in southern Somalia. All indices except SPI3 are calculated as percentiles.

Figure 10. Seasonal hydrological forecasts of the 2010/11 Horn of Africa drought. (a) Soil moisture percentile averaged over the main drought region (40-52E, 3-12N) from the historic VIC simulation, September 2010 forecast and February 2011 forecast. (b) Percent area in drought based on a drought threshold of the 20th percentile of soil moisture for the historic simulation and the two forecasts. The forecasts are shown for 10 ensemble members and the ensemble mean (thick lines).

1134



1135

1136

1137 **Figure 1**. Seasonal mean precipitation for 1950-2008 for (a) December-February, (b)

1138 March-May, (c) June-August and (d) September-November.

1139



Figure 2. Seasonal standard deviation of precipitation for 1950-2008 for (a) December-February, (b) March-May, (c) June-August and (d) September-November.



Figure 3. Flow chart of the African Drought Monitor and Forecast System. The system comprises three parts: 1) Historic reconstructions of the terrestrial hydrological cycle that is derived from simulations of the VIC land surface model forced by a hybrid reanalysis-observational meteorological dataset. The datasets are used for a variety of applications including analysis of historic drought events, estimation of trends and variability, and investigation of drought mechanisms. 2) Real-time monitoring component that updates the model run to 2-3 days from real-time forced by bias-corrected and downscaled TMPA satellite precipitation and GFS analysis fields of temperature and windspeed. There is also potential to force other impact models such as crop models. 3) Seasonal hydrological forecast component that uses bias-corrected and downscaled CFSv2 climate forecasts of precipitation and temperature to drive the model and provide ensemble predictions of

drought conditions, for precipitation, soil moisture and streamflow. Existing components are shown in normal font, and potential future components in italic font.

1159



1160

1161 **Figure 4**. The African Drought Monitor web interface
1162 (http://hydrology.princeton.edu/adm) enables users to access the system's input and
1163 output data interactively. Google Maps is used to display the spatial information in an
1164 efficient and intuitive manner. The user can visualize and animate the temporal evolution
1165 of the drought-related hydrologic variables over the continent (top right) and select
1166 among the stream gauges monitored in the system to display the time series of the
1167 evolution of basin averaged water balance variables and drought products (bottom). The
1168 user can also readily download the data.

Figure 5. (a) A training session at the first workshop in January 2012 at the AGRHYMET center in Niamey, Niger, in which participants from national meteorological and hydrological agencies and river basin management authorities were trained in the use of the system. (b) Participants from the second workshop in June 2012 at the ICPAC center in Nairobi, Kenya.


(a) Precip. TMPA
(b) Precip. ADM
(c) TMPA – ADM
Precipitation (mm/day)
0 1 2 3 4 5
−1.0 −0.5 0.0 0.5 1.0
(d) NSE uncalibrated
(e) NSE calibrated
(f) NSE cal – uncal
NSE
−1.0 −0.5 0.0 0.5 1.0
(g) Evap. RSPM
(h) Evap. ADM
(i) RSPM – ADM
Evapotranspiration (mm/day)
0 1 2 3 4 5
−1.0 −0.5 0.0 0.5 1.0
(j) ΔS GRACE
(k) ΔS ADM
(l) GRACE – ADM
Change in Storage (mm)
0 100 200 300
−120 −60 0 60 120

1176

Figure 6. Evaluation of VIC model input and output data. Annual mean precipitation (mm/day) for 2003-2008 for (a) the TMPA 3B42RT V6 satellite product, (b) the long-term (1948-2008) historic ADM data, (c) and their difference (TMPA - ADM). Monthly Nash-Sutcliffe efficiency values of the VIC simulated streamflow with respect to available historic GRDC observations for (d) the uncalibrated model, (e) the calibrated model, and (f) their difference (calibrated – uncalibrated). Annual mean evapotranspiration (mm/day) for 1997-2006 for (g) the RS-PM satellite product of Vinokullo et al., (2012), (h) VIC, and (i) their difference (VIC – RS-PM). The seasonal range in water storage (ΔS; mm) for 2003-2011 for (j) GRACE JPL rel5, (k) VIC, and (l) their difference GRACE - VIC.



Figure 7. An example of streamflow validation over the Greater Horn of Africa region for a diverse set of basins. (top right) location of validation sites selected by participants from national hydrological agencies at the ICPAC workshop in 2012. (top two rows) Examples of time series of simulated streamflow discharge from the ADM compared to available observations. The Beledweyne site is on the Shebeelle River in south-central Somalia, which is an area of intensive irrigation. The Bardera site is on the Juba River in

1196 southern Somalia, which is an important agricultural area. Note the lack of observational
1197 data during the peak of the Somali civil war in the 1990s. The Ndago site is in a small
1198 catchment in southwestern Burundi. The Ruizi site is in southwestern Uganda and may be
1199 subject to water abstractions. The Kapiri site in eastern Uganda is in a wetland area
1200 between two lakes. (bottom row) Summary comparisons for 16 sites in Somalia, Uganda
1201 and Burundi for (left) mean flows, (middle) 3-day peak flows, and (bottom) 7-day low
1202 flows. The median (horizontal line), inter-quartile range (box) and range (whiskers) are
1203 shown for the observations and ADM predictions.
1204



Figure 8. Brier skill score for 1, 2 and 3-month forecasts of soil moisture for (a) West Africa (forecast date = 15 April), (b) East Africa (forecast date = 15 March) and (c) Southern Africa (forecast date = 15 October). The forecasts are from VIC model simulated soil moisture forced by bias-corrected and downscaled CFSv2 climate forecasts, and evaluated relative to the VIC observation-forced historic simulation. The reference forecast is the soil moisture climatology from the offline simulation.



1214

1215 **Figure 9.** The evolution of the 2010-2011 drought over the Horn of Africa. (left) Time

1216 series of drought indices averaged over the HoA region (30-52E, 5S-15N) and (right)

1217 maps of drought indices at the height of the drought as indicated by the gray shading in

the time series. The bottom time series shows the simulated streamflow at the Agfoi gauging station on the Shebelle River (upstream area = 107,336 km^2), which flows from the Ethiopian highlands and is one of the two main rivers (along with the Jubba River) in southern Somalia. All indices except SPI3 are calculated as percentiles.



Figure 10. Seasonal hydrological forecasts of the 2010/11 Horn of Africa drought. (a) Soil moisture percentile averaged over the main drought region (40-52E, 3-12N) from the historic VIC simulation, September 2010 forecast and February 2011 forecast. (b) Percent area in drought based on a drought threshold of the 20th percentile of soil moisture for the historic simulation and the two forecasts. The forecasts are shown for 10 ensemble members and the ensemble mean (thick lines).