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ABSTRACT
Consumption of poultry meat, eggs, and other animal-sourced commodities has dramatically risen by almost 86%, with the demand of indigenous chicken products almost doubling over the past few decades. In Kenya, poultry farmers prefer indigenous chicken due to their resilience to harsh climatic conditions, high feed conversion rates, delicious end products, ability to scavenge and potential to reduce greenhouse gas emissions among other factors. Despite the high demand for poultry and its products, the gap between demand and production remains high. Poultry farmers try to keep pace with the demand by integrating the recommended improved IC breeds into their production system. Although there exists some understanding on the determinants of the farmers to adopt improved IC, still there is scanty information on how socio-psychological factors influence the intention to adoption improved IC among the farmers in Kenya. Thus, this study sought to investigate the determinants of intention to adopt improved IC while specially focusing on the role of socio-psychological factors. A total of 374 IC farmers in Machakos county were selected using a multistage sampling technique. Partial Least Square - Structural Equation Modelling (PLS-SEM) was employed to analyze the data. Results from descriptive statistics showed that approximately 90% of who IC farmers in the study area were aware of the improved indigenous chicken breeds. However, the adoption of the improved IC was below average (44.9%). The path analysis results revealed that Subjective Norm (SN) was the main determinant of farmer’s intention to adopt improved IC breeds, followed by Attitude (ATT) and Perceived Behavioral Control (PBC). The study recommends more emphasis to be given to psycho-social issues through well designed public and private interventions that will promote adoption of improved breeds among IC farmers.
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1.0 INTRODUCTION

Animal-source proteins particularly poultry meat and eggs remain the most commonly consumed foods globally of which at least 33% of meat is poultry (World Bank, 2019). The consumption of poultry meat, eggs, and other animal-sourced commodities has dramatically risen by almost 86%, or 3% since 2001 with the demand of indigenous chicken almost doubling over the past few decades (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, 2021). The global consumption of poultry meat is thus predicted to account for 41% of all proteins obtained from animal sources by the year 2030 (Organization of Economic Cooperation and Development, 2018; FAO, 2021; World Economic Forum, 2019). Growth in per capita consumption in both developed and developing countries is expected to increase with the poultry subsector anticipated to account for 44% share of the total meat output globally (World Bank, 2018). The aggregated global meat consumption in the world is also expected to rise by 1.1kg retail weight equivalent (r.w.e) with poultry accounting for 0.8kg r.w.e by year the 2027 (OECD/FAO, 2019). Increased human population, high rate of urbanization, health consciousness, and rising average disposable income have been linked to the growth in consumption (El-Deek and El-Sabrout, 2018; The Republic of Kenya, 2019). It is projected that 68% of the world population will be living in urban areas by 2050 triggering further demand for more poultry products (United Nations, 2017). Projections also indicate that consumption of white meat in most developing countries such as Kenya will surpass red meat (OECD/FAO, 2018). The current state of world consumption calls for more attention towards poultry production, given the anticipated increase in meat consumption from 134 million tonnes to 152 million tonnes (MT) by the year 2030 (FAO, 2021; World Bank, 2018).

In Kenya, chicken is estimated to constitute 98% of the poultry population with the remaining proportion (2%) accounting for other types of poultry namely; ostrich, doves, quail, geese, guinea fowls, and turkey (RoK, 2019). Chicken dominates in the poultry sector with indigenous chicken (IC) constituting 70% of the total poultry population (RoK, 2019; Motet & Tempio, 2017). 80% of households living in rural areas rely on IC to bridge some of the precautionary demand for finances (Magothe et al., 2012). Venturing into IC production has also proved beneficial through the provision of employment and more so to vulnerable groups such as women, youths, and people living with disabilities (FAO, 2015; Smith et al., 2015). Further, IC production provides an avenue toward poverty reduction as well as mitigating food insecurity in developing countries through increased food production (World Bank, 2019). Indigenous chicken is preferred by farmers due to their ability to scavenge, high feed conversion efficiency, high survivability rate even in harsh environments, and their quality products (Wong et al., 2017; Fotsa et al., 2014). Rearing of IC would therefore provide a solution towards the reduction of agricultural climatic shocks among the smallholder farmers in dry areas, hence achieving resilience (UNDP, 2017; Livestock Development Strategy for Africa, 2015). As well, studies have documented the potential of IC to minimizing greenhouse gases emission as compared with other types of livestock (Smith et al., 2015; Ilatsia et al., 2017).

There exists an unmet demand for IC products (meat and eggs) despite the projected country population of 96 million people by the year 2050 (Livestock Development Strategy for Africa, 2015; FAO, 2019). Some of the setbacks experienced in IC production include; low genetic potential, diseases, and chick’s mortalities, just to mention a few, hence leading to low productivity (Atela et al., 2016; Wong et al., 2017). The aforementioned scenario calls for transformative processes such as the integration of improved IC production technologies in an attempt to bridge the production gap (UNCTAD, 2017; World Bank, 2018; ROK, 2019; FAO, 2019). In the last few years, agricultural stakeholders have been at the forefront of the
promotion of improved IC breeds such as KALRO improved chicken, Sasso, Kuroiler, etc. The breeds are dual purpose with higher potential of productivity and mature faster as compared with the local IC (KALRO, 2018). Despite the various activities to achieve the expected output by the stakeholders, information on the process of adoption of improved IC breeds remains scanty. Few efforts have been made in light of the psychological aspects that tend to influence farmers' intention to embrace agricultural technologies, with more attention being paid to socioeconomic features to document diverse adoption determinants. Understanding the psychological factors can influence whether or not farmers are motivated to use new agricultural technology. The study sought to determine the psychological factors influencing smallholder farmers' intentions to adopt improved IC breeds in Kenya.

2.0 ANALYTICAL FRAMEWORKS
2.1 Conceptual Framework

Agricultural technology uptake is driven by a series of connected internal and external determinants (Meijer et al., 2016). Agricultural knowledge transfer and adoption may be influenced by the degree of awareness and expertise, which in turn may affect the performance of technology (Silvestri et al., 2019). However, awareness and knowledge are bundled together or used interchangeably in most instances and viewed as preconditional to the use of a given technology or collection of technologies (David & Asamoah, 2011). Different sources of contemplation are also explored in the study, such as extension, diverse pathways, and relationships with other individuals (Tambo et al., 2019). Technological uptake can be bivalent, based on the training status of a farmer’s household, and are likely to cause a difference in performance (David & Asamoah, 2011). Moreover, successful adoption rates and narrow gaps between potential adoption and actual adoption are preceded by high awareness levels of agriculture technologies (Simotwe et al., 2012).

Smallholder farmers develop attitudes based on behavior costs towards agriculture technology that affect the likelihood of adoption and performance (Lagerkvist et al., 2015). Perception is determined by the awareness of technology, the interaction of the farmer with other sources of information within and outside the farms (Ajayi et al., 2013). Knowledge and attitude determine the extent of adoption of agriculture technology but are in turn influenced by other contextual factors such as farm sizes (Hothongcum et al., 2014). The sequence in which perception, awareness, and knowledge are organized may ultimately have a substantial effect on performance metrics such as yields and earnings. Perceptions do not necessarily convert into the adoption of new technology, since there are a variety of intervening elements that can help or impede the adoption process (Tambo et al., 2019). However, in cases where adoption occurs farmer's intentions and norms in the society should also be considered alongside socioeconomic characteristics (Mutyasira et al., 2018). The perception of adopters on technology is affected by prevailing government policy, practicality or applicability of technology, access to information, and performance of technology (Lima et al., 2018).

Extension services, which may be an intervening or driver variable, shapes smallholder farmers' perceptions and attitudes, which in turn influence productivity and earnings (Danso-Abbeam et al., 2018; Tiruneh et al., 2015). In most instances, extension contact is the preferred proxy for attitudes and perceptions, considering the challenges inherent in the disaggregation of awareness and knowledge (Tiamiyu et al., 2009). Furthermore, extension services influence the risk and perception among smallholder farmers, which determines the adoption of technology (Melesse, 2018). Performance of extension services affects the innovation levels
and individual perception, which include economic, hedonistic, and self-achievements (Walder et al., 2019; Tiruneh et al., 2015). However, it is also evident that the mode of extension delivery, alongside service provider biases, may affect the perception of new technology and the adoption (Somanjem et al., 2021). Adoption of an improved agricultural technology based on the impression, has a favorable effect on the performance of various agricultural operations of the adopters towards new technology or technological sets (Bartoli et al., 2019).

2.2 Theoretical Framework

This study utilizes the Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB) framework to understand farmer’s intention to adopt improved IC. Research studies have employed the framework to analyze intention adoption of agricultural technologies (Meijer et al., 2015; Borges, 2015; Hanson et al., 2018; Silva et al., 2017; Ajzen, 2015). The TPB framework was first developed by Ajzen (1991) in an attempt to provide more insight into analyzing the farmer’s intentions to behave in a given situation thus categorized under social cognitive theory. Ajzen (1991) asserts that intention can be evaluated using 3 constructs which include; attitude towards the behavior (ATT), subjective norm (SN), and perceived behavioral control (PBC). Intention can be defined as the probability of an individual engaging in a particular behavior that is influenced by salient beliefs (Conner & Armitage, 1998). Consequently, stronger individual intentions will increase the likelihood of performing a particular behavior (Ajzen, 1991). In the context of this study, intention refers to the extent to which farmers are motivated to improved poultry production technologies. Ajzen (1985) affirmed that attitude which forms the first construct expresses how well an individual perceives a behavior which in this case can be favorable or unfavorable. Therefore, the attitude construct remains a key determinant of an intention that further informs immediate behavior (Rezaei et al., 2019; Wauters et al., 2010).

Again, in his framework, Ajzen (1991) reported that social norms originate from social pressures which in this case influence an individual to act in a specific manner. Some of the sources of the social pressure include; groups of individuals, close friends, and family members. Studies have reported social norms to have got a positive influence on behavioral intention (Bockarjova & Steg, 2014; Chen & Tung, 2014). Lastly, perceived behavior control is defined as an individual’s perception of his capability to successfully execute the behavior (Yzer and Gilasevitch, 2019). However, the perception is mainly limited by the scarcity of individual resources such as knowledge, ability, capital, technology, etc (Zhou et al., 2019). In the context of this study, farmers would have a higher intention to produce more chicken under their systems when they evaluate improved poultry production technologies as more favorable (attitude), when they perceive social pressure to use these technologies to be higher (subjective norm), and when they have more positive beliefs about their capability to use the technologies (perceived behavioral control). Therefore, the use of TPB theory will enable the prediction of the intention and behavior concerning the use of the improved poultry production technologies among smallholder IC farmers.

3.0 Material and Methods

3.1 The Study Area

The study was conducted in Machakos County (Figure 1) which constitutes the lower Eastern region of Kenya. The county lies between Latitude 0º45’South and 1º31’South and longitudes 36º45’ East and 37º45’ East (RoK, 2013). It has a total coverage area of 6,208.2 Km². The county is composed of 9 sub-counties, namely Athi River, Kalama, Kangundo, Kathiani, Machakos, Masinga, Matungulu, Mwala and Yatta (KNBS, 2019). The distribution of population in the county is estimated at 1,421,998 (with 710,707 and 711,191 for male, and
female, respectively (a ratio of almost 1 is 1). The population density is 235 per Km$^2$ with good road networks traversing across the county (KNBS, 2019). Agriculture serves as the main economic activity being practiced in the county with livestock dominating the sector (CIDP, 2018). However, the adverse effect of climate change has been a major setback on agricultural production in the county (Machakos County Climate Smart Profile, 2017). The temperature fluctuates between 18 ºC to 29 ºC with a bimodal rainfall pattern that reaches a maximum of 1250mm and a minimum of 500mm (CIDP, 2018). The main agro-ecological zones (AEZ) in the county resemble arid and semi-arid land with very low to moderate precipitation amounts and adequacy (CIDP, 2018; RoK, 2019).
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**Figure 1: Map of the study area**

### 3.2 Data and Sampling Procedure

The study adopted a multi-stage stratified sampling technique. Purposive sampling was applied to select Machakos County, which is one of the leading indigenous chicken-producing counties in the Lower Eastern region of Kenya. It is estimated that a proportion of 62% of households in Machakos County produce indigenous chicken (KNBS, 2019). The second stage used stratified random sampling to select the sub-counties within Machakos County namely: Kangundo, Mwala, Yatta, Machakos. Random stratified sampling was preferred in an attempt to reduce the biases associated with sampling. This approach catered for the over-representation or under-representation of the smallholder farmers in different strata. Subsequently, random sampling was executed to select a total of 374 households to be interviewed during the research. The data collection process was voluntary and informed consent was sought from the farmer before conducting an interview. Primary data was collected using a structured questionnaire, checklist, key informant interviews, focused group discussion, and direct observations. Secondary data was obtained from the County Agricultural Offices,
3.3 DATA AND ANALYTICAL FRAMEWORK

3.3.1 Econometric Model Specification and Estimation Procedure

The Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB) framework helped in identification of key drivers of the three latent constructs (Attitude, Social Norm, and Perception Behavioral Control) hence quantify farmer’s behavior in terms of intention. The Partial Least Square-Structural Equation Modelling does not assume the distribution of data (robust to non-normal data), maximizes the explained variance, and further minimizes the general error term (Hair et al., 2014; Hair et al., 2011). Studies have employed this model at assessing farmer’s intention which is a function of behavior (Silva et al., 2017; Buyinza et al., 2020; Mutyasira et al., 2018). The model has been preferred for its applicability given a variety of behaviors under different contexts. Empirically, the relationship between intention and behavior can be expressed as follows;

\[
\text{Intent } (I) = \beta_1 \text{Att} + \beta_2 \text{SN} + \beta_3 \text{PBC} + \mu \quad \text{................. (1)}
\]

Where \( \beta \) = empirically estimated path coefficients showing the relative for each of the constructs; and \( \mu \) represents the disturbance term. Other abbreviations are as follows; Attitude (Att), SN (Subjective Norms), and Perceived Behavior Control (PBC).

The psychological drivers were analyzed using a Partial Least Squares regression - Structural Equation Modelling (PLS-SEM) which is a variance-based approach. The approach allows for simultaneous estimation of the outer (indicators on the latent construct) and inner model (path coefficient between the latent constructs). All the constructs were defined as reflective measurements that intend to reveal the relationship from the latent construct to its measured indicator variables, and measurement errors that are accounted for at the indicator level (Hair et al., 2017). In this case the estimated reflective outer model for an exogenous latent construct \( \xi \) can be expressed by the following equation;

\[
x = \lambda_x \xi + \mu_x \quad \text{................. (2)}
\]

Where \( x \) represents the vector of the measured indicator variables; \( \lambda_x \) refers to the vector of the outer loadings; and \( \mu_x \) is the disturbance term to account for the unobserved variance. Likewise, the reflective outer model for an endogenous (constructs aimed to be explained by the inner model) latent construct can be estimated by the following equation;

\[
z = \lambda_z \xi + \mu_z \quad \text{................. (3)}
\]

Where \( z \) represents the vector of the measured indicator variables; \( \lambda_z \) refers to the vector of the outer loadings; and \( \mu_z \) is the disturbance term to account for the unobserved variance. Hence, the inner model (estimates path coefficients between two endogenous constructs or between exogenous and endogenous constructs) is denoted as;

\[
\eta = B \eta + \Gamma \xi + \zeta \quad \text{................. (4)}
\]

Where the vector of the endogenous constructs is denoted by \( \eta \) represents; \( B \) and \( \Gamma \) refers to the path coefficient matrices for the causal effects form the endogenous (\( \eta \)) and exogenous construct (\( \xi \)); and \( \zeta \) represents the residuals for the inner model. Using the two-step approach (PLS-SEM), the study will further combine both factor analysis (FA) and ordinal least square method (OLSM). A factor analysis will be used to obtain a satisfactory measurement model.
whereas an OLSM will enable the development and testing of the structural model using the Goodness of fit indices.

### 3.3.2 Hypothesis Testing

Psychological drivers are unobservable variables and use of multiple observed statements was considered during measurements. It was expected that the exogenous latent variables would have an influence on endogenous latent variables and therefore the three hypotheses motivating the intention to the actual behavior were proposed as follows:

- **Hypothesis 1**: The attitude (ATT) has a positive effect on farmers’ intention to adopt improved IC breeds.
- **Hypothesis 2**: The subjective norms (SN) have positive effect on farmers’ intention to adopt improved IC breeds.
- **Hypothesis 3**: The perceived behavioral control (PBC) has positive effect on farmers’ intention to adopt improved IC breeds.

Some of the measurement models for the data tests that were carried out included; Firstly, A multiple collinearity assumption was carried out to cater for redundancy in the measurement model. Secondly, a construct validity included both convergent and discriminant validity. Convergent validity assessed the following: Standard Factor Loadings (SFL), Average Variance Extracted (AVE) and, Composite Reliability (CR). As well, a Discriminant Validity (DV) was executed to check on the conformity of the constructs. According to Borges and Lansink, (2016), the AVE derived from the five constructs should supersede the squared inter-construct correlation.

### 4.0 Results and Discussion

#### 4.1 General Characteristics of the Surveyed Households

Table 1 presents the socioeconomic characteristics of the surveyed households in Machakos County. They include, age of the household head, level of education, family size, dependency ratio, land size in acres, years of experience in poultry production, total flock size, total livestock holdings, distance to the tarmac road and the total number of extensions accessed in the last one year of study period.

**Table 1: Socioeconomic characteristics of the surveyed households in Machakos County**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Err.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age of the household head (Years)</td>
<td>52.02</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schooling Years for household head (Number of years)</td>
<td>10.48</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household Size (Number of persons)</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dependency Ratio (percentage)</td>
<td>79.38</td>
<td>4.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land Size in Acres (Acres)</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experience in years in Poultry Production (Years)</td>
<td>12.09</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Flock Size (Number of chicken)</td>
<td>38.23</td>
<td>2.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tropical livestock Holdings Unit (index)</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance to the Weather Road (Minutes)</td>
<td>19.02</td>
<td>1.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Extension contacts (Number of times)</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: *Survey*, 2022
The statistics reveal that the mean age of the household head was 52 years. The average number of years corresponds with the active years for many smallholder farmers in Africa. The majority of the households had attained primary and secondary school education with an average of 11 years of schooling. Thus, the majority of the interviewed household heads could read and write. Results revealed an average of 5 persons per household. The dependency ratio was above average (79%) and this clearly shows that the majority of households had people who were actively engaged in the labor force. The average size of land per household was 2.42 acres, which was fairly small. This reflects the typical parcel of land owned by a smallholder farmer in the majority of developing nations. However, the farm size is below expectations given the nature and classification of the county as an arid and semi-arid land (ASAL).

The majority of the farmers had an acceptable amount of experience because they had been raising chicken for a long time—roughly 12 years, according to the findings. Given that the county is well-known for producing local chicken in Kenya, the situation is conceivable. The average flock size per family was 39 chickens, according to the findings. It is important to note that farmers in the research area also kept other types of livestock, and the World Bank's multiple indexes were utilized to calculate the tropical livestock unit. The average livestock density found in the result was 2.44, and this was sufficient as a diversification strategy for livestock development. To access tarmac roads, which are a representation of improved infrastructure in the research area, households traveled for about 19 minutes to access the nearest weather roads. Additionally, in the last year of the reporting period, farmers had an average of two contacts with the extension service providers.

### 4.2 Awareness and Decision to Adopt Improved Indigenous Chicken breeds

Table 2 presents the results of awareness and adoption to adopt improved IC. Results revealed that 89.8% were aware of the improved IC. Studies have emphasized on significance of awareness because it is suggested that, it is still a requirement for farmers to adopt a certain technology (Ullah et al., 2020; Mwololo et al., 2020). Different degrees of awareness among smallholders have been connected to the availability of various informational channels, including field trips, access to extension services, membership in agricultural associations, experiments, and other networks. Despite widespread awareness, only 44.9% of the households had adopted the improved IC breeds in the study area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Dummy</th>
<th>Awareness (%)</th>
<th>Adoption (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Improved IC Breeds</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>0.102</td>
<td>0.532</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0.898</td>
<td>0.449</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Survey, 2022

### 4.3 Psychological Drivers of Farmer’s Intention to Adopt Improved IC breeds

A set of 14 variables representing the four psychological constructs—attitude (ATT), subjective norm (SN), perceived behavioral control (PBC), and intention (I)—were measured using a five-point likert scale (INT). The items used to evaluate each component on improved chicken breeds are listed in Table 3.
### Table 3: Statements to Measure the Psychological Constructs on Improved IC Breeds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Construct</th>
<th>Measuring Item</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Err</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Attitude (ATT)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATT1(_{IIC})</td>
<td>I think improved IC matures faster compared to local IC</td>
<td>4.07</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATT2(_{IIC})</td>
<td>I think IIC increases meat production as compared to local IC</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATT3(_{IIC})</td>
<td>I think IIC increases egg production as compared to local IC</td>
<td>4.19</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATT4(_{IIC})</td>
<td>I think IIC increases farm income as compared to local IC</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subjective Norms (SN)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.78</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SN1(_{IIC})</td>
<td>My family members think I should rear IIC on my farm</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SN2(_{IIC})</td>
<td>My friend’s members think I should rear IIC on my farm</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SN3(_{IIC})</td>
<td>Other farmers think I should rear IIC on my farm</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SN4(_{IIC})</td>
<td>The government extension officers think I should rear IIC in my farm</td>
<td>3.51</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Perceived Behavioral Control (PBC)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBC1(_{IIC})</td>
<td>I feel that I have sufficient knowledge and skills on rearing IIC in my farm</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBC2(_{IIC})</td>
<td>I have all the resources required to rear IIC in my farm</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBC3(_{IIC})</td>
<td>If I want to rear IIC in my farm, I have enough technical skills</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intention (INT)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.98</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INT1(_{IIC})</td>
<td>I would recommend the IIC adoption for other IC farmers in my area</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INT2(_{IIC})</td>
<td>I have plans to adopt improved IC in the next 5 years</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INT3(_{IIC})</td>
<td>I have plans to further develop improved IC flock size within a year</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Survey, 2022

Results on descriptive statistics revealed that responses were generally higher than the “neutral” value of 3 for all the constructs. Findings showed that the respondents are moderately positive on PBC and SN with an average of 3.55 and 3.78, respectively. Similarly, they have a positive attitude (4.07) towards adoption of the improved IC breeds.

#### 4.3.1 Exploratory Factor Analysis for Improved IC Breeds

Validation of measurements for the various latent variables used in the study is as shown below. The study first performed a Factor Analysis (FA) using four constructs namely: attitude, subjective norm, perceived behavioral control, and intention. Subsequently, Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) and Bartlett's Test of Sphericity were executed to determine whether the
sampling was adequate. Results in Table 4 validates eligibility for additional factor analysis since the value of KMO was larger than 0.05 (p-value<0.05). The null hypothesis $H_0$ in this case was rejected. The KMO (0.828) was above the recommended threshold of 0.7 (Kaiser, 1974; Karagoz, 2016).

### Table 4: KMO and Bartlett’s Tests for Improved IC Breeds

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin Measure of Sampling Adequacy.</td>
<td>0.828</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bartlett's Test of Sphericity</td>
<td>Approx. Chi-Square</td>
<td>2231.439</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DF</td>
<td>91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sig.</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Model Output, 2022

A satisfactory measurement model was confirmed using different metrics at every stage. The study adopted the Factor Loadings (FL), and Cronbach Alpha (denoted by $\alpha$) to assess items and constructs on reliability. Findings revealed that all the factor loadings used in the study were greater than 0.5 as suggested by Ambad & Wahab (2016). This confirmed the strong relationship between the observed indicators and the associated constructs. The most influential factor for attitude (ATT) was ATT$_2$ (F.L; 0.819), i.e., improved IC breeds increases meat production as compared to local chicken. For subjective norm (SN), the factor loading of SN$_3$ (F.L; 0.824) was the highest among other items. This suggested that the inclination of these IC farmers to adopt the upgraded IC breeds was most influenced by other IC farmers. The Perceived Behavioral Control (PBC$_1$ (F.L; 0.827) had the highest score within the construct. This was done because farmers believed they had the knowledge and expertise necessary to raise superior breeds on their farms.

Tables 5 provides convergent tests conducted on each construct. They include, Standard Factor Loadings (SFL), Composite Reliability (CR), Cronbach Alpha, and Average Variance Extracted (AVE). The values on factor loadings (as shown in table 5) were greater than 0.5 which is the acceptable minimum cut-off (Hair et al., 2010). Results from the Cronbach Alpha test demonstrated consistency among the variables used for the various constructs, with SN having the highest Alpha score (0.8408). This was followed by INT, PBC, and ATT, respectively, with 0.7934, 0.7859, and 0.7182. The Cronbach values were higher and all above the recommended minimum level of 0.7 (Hair et al., 2014), which is a sign of good reliability.

### Table 5: Convergent Validity of the Measurement Model (MM) for Improved IC Breeds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Construct</th>
<th>Items</th>
<th>Factor Loadings (FL)</th>
<th>Composite Reliability (CR)</th>
<th>Average Variance Extra (AVE)</th>
<th>Cronbach $\alpha$ (Alpha)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Attitude</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.819</td>
<td>0.786</td>
<td>0.484</td>
<td>0.7182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.743</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.634</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.559</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subjective Norms</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.824</td>
<td>0.843</td>
<td>0.575</td>
<td>0.8408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.819</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.709</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.669</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived Behavioral</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.827</td>
<td>0.750</td>
<td>0.649</td>
<td>0.7859</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The average variance extracted (AVE) and Composite Reliability (CR) metrics were used to identify the extent of convergence within constructs in an attempt to explain the variances between items. Findings revealed that AVE’s were; 0.48, 0.58, 0.65, and 0.61 for attitude, social norm, perceived behavioral control, and intention, respectively. However, the construct on attitude was less than the suggested threshold of 0.5 and still considered in the modeling. A justification for further suitability of the construct was referred to the CR value (0.786) of the construct (Table 5), which is greater than the cut-off value of 0.6. Fornell & Larcker (1981) asserted that when the AVE of a given construct is less than 0.5 and the CR value is > 0.6, the researcher can confirm the adequacy of convergent validity. On the other hand, the CR values for all the constructs (ATT, SN, PBC, and INT) was greater than the recommended value of 0.7 (Raykov, 1997), with the highest 0.786, 0.843, 0.75, and 0.825 for ATT, SN, PBC, and INT, respectively (Table 5).

The study further considered the Fornell–Larcker Criterion analysis to confirm for discriminant validity (Table 6). Results in bold figures revealed that the average variance extracted (AVE) is greater than it inters construct correlations, and significant which therefore confirmed the discriminant validity.

### Table 6: Fornell-Larcker criterion on Pearson’s Correlation Coefficients for Improved IC Breeds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Construct</th>
<th>Attitude</th>
<th>Social norm</th>
<th>Perceived behavioral control</th>
<th>Intention</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Attitude</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Norm</td>
<td>0.419**</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived behavioral control</td>
<td>0.348**</td>
<td>0.372**</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intention</td>
<td>0.379**</td>
<td>0.557**</td>
<td>0.335**</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Model Output, 2022

4.3.2 The Measurement Model for Improved IC breeds

A Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA) was executed to examine the overall goodness of fit thus a test on fitness of the theory with the data. A total of seven conventional model-fit were adopted, namely, Chi-Square ($\chi^2$), Root Mean Squared Error of Approximation (RMSEA), Pelose, Comparative Fit Index (CFI), Tucker Lewis Index (TLI), and Standardized Root Mean Squared Residue (SRMR). Table 7 depict the results on path analysis of the structural model for Improved IC Breeds. Results on Chi-square- $\chi^2$ (199.49; $p=0.000$) depicted a mismatch between the observed covariance matrix and, the estimated covariance matrix, hence rejection of the null hypothesis.

### Table 7: Overall Goodness-Of-Fit (GOF) statistics for Improved IC Breeds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fit Statistics</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chi-Square ($\chi^2$)</td>
<td>199.49 ($p=0.000$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root Mean Squared Error of Approximation (RMSEA)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
90% Confidence Interval for RMSEA  
$0.061 - 0.086$

Pclose  
0.001

Comparative Fit Index (CFI)  
0.94

Tucker Lewis Index (TLI)  
0.93

Standardized Root Mean Squared Residue (SRMR)  
0.05

Coefficient of Determination (CD)  
0.93

Source: *Model Output, 2022*

The baseline comparison followed up on two indices namely; Comparative Fit Index (CFI) = 0.94 and Tucker Lewis Index (TLI) = 0.93. Similarly, a population error using Root Mean Squared Error of Approximation (RMSEA= 0.07) was considered during the analysis. In addition to the specification of the population error, the study employed a PCLOSE command that revealed a probability RMSEA of 0.01 which is less than the probability RMSEA < 0.07. As well, the size of the residual using Standardized Root Mean Squared Residue (SRMR) = 0.05 (Table 7). Results on all the indices confirmed the fulfillment of the various prerequisites of the structural equation modeling as suggested by Hair et al., (2006) on minimum cut-off value.

**Table 8: Structural Modelling Estimation for Improved IC Breeds**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SNo.</th>
<th>R/ship</th>
<th>ATT-&gt;INT</th>
<th>Coef.</th>
<th>S. E</th>
<th>Z</th>
<th>3.06**</th>
<th>ATT-&gt;INT</th>
<th>Coef.</th>
<th>S. E</th>
<th>Z</th>
<th>3.13**</th>
<th>Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hypothesis 1</td>
<td>ATT-&gt;INT</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>3.06**</td>
<td>0.204</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>3.13**</td>
<td>Supported</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypothesis 2</td>
<td>SN-&gt;INT</td>
<td>0.376</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>6.26***</td>
<td>0.434</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>7.16***</td>
<td>Supported</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypothesis 3</td>
<td>PBC-&gt;INT</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>2.52**</td>
<td>0.153</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>2.57**</td>
<td>Supported</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: *Model Output, 2022*

Findings from the structural model showed that attitude (ATT), subjective norm (SN), and perceived behavioral control (PBC) significantly explain farmers' intention to adopt improved IC breeds. This implied that the null hypothesis (psychological factors have no effect on farmers' intention to adopt improved IC breeds) was rejected. Studies have validated the effectiveness of the TPB framework and concurrence reached the hypothesis that the three main constructs (attitude, subjective norm, and perceived behavioral control) determine intentions to adopt agricultural interventions (Borges et al., 2019; Rezaei et al., 2019). Coefficients for the three constructs (ATT, SN, and PBC) were positively significant, a suggestion that the alternative hypothesis for each construct adopted for the study was not rejected. Findings based on the relative sizes of the coefficients revealed that subjective norm was the main driver of intention, followed by attitude, and perceived behavioral control. Subjective norm (SN) about improved IC breeds was a greater predictor of intention, as demonstrated in Table 8 (standardized path coefficient = 0.434, P<0.001). The results indicated a substantial relationship between social pressure from other farmers and opinions about behavioral intention from family members, relatives, friends, and extension workers. The capacity to influence farmers' behavioral intentions is increased by formal or informal perspectives obtained through local networks. In the context of this study, peer influence fosters a favorable perspective on smallholder farmers' intentions to adopt IC breeds. Therefore, there is a great need to embrace prior experience from peers, thereby enhancing skills, information accessibility, and further technological adoption. Hansson et al., (2013) and Borges et al.,
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(2016) attested to the role of social pressure that emanates from family members, friends, and extension officers as a major motivational driver toward behavioral intention among smallholder farmers.

The positive and significant effect of attitude (standardized path coefficient-β = 0.204, P <0.05) on intention implies that smallholder farmers who view the outcomes of adopting improved chicken breeds as favorable are more likely to have a positive intention to adopt the technology. In the context of this study, the referenced outcomes include; maturity rate, meat and egg production, and farm income. It is therefore important for the poultry stakeholders to put more emphasis on these outcomes of adopting improved chicken breeds. This finding is in line with the previous studies that documented attitude as a significant driver of farmer's intention to adopt agricultural technologies (Dong et al., 2022; Rezaei et al., 2019; Daxini et al., 2018; Buyinza et al., 2020; Hossain et al., 2015). Results also indicated a positive and significant effect of perceived behavioral control construct on intention to adopt improved IC breeds (standardized path coefficient – β = 0.153, P<0.05). This suggested that if farmers had a positive perception of being capable (in terms of knowledge, and skills) to control their own resources, or had all the necessary resources required, they would ultimately have the intention to adopt the improved IC breeds. Studies have affirmed that; knowledge, personal abilities, possession of resources and incentives, and the existing institutions and infrastructures are the key determinants of perception towards the practicability of an agricultural intervention (Zeweld et al., 2017; Sarkar et al., 2020). Accordingly, stakeholders play a great role in the utilization of the high perception among farmers while providing farmers with the appropriate agricultural interventions (Oduwaiye et al., 2017; Moffo et al., 2020).

5.0 Conclusion and Policy Implication

Integration of Improved IC breeds in poultry production systems serves as a strategic avenue towards addressing low IC productivity. Their inclusion has been considered as the best alternative for survival in a sustainable chicken enterprise among smallholder farmers in developing countries. This study aimed at assessing the socio-psychological determinants of farmers intention to adopt improved breeds among smallholder IC farmers in Kenya using the theory of planned behavior. The study therefore accounts for the intrinsic factors that form a precedent towards adoption of agricultural technologies. Using the TPB framework, the research found the significant role of the considered psychological drivers which included; attitude, subjective norms and perceived behavioral control on farmers’ intention to adopt improved IC breeds. Findings support the key role of subjective norms having a strong effect on farmers’ intention to adopt improved IC breeds. As per the results, family members, friend’s, other IC farmers and government extension officers’ accounts as key agents of information dissemination. More attention should focus strengthening different pathways and tools of relaying information on improved breeds among smallholder farmers. For example, use of mobile apps and media channels would increase access and use of information to boost status of adoption of IC breeds. Secondly, key agricultural stakeholders should support the critical role of cooperatives through formation, promotion and reinforcement of social groups which are central points of circling information among farmers. Furthermore, the study documents attitude as a significant driver of intention towards adoption of improved breeds. Various behavioral beliefs that emerged as drivers of attitude included: faster maturity, increased meat and egg production, and farm income. Finally, the main drivers for perceived behavioral control encompassed: adequate knowledge and skills, sufficient technical skills, and availability of the required resources. The finding implied that resource-based factors had minimal contribution towards adoption of improved indigenous chicken breeds. The results from this study provide useful insights and calls for formulation, development and adjustment
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of policies that triggers farmers to adopt improved IC breeds. The study calls for an interdisciplinary approach among the different stakeholders such as; communication specialists, programmers, social scientists, extension officers just to mention a few to motivate adoption of improved IC breeds given the complex socio-psychological nature of human behavior.
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