# **EFFECTIVENESS OF** *Pseudomonas fluorescens* **AND NEEM EXTRACT IN MANAGEMENT OF** *Fusarium oxysporum* **ON FRENCH BEANS IN KIRINYAGA COUNTY, KENYA**

**MUTHONI TERESIA WANJIRU A145/OL/CTY/32298/2016**

# **A THESIS SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENT FOR THE AWARD OF MASTER OF SCIENCE DEGREE IN CROP PROTECTION (PLANT PATHOLOGY OPTION), KENYATTA UNIVERSITY**

**OCTOBER, 2021**

### **DECLARATION**

<span id="page-1-0"></span>I Muthoni Teresia Wanjiru declare this thesis is my original work and has not been presented for the award of a degree in any other university or any other award:

Signature:  $\overline{M}$  Date:  $\overline{M}$   $\overline{D}$  Date:  $\overline{M}$   $\overline{D}$   $\overline{D}$   $\overline{D}$   $\overline{D}$   $\overline{D}$   $\overline{D}$   $\overline{D}$ 

Muthoni Teresia Wanjiru

Department of Agricultural Science and Technology

#### **University supervisors**

We confirm that the work reported in this thesis was carried out by the candidate under our supervision as university supervisors:

Signature: Real  $\mu_{\text{rad}}$  Date: 04-10-2021

Dr. Ruth Kahuthia- Gathu

Department of Agricultural Science and Technology

**Kenyatta University** 

O Date: 6/10 Proz Signature:..

Prof. Maina Mwangi

Department of Agricultural Science and Technology

Kenyatta University

# **DEDICATION**

<span id="page-2-0"></span>This thesis is dedicated to my beloved husband Patrick Maina and entire family of Mary Muthoni.

### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

<span id="page-3-0"></span>I wish to express my gratitude to my University supervisors Dr. Ruth Kahuthia-Gathu and Prof. Maina Mwangi for their continuous guidance and support during the course of this research work. I wish to thank Prof. Waceke Wanjohi, the Principal Investigator and Ms. Njeri Njau, research administrator, Kenyatta University-Osho Chemical Industries Limited project for their guidance, insightful comments and encouragement during this research work.

I wish to acknowledge Kenyatta University (KU) for allowing me to pursue my Master's degree in the institution.

I am grateful to my mother Mary Muthoni and my brothers Edward Njenga, Lawrence Waweru and Benson Njuguna for their encouragement and it is no doubt for this reason I have come this far.

My appreciation goes to Mr. Kelvin Okumu who offered me excellent field assistance in Mwea, Kirinyaga County. Thanks to my friends in the KU-Osho project for their unfailing support through the process of research and thesis writing.

I acknowledge the financial support by Osho Chemical Industries Limited for funding this research work to completion. Finally, I thank God for the gift of life and strength during the entire period of my studies.

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<span id="page-4-0"></span>











#### **LIST OF TABLES**

<span id="page-10-0"></span>[Table 3.1: Scale showing different degree of antagonism](#page-45-1)..................................30 [Table 3.2: Scale for assessing Fusarium wilt severity on French beans](#page-52-1)...........37 [Table 4.1: Morphological characteristics](#page-57-1) of *Pseudomonas fluorescens*...........42 [Table 4.2: Mean growth inhibition by](#page-59-0) *Pseudomonas fluorescens* isolates in dual culture with *[Fusarium oxysporum](#page-59-0)* f. sp *phaseoli*..................44 [Table 4.3: Mean diameter \(mm\) of](#page-61-2) *Fusarium oxysporum* mycelial growth [treated with neem extract at different concentrations](#page-61-2) .......................46 Table 4.4: Mean *[Fusarium oxysporum](#page-62-1)* f. sp *phaseoli* mycelial growth [inhibition by siderophores produced by](#page-62-1) *Pseudomonas fluorescens*[..47](#page-62-1) Table 4.5: Mean *[Fusarium oxysporum](#page-64-1)* f. sp. *phaseoli* radial growth [inhibition by compounds](#page-64-1) produced by *Pseudomonas fluorescens*[..49](#page-64-1)

- [Table 4.6: Mean inhibition of Fusarium growth](#page-65-0) by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* [through production of antibiotics](#page-65-0)....................................50
- [Table 4.7: Mean germination percentage, shoot and root length of](#page-66-1)  [French beans var. Vanilla treated with](#page-66-1) *Pseudomonas fluorescens* [isolates using Roll Towel Method](#page-66-1) ...51
- [Table 4.8 Mean disease incidence \(%\) of Fusarium wilt](#page-68-0) disease after inoculation in the greenhouse[...53](#page-68-0)
- [Table 4.9: Mean \(%\) disease severity of Fusarium wilt](#page-70-0) of French bean plants treated with *[Pseudomonas fluorescens](#page-70-0)* isolates......................55
- [Table 4.10: Mean shoot length of French beans at 60 days after](#page-74-1)  [treatment application in the greenhouse](#page-74-1) ...59
- [Table 4.11: Mean root length of French beans at 60 days after treatment](#page-75-0)  application in the greenhouse [...60](#page-75-0)
- [Table 4.12: Mean biomass of French beans at 60 days after treatment](#page-76-1)  [application under greenhouse condition](#page-76-1) ...62
- Table 4.13: Mean population dynamics of *[Pseudomonas fluorescens](#page-81-0)* in [French bean rhizosphere soil under greenhouse condition](#page-81-0)...............66
- [Table 4.14: Mean disease incidence \(%\) of Fusarium wilt](#page-83-0) on French bean plants treated with *[Pseudomonas fluorescens](#page-83-0)* isolates......................68
- [Table 4.15: Mean disease severity \(%\) of Fusarium wilt on bean plants](#page-85-0)  treated with *[Pseudomonas fluorescens](#page-85-0)* isolates.................................70
- [Table 4.16: Mean shoot length of French beans at 60 days after treatment](#page-89-1)  application[...74](#page-89-1)
- [Table 4.17: Mean root length of French beans at 60 days after treatment](#page-91-1)  application[...76](#page-91-1)
- [Table 4.18: Mean biomass \(g\) of French beans at 60 days after treatment](#page-92-1)  application[...77](#page-92-1)
- [Table 4.19: Mean population of](#page-96-0) *Pseudomonas fluorescens* in rhizosphere [soil at different days after treatment application](#page-96-0)................................81

[Table 6.1: Physical and chemical results of soil samples from Mwea](#page-140-2)............125

# **LIST OF FIGURES**

<span id="page-12-0"></span>

# **LIST OF PLATES**

<span id="page-13-0"></span>

# **LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS**

<span id="page-14-0"></span>

#### **ABSTRACT**

<span id="page-15-0"></span>Fusarium wilt, *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp *phaseoli*, is among the major diseases affecting French bean production in Kenya causing up to100% yield loss. Use of synthetic fungicides has caused environmental pollution, pesticide resistance and increased risk to human health. This study assessed use of *Azadirachta indica* and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* in managing Fusarium wilt disease on French beans. Twenty soil samples and diseased bean plant materials were randomly sampled from twenty farmer's field each in Kirinyaga County in November-December 2017. *In vitro* studies were conducted to isolate and identify effective *P. fluorescens* and determine their mode of action against *F. oxysporum*. Efficacy of neem against *F. oxysporum* was assessed using the poison food technique. Data on colony diameter was recorded in millimetres after 7 days of incubation at 28±2℃. Percentage growth inhibition of mycelia was used to select the most effective isolates for further studies. Greenhouse and field trials were carried out for two seasons to assess the efficacy of the most effective isolates on Fusarium wilt disease. The treatments included *Pseudomonas fluorescens*- Pf1, Pf2, Pf3, Pf4, Bio cure- B, neem extract, Pf1+neem and control. The bacterial isolates were multiplied on 100g of sterile rice bran and neem extract was prepared by blending plant material in 70% ethanol and concentrated by evaporation under vacuum. The treatments were applied twice by incorporating in the soil prior to planting and at vegetative growth stage**.** Data on disease incidence and severity, plant height (cm), shoot and root length, biomass, population dynamics and yield of French beans were collected. Data was subjected to analysis of variance using SAS version 9.2. Means were separated using Fischer's Least significant difference test (P≤0.05). A total of 12 *P. fluorescens* isolates were tested for antagonistic activity against *F. oxysporum* in dual culture technique. Isolate Pf1 was superior with 72.2% mycelial inhibition compared to the control. In addition, Pf1 isolate significantly (P˂0.001) inhibited *F. oxysporum* mycelial growth through antibiosis, production of siderophores and metabolites. Among the metabolites, production of volatile compounds was the most effective mode of action and attained 80.9% mycelial inhibition. Under greenhouse condition, isolate Pf1 was significantly (P˂0.001) superior than untreated control and recorded the least mean disease incidence and severity and promoted growth and yield of French bean. Results from the field study showed *P. fluorescens*- Pf1 and Pf2 recorded significantly (P˂0.001) higher weight of marketable pods than other treatments and scored 4.5±0.56 and 4.9±0.45t/ha during the first and second season, respectively. The control plots recorded  $1.5\pm0.33$  and  $1.5\pm0.18t/ha$  during the first and second season, respectively. In addition, Pf1 isolate had the highest rhizosphere population with 2.0±0.84×10<sup>9</sup> cfu/g during the second season. *Pseudomonas fluorescens* produced antimicrobial substances that inhibit the pathogen and indirectly promote growth and yields of beans. The study recommends isolates Pf1 and Pf2 to be formulated and commercialized for use as alternatives to fungicides in managing Fusarium wilt disease on French beans.

#### **CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION**

#### <span id="page-16-1"></span><span id="page-16-0"></span>**1.1 Background information**

French bean, *Phaseolus vulgaris* L*.* is a major horticultural crop grown in Kenya by both small and large scale farmers. Majority of farmers are small holders (USAID-KHCP, 2015) owing 0.5-5.0 acres of land (Ndegwa *et al*., 2010) and the produce is mainly meant for the export market (Mulanya *et al*., 2014). The European countries (EU) form the largest market for both fresh and processed French beans (Okello and Swinton, 2011). Production of this crop creates job opportunities for about 50,000 farmers involved in production and export chain (Marete *et al*., 2020). French beans accounts for 61% of total vegetable exports in Kenya (Fulano *et al*., 2021).

In Kenya, Kirinyaga, Embu, Murang'a and Nyeri Counties are the leading producers of French bean (Goro, 2013). The production is constrained by arthropod pests and diseases (Kaburu *et al*., 2012). Fusarium wilt caused by *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *phaseoli* is among the major diseases of French beans in Africa and causes up to 100% yield loss (Muriungi *et al*., 2013). Synthetic fungicides have been used in management of the disease. However, the fungicides had negative effect on microfauna and the environment (Kimani, 2014; Soliman *et al*., 2015). Therefore, there is need for more research on alternative strategies that are safe to use, ecofriendly and effective in management of the disease (Pereg and McMillan, 2015).

Use of plant extracts has also shown great potential in managing Fusarium wilt of beans (Obongoya *et al*., 2010). Neem *Azandiracta indica*  A. Juss has been reported to produce antifungal compounds that suppressed *F. oxysporum* (Ramaiah and Kumar, 2015). This study aimed at identifying potential *P. fluorescens* isolates and neem extract formulations that are effective against Fusarium wilt of French bean under greenhouse and field conditions.

### <span id="page-17-0"></span>**1.2 Statement of the problem**

Production of French bean is majorly constrained by pests and diseases (Keikotlhaile and Spanoghe, 2011). Fusarium wilt caused by *Fusarium oxysporum* Schlecht. f. sp. *phaseoli* Kendrick and Snyder is a major disease that infects more than a hundred species of gymnosperm and angiosperms (Liu *et al*., 2017). The pathogen causes premature death of French bean plants resulting to 100% yield loss (Muriungi *et al*., 2013). This has been as a result of lack of effective fungicide in management of the disease. Farmers have therefore adopted fungicides used in management of wilt in other crops of the same genre. These fungicides have led to emergence of resistant strain of *F. oxysporum* which cause severe crop damage and reduce yields (Sillero *et al*., 2010). This study addressed the use of *P. fluorescens* and neem extract as alternatives to synthetic fungicides for management of Fusarium wilt disease on French beans.

#### <span id="page-18-0"></span>**1.3 Justification of the study**

French bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) is among the most important vegetable crops grown in Kenya in terms of production and income generated from its export (Mulanya *et al*., 2014). However, high yield losses have been reported due to pests and diseases (Kaburu *et al*., 2012) which lower the total yields and product quality. *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *phaseoli* causes huge loss and this reduces income earned from the export of this crop (HCDA, 2013). To reduce the losses, chemical pesticides have been used in crop protection (Sumitra *et al*., 2012).

Lack of effective fungicide and their adverse effect on the environment and human health justifies a search for alternative methods that are effective and ecofriendly (Stadlinger *et al*., 2013). Biopesticides could be adopted as alternative strategies since they are effective, relatively affordable and safe to use (Quarles, 2013: Ouma *et al*., 2014). Use of beneficial microorganisms and botanical extracts has shown potential against *Fusarium*  spp. (Pereg and McMillan, 2015).

*Pseudomonas* is among the major plant growth promoting bacteria in the French bean rhizosphere (Erdogan and Benlioglu, 2010). The bacteria colonize the root rhizosphere and produce microbial metabolites that act against soil borne phytopathogens (Erdogan and Benlioglu, 2010). The bacterium has beneficial effects on plants by direct stimulation of growth by improving nutrient availability and exerting antagonism towards soil borne pathogens (Deshwal *et al*., 2013). These characteristics make *Pseudomonas* 

spp. effective in disease management thus suitable alternative to chemical control (Koche *et al*., 2013). This study investigated the use of *P. fluorescens* and neem plant extract in control of Fusarium wilt on French bean. However, there is still need for more research and therefore this study aims at contributing to the ongoing research on alternatives methods to synthetic pesticides in management of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp *phaseoli*.

#### <span id="page-19-0"></span>**1.4 Research objectives**

### <span id="page-19-1"></span>**1.4.1 General objective**

To determine the effectiveness of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* and Neem extract in the management of *Fusarium oxysporum* on French bean in Kirinyaga County, Kenya.

#### <span id="page-19-2"></span>**1.4.2 Specific objectives**

- i) To recover and identify *Pseudomonas fluorescens* isolates that are effective against *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *phaseoli in vitro*.
- ii) To evaluate the effectiveness of neem extract in management of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *phaseoli* under laboratory conditions*.*
- iii) To determine the mechanisms of action of the *Pseudomonas fluorescens* isolates that are effective against *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp *phaseoli*.

iv) To evaluate the efficacy of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* isolates and neem extract on growth and yield of French beans under greenhouse and field conditions.

# <span id="page-20-0"></span>**1.5 Hypotheses**

- i) Agricultural farm habour *Pseudomonas fluorescens* that can significantly suppress *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *phaseoli* growth and infection on French beans.
- ii) Neem extract can significantly suppress *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *phaseoli* growth and infection on French beans.
- iii) *Pseudomonas fluorescens* exert different mechanisms of action against *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *phaseoli.*
- iv) Application of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* and neem extract significantly control Fusarium wilt disease and increases growth and yield of French bean.

# <span id="page-21-0"></span>**1.6 Conceptual framework**



# <span id="page-21-1"></span>**Figure 1.1: Conceptual framework**

**\*** Arrow points towards direction of influence

#### **CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW**

#### <span id="page-22-1"></span><span id="page-22-0"></span>**2.1 Botanical classification of French bean**

French bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) is also known as snap bean or green bean (ITIS, 2014). The wild *P. vulgaris* is native to the [Americas](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Americas) and is believed to have been domesticated in Southern Andes region. *[Phaseolus](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Phaseolus)* species is a member of the [legume](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Legume) [family](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Family_(biology)) [Fabaceae.](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fabaceae) The genus *Phaseolus* is comprised of both cultivated and wild species with *P. vulgaris* being the most cultivated species (Porch, 2013). French bean varies in species and is classified based on style of growth. The major *Phaseolus* species cultivated are the year bean (*P. dumosus*) and runner bean (*P. coccineus*) (Lioi and Piergiovanni, 2013: Bellucci *et al*., 2014).

#### <span id="page-22-2"></span>**2.2 Production of French bean in Kenya**

*Phaseolus vulgaris* L*.* is among the major exotic vegetables cultivated in Kenya for export market. The crop is grown by both small and large scale farmers (Odero *et al*., 2013; USAID-KHCP, 2015). The area under French beans production has increased from 5,671 Ha in 2015 to 5,983 Ha in 2016. The leading counties are Kirinyaga, Machakos and Murang'a that account for 32, 20.7 and 13.5% respectively (Konda, 2019). The crop is grown for fresh consumption and canning (NAFIS, 2013).

The common varieties grown in Kenya include Amy, Gloria, Teresa, Morgan, Serengeti, Vanilla, Julia, Paulista, Claudia, Monal and Samantha (Fulano, 2016). Picking of the immature pods commence at 42 days after

planting, but the dates vary with variety, agro-ecological zone and management practices. The beans are then graded and packed according to the requirements of the export market. Fine and extra fine pods are sold in export market while rounded are canned.

#### <span id="page-23-0"></span>**2.3 Ecological requirements for French bean production**

French beans do well in areas with optimum altitude of between 1,500-2,100 metres above sea level. The crop requires well-distributed rainfall throughout the growing season of between 900-1,200 mm with temperature that range between 20-25°C (Kamanu *et al*., 2012). The crop does well in a wide range of soils ranging from sandy, loam to heavy clay with pH of 6.5-7.5. Moisture content is essential in French bean production as it affects uniformity, yields and quality of beans. Lack of sufficient moisture causes flower abortion and curved pods which lower the total yields (Bonane, 2019).

#### <span id="page-23-1"></span>**2.4 Nutritional value of French beans**

French bean is mainly grown for the export market but local consumption has increased over the years due to its nutritive value (Marete *et al*., 2020). French beans are rich in energy and amino acids content including vitamins A, B, D, ascorbic acid, calcium, phosphorus and starch (Menge *et al*., 2014). In addition, French bean is rich in zinc and iron, and hence can help to address iron deficiency problems especially in developing countries (PABRA,

2014). French beans reduce the risk of heart diseases due to their high levels of flavonoids and lack of cholesterol (Menge *et al*., 2014). High content of fiber, vitamin K and calcium promotes bowel movements, keeps bones intact and prevents bone deterioration and [osteoporosis.](https://www.organicfacts.net/osteoporosis.html?utm_source=internal&utm_medium=link&utm_campaign=smartlinks) French beans are a [great](https://ods.od.nih.gov/factsheets/Folate-HealthProfessional/)  [source](https://ods.od.nih.gov/factsheets/Folate-HealthProfessional/) of folic acid which is [vital](http://ajcn.nutrition.org/content/71/5/1295s.short) for normal and healthy development of the foetus especially in preventing neural tube defects (PABRA, 2014).

#### <span id="page-24-0"></span>**2.5 Economic importance of French beans**

French bean production has created job opportunities for the rural communities involved in the production and export chain of this crop (Wahome *et al*., 2013). Smallholder farmers in the rural areas form the biggest proportion of producers (USAID-KHCP, 2015) which has improved incomes and alleviated poverty. The sector has created job opportunities for actors in the production and marketing chain including growers, middlemen, exporters, logistic companies and the government that acts as the regulator of the industry through the Horticultural Crop Development Authority (HCDA, 2010).

The crop is among the major exported horticultural crops to the export market earning the country foreign exchange (Okello and Swinton, 2011; HCDA, 2014; USAID-KHCP, 2015). In 2016, the quantity of French beans exported was 62,000 tonnes from an area of 7,500 hectares earning the country Kshs. 5.8 Billion (HCDA, 2016). Local consumption of French beans has also been increasing due to increased awareness on its nutritive and health value (Marete *et al*., 2020).

#### <span id="page-25-0"></span>**2.6 Constrains to French bean production in Kenya**

Arthropod pests and fungal diseases have been among the major factors affecting French bean production (Keikotlhaile and Spanoghe, 2011). Insect pests cause damage to French bean crop through feeding and transmission of viruses leading to low productivity of beans. The major insect pests that attack French bean include; bean flies *Ophiomyia* spp. (Ojwang *et al*., 2009), thrips *Megalothrips* spp. (Nyasani *et al*., 2012), aphids *Aphis fabae* (Camella *et al*., 1978) and red spider mites (*Tetranychus telarius*) (Infonet-Biovision, 2015; Umass Amherst, 2015). These insect pests cause yellowing of leaves, wilting and stunted growth, when present in large numbers (Muvea, 2011).

The major disease affecting French beans includes; Fusarium wilt (*Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *phaseoli*), angular leaf spot (*Phaeoisariopsis griseola*), bean rust (*Uromyces appendiculatus*), common bacterial blight (*Xanthomonas campestris* pv. *phaseoli*) and Fusarium root rot (*Fusarium solani* f. sp. *phaseoli*) (Muthomi *et al.,* 2014; Infonet-Biovision, 2015). These diseases lower the pod quality and total yield of French beans (Kahuthia-Gathu, 2000, Wahome *et al*., 2013; Muthomi *et al*., 2014). *Fusarium oxysporum* cause major plant disease including leaf spots, vascular wilts, root rots and rusts. Among the all these diseases, vascular wilts is the most important disease caused by *Fusarium oxysporum* (Beeba *et al*., 2012).

Root rots are economically important in French bean production e.g *Rhizoctonia* root rot caused by *Rhizoctonia solani, Fusarium* root rot (*F. solani* f. sp. *phaseoli*) and *Pythium* root rot (*Pythium* spp.) and they attack beans at different stages depending on the pathogen. *Rhizoctonia solani* attack beans at almost all stages (El-Mohamedy *et al*., 2015) while Fusarium attacks beans later after crop establishment. *Pythium* root rot affected French beans at the seedling stage leading to pre-emergence and damping off (Muthomi *et al*., 2014). These soilborne pathogens form disease complex which is a great threat to bean production. The fungal diseases cause stunted plant growth and lower the total yields (Beebe *et al*., 2012). The area under French beans production, volume and value has also been declining due to climate change. The prolonged drought experienced in Kenya between 2008 and 2010 led to a decrease of 45% in yield volume (Otieno *et al*., 2017).

Price fluctuation of French beans in the export market has also been identified as a marketing constraint. The beans are categorized into different grades for local and export market. Poor quality produce fetches low price in the market thus causing the farmers to incur huge losses. Low quality of the produce has been attributed to lack of knowledge and information on production. The bean value chain analysis Kenya (2012) reported that majority of farmers that are involved in the production add no value such as canning and freeing to their produce before marketing thus fetching low prices.

### <span id="page-27-0"></span>**2.7 Fusarium wilt disease**

#### <span id="page-27-1"></span>**2.7.1 Taxonomy of the pathogen**

*Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp *phaseoli* is a soil borne plant pathogen in the phylum Ascomycota, class Sordariomycetes, order Hypocreales and family Nectriaceae (Kendrick and Syder, 1942). The pathogen is widely distributed in diverse environments, including soils and aquatic habitats (Palmero *et al*., 2009; Brandt and Park, 2013). The genre comprises of both pathogenic and non-pathogenic strains (Bao *et al*., 2002). *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp *phaseoli* is the causal agent of Fusarium wilt disease of French beans.

*Fusarium oxysporum* attacks more than a hundred species of plants (Liu *et al*., 2017). The pathogen causes damage to commercially important crops including French beans *Phaseolus vulgaris* (Pastor-Corrales *et al.*, 1987), tomato *Solanum lycopersicum* (Fuchus *et al*., 1997) and watermelon *Citrullus lanatus* (Martyn and Gordon, 1996). The pathogen persists in the soil for long and cause huge losses especially under monoculture production system (Smith, 2007). The pathogen can cause 100% yield loss in French beans production (Muriungi *et al*., 2013).

# <span id="page-27-2"></span>**2.7.2 Aetiology, symptomatology and epidemiology of** *Fusarium oxysporum* **f. sp** *phaseoli*

The pathogen*, F. oxysporum* survives as an active saprophyte in soil, organic matter and infected plant debris in form of microconidia, macroconidia and chlamydospores. The fungus is favored by hot weather, soil pH of 5–5.6 and infertile soils (Naseri, 2014). *Fusarium oxysporum* invades the roots of susceptible French beans using the mycelium. The pathogen moves from the root tip and advances through the cortex to the vascular tissues (Akrami and Yousefi, 2015). *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp *phaseoli* secretes protein effectors that suppress plant defence and promotes invasion (Lo Presti *et al*., 2015). The fungus utilizes host metabolic pathways for its growth and development (Zeilinger *et al*., 2016). The microconidia produced, multiply and are carried upward in the xylem tissue clogging the vascular vessels. This prevents uptake of water and translocation of nutrients. The stomata close and the plant wilts and eventually dies. When the plant dies, the fungus attacks the neighboring tissues and later sporulates infecting other plants (Kutama *et al*., 2016).

Wilted plants show yellowing on leaves and wilting which progresses upwards into the younger leaves weakening the plants. The newly formed leaves turn yellow and wilt while older leaves droop (Dubey, 2014). The vascular system shows discoloration when a longitudinal cut is made on the lower stem and red-brown streaking is evidence of Fusarium species infection (Di *et al*., 2016). Severe infection causes premature death of plants which lead to 100% yield loss. *Fusarium oxysporum* is spread through soil, water, contaminated farm equipment and infected debris which act as alternate hosts (Dita *et al*., 2018). The fungus infects other field by wind, infected plant tissues and contaminated farm tools (FAO, 2015b).

### <span id="page-29-0"></span>**2.8 Management of Fusarium wilt disease of beans**

Management of wilt is important in maintaining the quality and quantity of French beans. This has been achieved through use of synthetic pesticides, integrated management which involves biological control measures and cultural practices like elimination of alternate hosts, managing irrigation and use of resistant varieties (Nyasetia, 2011). However, these strategies have not been fully effective due to emergence of new pathogenic strains.

#### <span id="page-29-1"></span>**2.8.1 Use of synthetic pesticides**

Chemical pesticides have been used extensively in crop protection. Farmers use synthetic pesticides in management of fungal diseases since they are readily available in the market (Engindeniz and Ozrurk, 2013). Common synthetic pesticides used in control of wilt include; Megaprode Lock WP (Iprodione 17.5% and Carbendazin 35%), Osiris 65 EC (Metconazole 27.5% and Epoxiconazole 37.5%) and Sherrif WP (Carbendazin 12.25% W/w and Mancozeb 74%). Synthetic fungicides are effective when used in the very early stages of crop development before pathogen invasion (Amin *et al.*, 2014). However, some of these pesticides kill the non-target microorganisms; pollute the soils thereby causing a decline in populations of microorganism in the soil (Srijita, 2015).

#### <span id="page-30-0"></span>**2.8.2 Cultural practices**

Cultural practices have been adopted in control of Fusarium wilt disease since they are safe and economically feasible. The practices include; use of resistant varieties, destruction of diseased plants and removal of volunteer plants that act as alternate hosts and use of certified seeds (Akila *et al*., 2011). These practices are integrated with fungicides to achieve disease threshold level. Crop rotation with non-host plants helps in cutting off the life cycle of the fungi. Use of compost was reported to be effective in management of *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *lycopersc*i (Reuveni *et al*., 2002; Kouki and Saidi, 2012).

Resistant varieties to Fusarium wilts have been developed and found effective in wilt control for instance cultivars for peas and common beans. Kannan *(*2019) reported that AD9.950 Maker linked with *Fusarium* RR resistance in dry bean improved resistance measured by increased plant vigor and biomass. Fall *et al*. (2001) identified major Qualitative Trait Linkage (QTL) in the small black bean breeding line and controlled 63.5% of variance of *F. oxysporum* of beans. Genetic markers for resistance to Fusarium wilt have also been studied in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*) Sharma *et al.* (2005). Use of resistant varieties integrated with crop rotation has been reported as one way of managing *Fusarium oxysporum* of beans (Bonanomi *et al*., 2007).

#### <span id="page-31-0"></span>**2.8.3 Use of antagonists in control of Fusarium wilt disease**

Fungal and bacterial antagonists have been used in crop protection (Kaur *et al*., 2010). Biocontrol agents have proved effective in disease control and are ecofriendly (Song *et al*., 2014). These antagonists have been found effective in management of fungal and bacterial diseases (Singh *et al*., 2002; Ahmed, 2011). This has shown the need to research on potential antagonist that can be commercialized for use in wilt management (Fravel *et al*., 2003).

### <span id="page-31-1"></span>**2.8.3.1 Management of phytopathogens using fungal antagonists**

Use of biological agents has proven successful and efficient against fungal pathogens (El-Mohamedy *et al*., 2014). Some of the fungal antagonist used in disease management include; *Azospirillum* spp.*, Trichoderma* spp.*, Rhizobia* spp. and *Mycorrhizae* spp. (Patale and Mukadam, 2011). El-Mohamedy and Abd-All (2013) reported that bio-priming seeds in biocontrol agents have been found effective against soil borne pathogens. Nonpathogenic *Fusarium* spp. significantly lowered the disease severity of tomato plants in soil infested with *F. oxysporum* under field conditions (Nawar, 2015). Kaur *et al*. (2011) reported that non-pathogenic *Fusarium*  strains controlled Fusarium wilt disease of water melon and tomato under greenhouse condition. *Trichoderma viride* produced antibiotics that inhibited development of Fusarium wilt disease in tomato (Thangavelu and Mustaffa, 2010).

# <span id="page-32-0"></span>**2.8.3.2 Management of phytopathogens using bacterial antagonists**

*Pseudomonas* is among the rhizosphere colonizing bacteria used in management of most important diseases in plants. The bacteria colonize the plant rhizosphere and compete for nutrients thus suppress other pathogens (Erdogan and Benlioglu, 2010). Some of the *Pseudomonas* spp. used as biocontrol agents include *P. fluorescens* (Asha *et al*., 2011), *P. chlororaphis* and *P. putida* (De Freitas and Germida, 1991). These antagonists produce metabolites that suppress pathogens and promote growth through production of phytohormones (Erdogan and Benlioglu, 2010). In addition, *Pseudomonas* spp. produces enzymes that accelerate competition for nutrients in the soil rhizosphere making it unavailable for the pathogens (Heydari and Pessarakli, 2010).

Some *Pseudomonas fluorescens* - Pf1 isolates induce production of pathogenesis related proteins and deposits structural barriers in the plants for defense against pathogen attack. *Pseudomonas fluorescens* have also been reported to promote plant growth by stimulating uptake of phosphorous (Mustafa *et al*., 2019). *Pseudomonas fluorescens* suppressed Fusarium wilt in bananas (Karimi *et al*., 2012). *Bacillus subtilis* produce antimicrobial substances that suppress fungal pathogens by degrading their cell wall (Subramanian and Smith, 2015). Anitha and Rabeeth (2009) reported *Streptomyces griseus* suppressed *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *lycopersci.*

### <span id="page-33-0"></span>**2.9 Application of plant extracts in disease management**

Natural products extracted from plants are a potential resource area of study in fungal disease management. Neem contains various elements which include; ascorbic acid, amino acids, and flavoniods which comprises of antibacterial and antifungal properties that make it effective in disease management (El-Ghany *et al*., 2015). Satish *et al*. (2009) reported that plant extracts inhibit germination and sporulation of fungal spores. Kagale *et al*. (2004) found that leaf extract of devil's trumpet *Datura metel* L. reduced disease incidence and severity of leaf spot and rust pathogens. Neem extract have been studied to contain hydrocarbons that make it effective in control of fungal and bacterial diseases in plants (Mishra, 2014). The hydrocarbon changes the plant pathogenic pathways and induces resistance against fungal diseases (Aboellil, 2007).

Globally, neem extract has been reported to have antifungal compounds that make it effective in management of fungal diseases (Dubey *et al*., 2011) with no harmful effects to the environment, human and animal health. Obongoya *et al*. (2010) reported that extracts from neem, Mexican marigold *Tagetes erecta* and tobacco *Nicotiana tabacum* showed potential in management of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *phaseoli*. Nahak and Sahu (2015) reported that neem extract reduced disease severity and promoted plant growth of tomatoes under greenhouse condition. Hassanien *et al.*  (2010) and Al-Hazmi (2013) reported that neem inhibited growth *Fusarium oxysporum*, *Rhizoctonia solani* and *Alternaria solani in vitro*. Leaf extract of neem inhibits germination and growth of *F. oxysporum* through production of antifungal compounds (Nahak and Sahu, 2015).

#### <span id="page-34-0"></span>**2.10 Mechanism of action of** *Pseudomonas fluorescens* **as an antagonist**

The genus *Pseudomonas fluorescens* comprises of non-pathogenic bacteria and is the most efficient group of rhizobacteria in disease management [\(Mulet](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2019.00616/full#B185) *et al*., 2010; [Hesse](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2019.00616/full#B119) *et al*., 2018). The bacterium is commonly used as a biocontrol agent against plant diseases [\(Haas and](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2019.00616/full#B106)  [Défago, 2005;](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2019.00616/full#B106) [Pliego](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2019.00616/full#B207) *et al*., 2011). The bacteria uses different mechanisms against fungal pathogens that include production of antibiotics (Koche *et al*., 2013), siderophores (Meera and Balabaskar, 2012), phytohormones (Bholay *et al*., 2012), hydrolytic enzymes and production of organic compounds (VOCs) (Rekha *et al*., 2010). *Pseudomonas fluorescens* protects the plants from pathogen attack by preventing pathogens from access of nutrients in the root rhizosphere [\(Faheem](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0944501316300489#bib0085) *et al*., 2015).

# <span id="page-34-1"></span>**2.10.1 Production of organic compounds**

*Pseudomonas* spp. produces organic compounds known as volatile organic compounds (Schulz and Dickschat, 2007) which are vital for bacterial communication processes (Kai *et al*., 2016). Lee *et al.* [\(2012\)](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2017.00171/full#B14) and [Tahir](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2017.00171/full#B25) *et al*. [\(2017\)](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2017.00171/full#B25) reported that volatile organic compounds (VOCs) promote [plant](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/biochemistry-genetics-and-molecular-biology/plant-growth)  [growth](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/biochemistry-genetics-and-molecular-biology/plant-growth) and antimicrobial activity that induce resistance against diseases in plants. *Bacillus* spp., *Atrhrobacter* spp., *Serratia* spp. and *Pseudomonas* spp.

are examples of bacteria antagonist that produce volatile compounds. These bacteria have been reported to effective manage plant disease and promote growth in crops [\(Bailly and Weisskopf, 2012;](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2017.00171/full#B1) Raza *[et al](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2017.00171/full#B22)*., 2016). *Pseudomonas fluorescens* UM270 produced VOCs that showed antifungal and plant growth promoting activity [\(Hernández-León](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0944501316300489#bib0130) *et al.*, 2015). The bacterium has been reported to produce antimicrobial agents, ammonia and hydrogen cyanide that induce systemic resistance in plants (Alemu and Alemu, 2015). *Pseudomonas fluorescens* SS101 was reported to have promoted plant growth through release of volatile organic compounds (Park *et al*., 2015).

#### <span id="page-35-0"></span>**2.10.2 Siderophores production**

Bacteria secrete iron-chelating molecules called siderophores [\(Hider](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2017.01964/full#B20)  [and Kong, 2010\)](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2017.01964/full#B20). Siderophores sequester iron available in natural environment that is required by microbes for survival and growth and make it unavailable to pathogens thus limiting their growth (Beneduzi *et al*., 2012). *Pseudomonas*  spp. synthesis siderophores which supply the bacteria with iron when its availability is low in the environment thus promote plant-growth (Chen *et al*., 2017). Siderophores production is a competitive strategy used by *P. fluorescens* and has a significant agronomic importance (Beneduzi *et al*., 2013). It has been reported that some strains of *Pseudomonas putida* produce siderophores that increased yields and biosynthesis of the major essential oil components when they are inoculated to *Mentha piperita* (peppermint)
(Santoro *et al*., 2015). Siderophores have also shown ability to protect plants by triggering induced systemic resistance (ISR) (Trapet *et al*., 2016). Some crops that have benefited from siderophore-producing bacteria and include; potato *Solanum tuberosum* (Aloo *et al*., 2020) cucumber *Cucumis sativum* (Qi and Zhao, 2013) and pigeon pea *Cajanus cajan* (Dimkpa, 2016). Siderophores affects interactions and population dynamics between different microorganisms in the environment [\(Niehus](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2017.01964/full#B32) *et al*., 2017).

## **2.10.3 Production of antibiotic substances**

Antibiotics are organic compounds produced by antagonists that slow down growth and interfere with metabolic activities of phytopathogens. *Pseudomonas fluorescens* produce a wide range of antibiotic metabolites that suppress fungal pathogens *in vitro* (Ghirardi *et al*., 2012; Agaras *et al*., 2015). Many biocontrol agents produce multiple antibiotics such as phenazines, pyrrolnitrin, lipopeptides and hydrogen cyanide that suppress the pathogens (Park *et al*., 2011; Saraf *et al*., 2014; Meyer *et al*., 2016). *Pseudomonas* produces 2, 4-diacetylphlroglucinol (DAPG) that has been reported to be effective against *Pythium* spp. (De souza *et al*., 2003). These antibiotics form a protective layer on the root surface that inhibits growth of the pathogen before infection (Ramadan *et al.,* 2016). The diversity of these compounds has been an indirect way in which the compounds induce resistance and promote growth in crops (Glick, 2014).

*Pseudomonas* spp. show competitive interactions with phytopathogens through production of lipopeptides (Girard *et al*., 2020). In addition, *Pseudomonas* was reported to have produced phenazine which inhibited mycelia growth of *Fusarium oxysporum in vitro* (Chin-Awoeng *et al*., 2003). Some of these compounds e.g. phenazine mobilizes iron in soils and this has been experimentally proven with *Pseudomonas chlororaphis* PCL1391 (Haas and Defago, 2005). These antagonists produce antibiotics that colonize the rhizosphere and suppress soilborne root diseases thereby enhancing biological control (Ramadan *et al*., 2016).

### **CHAPTER THREE: MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### **3.1 Study sites**

Laboratory and greenhouse experiments were conducted at Kenyatta University (KU) located 15 km North of Nairobi at  $1^011'$ S and  $36^055'$ E at 1,600 metres above sea level. The area receives bimodal rainfall that range between 1,000-1,400 mm annually with average temperature of  $18-24<sup>0</sup>$  C. The short rains are experienced between October-December and long rains between March-May. Laboratory bioassays involved isolation, screening and identification of potential *Pseudomonas fluorescens* isolates effective against *F. oxysporum* f. sp *phaseoli*. The greenhouse experiment was conducted at Kenyatta university agricultural greenhouses for two seasons between September – November 2018 and January- March 2019 respectively.

Field study on effectiveness of selected isolates was carried out at Kimbimbi in Mwea sub-county; Kirinyaga County for two cropping seasons between July-August 2018 (first season) and November 2018-January 2019 (second season. The experiment was carried out in farmers' field with known history of Fusarium wilt affecting French beans. Mwea is located at the lower midland zone 4(LM4) at an altitude of 1216 metres asl and coordinates 0°36'8ˊ S, 37º21ˊ58ˊ E) (Fig 3.1). The area receives bimodal rainfall that ranges between 900-1200 mm with temperatures of 20-25°C annually which favour French beans production. The soils are silty-loam to heavy clay with pH range of 6.5-7.5 (Kamanu *et al*., 2012).



**Figure 3.1: Map of Mwea indicating the location of Kimbimbi (Experimental site) in Kirinyaga County.** 

### **3.2 Source of the micro-organisms**

*Pseudomonas fluorescens* was isolated from soil samples collected from French bean rhizosphere in agricultural fields in Kirinyaga County, between November and December 2017. The soil samples were sampled from twenty farmers field under French beans cultivation using a soil auger at a depth of 10 cm after removing 2 cm top soil. The bacterium resides near the root zones where there is adequate moisture and food source from root exudates. The twenty soil samples collected from each field were mixed and a composite sample 50 grams was packed in sampling bag and labeled. These constituted to twenty soil samples which were further used for analysis. Each soil sample was air-dried on surface sterilized bench for one week. The samples were packed in khaki bags, labeled and stored at  $4^{\circ}$ C in the refrigerator for subsequent use.

French bean plants showing Fusarium wilt symptoms were randomly collected in the twenty farmers' fields. The plants were uprooted and checked for reddish-brown streaking on the roots. A longitudinal cut on the stem was made using sterile scapel blade to check for dark-brownish vascular tissue. The soil samples and plant tissues were packed in khaki bags, sealed and carried in a cool box (105×66 cm) set at  $4^{\circ}$ C to laboratory for analysis.

#### **3.3 Preparation of culture medium**

Isolation of *F. oxysporum* and *P. fluorescens* was done on potato dextrose agar (PDA) and King's B medium, respectively. Thirty nine grams of potato dextrose agar was suspended in 1000 ml of distilled water. *Pseudomonas fluorescens* was isolated on King's B medium by dissolving 42.23g and 15 ml of glycerol in 1000 ml of distilled water. The two media were stirred vigorously and autoclaved for 20 minutes at  $121^{\circ}$ C and 15 psi (Killani *et al*., 2011). The media was cooled in a water bath (DK-8A) set at

45±2℃. Antibiotics (Tetracycline and Streptomycin sulfate 100mg/L each) were added to the PDA media using a micropipette to inhibit bacteria growth. Twenty milliliters of the media was dispensed into sterile plastic petri dishes (9 cm) in a laminar flow hood (BSS-H1100).

### **3.4 Isolation of microorganisms**

*Pseudomonas fluorescens* strains were isolated following serial dilution technique (King *et al*., 1954). A composite soil sample of one gram was weighed on electronic weighing balance (BMLC-1-A2), added to nine milliliter of sterile water and agitated for five minutes to obtain standard soil suspension. The solution was serially diluted to  $10^{-5}$ ,  $10^{-6}$ ,  $10^{-7}$  and  $10^{-8}$ . From each dilution, a sterilized pipette was used to draw one milliliter of the suspension and aseptically spread on King's B medium. Three dishes were maintained per dilution, replicated three times, sealed and incubated at  $25 \pm$  $2^{0}$ C for 48 hrs. The dishes were inverted to prevent water droplets splashing the colonies. The dishes were checked daily for growth of bacterial colonies. After 48 hrs, the plates were checked for fluorescens under UV-trans illuminator (365 nm) for a few seconds. Colonies showing yellow-green pigments were sub-cultured on King's B medium petri dishes. The dishes were incubated for 48 hrs to obtain pure culture. Each bacterial isolate was stored at  $4^{0}$ C in slants (200µl of sterile glycerol and 800 µl of the bacterial suspension). Pure colonies were used for characterization of *P. fluorescens* based on

Catalase test, siderophores production, production of metabolites (Reetha *et al*., 2014).

Diseased plant tissues were washed under running water for three minutes. The plant tissues were cut using a sterile blade from stems and roots of infected French beans. The pieces were soaked in 70% alcohol for 90 seconds. The tissues were later rinsed thrice with distilled water, dried on filter papers. Three pieces were transferred to each petri dish with PDA medium and incubated at  $25\pm2\degree$ C for seven days. The fungal cultures were further sub-cultured to new PDA media (Siameto *et al*., 2010). One spore from each culture was transferred into new potato dextrose agar medium to obtain pure cultures (Choi *et al*., 1999).

# **3.5 Study of the morphological and biochemical properties of**  *Pseudomonas fluorescens*

The bacterial cultures were characterized based on morphological features such as colony color, shape, type and size and recorded following Bergey's Manual of Determinative Bacteriology (Holt *et al*., 1994). Biochemical tests such as Gram staining, Catalase test, siderophores production, production of volatile metabolites and antibiosis were performed to confirm the identity of the bacterium (Reetha *et al*., 2014).

# **3.6 Identification of the causal agent of Fusarium wilt disease on French beans**

All the fungal cultures from the diseased French beans were subcultured on sterile PDA media. The cultures were identified based on colony colour and shape of conidia and conidiophores. They were also examined under microscope where slide preparations were stained with lactophenolcotton blue. *Fusarium oxysporum* cultures were identified by checking their morphological characteristics and confirmed using different identification keys (Killani *et al*., 2011). Pathogenecity test confirmed the identity of isolates to be *Fusarium oxysporum.* The isolated *F. oxysporum* were inoculated in susceptible French beans seedling var. Vanilla. Symptoms of chlorosis, necrosis and yellowing were observed. To complete Koch's postulates the plant tissues were surface sterilized and plated in sterile PDA medium to re-isolate the pathogen. The colonies formed were confirmed to be the same as the initially inoculated.

# **3.7 Evaluation of** *Pseudomonas fluorescens* **as a potential antagonist against** *Fusarium oxysporum* **under laboratory conditions**

*In vitro* bioassays were done to assess antagonistic potential of *P. fluorescens* against *F. oxysporum* using dual culture (Kaur *et al*., 2007) and paper disc techniques (Bahraminejad *et al*., 2008). Culture discs of 5mm of *F. oxysporum* were inoculated separately at the center of petri dishes containing King's B agar medium in triplicates. The bacterial isolates were streaked on the opposite sides of petri dishes (90mm) equidistant from the pathogen at the

center. Control plates were inoculated with the pathogen and sterile water streaked in place of the antagonist. The dishes were arranged in a completely randomized design replicated three times. The growth of *F. oxysporum* was measured in all the petri dishes after seven days of incubation. Colony diameter of *F. oxysporum* was recorded in millimetres using a ruler. Colony diameter was expressed as the mean of two perpendicular diameters. This data was used to calculate the percentage inhibition which rated the level of antagonism as described by Whipps (1997).

The twelve isolates were further tested for their effectiveness against *F. oxysporum* using paper disc method. A loopful of the twelve isolates was inoculated separately in King's B broth agar and incubated at  $27 \pm 2^{0}C$  for 48 hrs. Fifteen sterile paper discs (5 mm) for each treatment were soaked in 5ml of the bacterial culture for thirty seconds. The fungal suspension initially prepared was spread over the King's B medium. The treated discs were air dried in a sterile laminar flow hood and plated on the dishes fifteen minutes after inoculation of *F. oxysporum*. The petri dishes were incubated at  $25 \pm 2^{0}C$ for 7 days. Data on the zone of inhibition was measured from the edge of the mycelium to the paper disc using a pair of caliper and ruler and recorded in millimeters (Shanmugam *et al.,* 2011). Percentage inhibition was worked out as described by Whipps (1997):

$$
PI = \frac{M-N}{M} \times 100
$$

Where,  $PI = Percentage$  Inhibition; M; Radial growth of pathogen in the control plates and N; Radial growth of the pathogen in the treated dishes

(Killani *et al*., 2011). Potential antagonistic bacterial isolates were selected for further evaluation *in vitro* and *in vivo* following a score of 1-4 as described by Bogumił *et al*. (2013) (Table 3.1).

Level of antagonism	<b>Degree</b>	Inhibition $(\% )$
Low		I < 51
Moderate		$I = 50-59$
High		$I = 60-75$
Very high	4	I > 75

**Table 3.1: Scale showing different degree of antagonism**

### **3.8 Effects of neem extract on growth of** *Fusarium oxysporum in vitro*

Fresh neem leaves were collected from Kenyatta University and identified following the identification keys. The leaves were air dried under shade and later crushed using an electric blender to powder. The powder was weighed to 200 g and soaked in 90% ethanol and acetone at the ratio of 1:1 for 24 hours (Wale and Adewunmi, 2020). The extract was evaporated on the rotary evaporator at 60℃ to obtain the supernatant. Twenty millilitres of crude extract was added separately to 8, 10, 12 and 14ml of sterile distilled water to constitute 60, 50, 40 and 30% concentrations respectively. *In vitro* evaluation of neem extract on radial growth of *F. oxysporum* was done following the poison food technique (Manmohan and Govindaiah, 2012). The different concentrations (30, 40, 50 and 60 ml) were mixed thoroughly with 70, 60, 50 and 40 ml of PDA medium, respectively (Aliero, 2003; Ali *et al.*, 2010).

The poisoned PDA was dispensed into each sterile petri dish receiving twenty milliliters of the medium. Three replications were prepared for each concentration. After solidification of poisoned media, 5mm diameter of vigorously growing pure culture of *F. oxysporum* was inoculated into the dishes. The control petri dishes for the three replications were maintained using only sterile water without any plant extract but with mycelial discs. All the petri dishes were incubated at  $27 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C for seven days. The mycelial diameter of *F. oxysporum* was measured using a ruler in treated and control petri dishes. The formula below was used to calculate the percentage inhibition (PI) as described by Soliman *et al.* (2015).

$$
PI = \frac{B-C}{B} \times 100
$$

Where:  $B=$  Mycelial growth inhibition in control;  $C=$  Mycelial growth in treatment.

# **3.9 Mechanism of action of antagonistic bacteria against** *Fusarium oxysporum in vitro*

### **3.9.1 Production of volatile metabolites**

One hundred microlitres of *P. fluorescens*  $(10^8 \text{ CFUml}^{-1})$  was placed on petri dishes containing King's B amended with  $4.4$  gl<sup>-1</sup>glycine and maintained at  $27\pm 2^{\circ}$ C for 24 hours. Subsequently, 5mm disc of 48 hours old *F. oxysporum* was placed on sterile King's B medium. The two half petri dishes were sealed face to face but without any physical contact between *F. oxysporum* and *P. fluorescens* suspension and incubated for three days. Data on radial growth of *F. oxysporum* in control and treated dishes was measured using a ruler and recorded in millimetres. The percentage inhibition was calculated as described by Solliam *et al* (2015).

## **3.9.2 Production of non-volatile compounds by** *Pseudomonas fluorescens*

The antagonistic efficiency of the bacterium strain was evaluated using the method described by Kraus and Loper (1990). Bacterial suspension  $(10^8 \text{ CFUml}^{-1})$  of *P. fluorescens* was spread on King's B medium treated with 1ml of Iron III chloride (FeCl<sub>3</sub>) and incubated at  $27 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C for three days. The colonies formed were removed using chloroform. One hour later, a 5mm sterile cork borer was used to cut a mycelial plug from 48hrs old *F. oxysporum* culture. The plug was inoculated at the center of the dishes and radial growth of the pathogen was measured in treated and control.

### **3.9.3 Siderophores production**

One hundred microlitres of *P. fluorescens*  $(10^8 \text{ CFUml}^{-1})$  was transferred to King's B medium in petri dishes with and without Iron III chloride (FeCl<sub>3</sub>). Five mycelial discs of *F. oxysporum* were inoculated and incubated for 7 days at 27±2℃. In the control petri dishes, *P. fluorescens* was substituted with distilled water. Colony diameter was measured using a ruler and recorded in millimeters in treated petri dishes compared to control. The petri dishes, with and without Iron III chloride  $(FeCl<sub>3</sub>)$  were arranged in a complete randomized design replicated thrice.

## **3.10 Plant growth promotion activity of** *Pseudomonas fluorescens* **strains**

French bean var. Vanilla seeds were sterilized using 1.5 % sodium hypochlorite for 30 seconds. Two milliliters of *P. fluorescens* suspension with  $2\times10^8$  cfu/ml was transferred to sterile dishes. Carboxyl methyl cellulose (1%) dissolved in one hundred millilitres of water was added and kept for 12 hours. The suspension was later drained off and the seeds were dried overnight. Data on germination percentage shoot and root length were recorded in centimeters. The plant vigour was calculated following the formulae below as described by Ramamoorthy (2002).

 $PVI = (MSL + MRL) \times Germanation$ 

PVI= Plant vigor index; MSL= Mean shoot length; MRL= Mean root length

# **3.11 Mass production of the antagonist for evaluation under greenhouse and field conditions**

The selected *P. fluorescens* isolates were thawed before mass multiplication. Rice bran was used as a substrate since it had shown good performance (Liu *et al*., 2017) and was locally available. The substrate was sourced from Mwea rice processing units. It was cleaned to remove unwanted debris such as stones and foreign plant matter. One hundred grams of rice bran was soaked in water in 1000 ml beakers for eight hours. The excess water was drained off and the bran autoclaved at  $121<sup>0</sup>C$  (15 lb) for 15 mins. The autoclaved rice bran was transferred to sterile polythene bags after cooling prior to inoculation. *Pseudomonas fluorescens* suspension  $(1\times10^8 \text{ CFU/ml})$ 

was prepared through serial dilution technique. Two hundred milliliters of *P. fluorescens*  $(1\times10^8$  CFU/ml) was inoculated into each polythene bag containing 50g of the autoclaved rice bran.

The bag contents were then thoroughly mixed and incubated at 28± 2℃ for 7 days. For each *P. fluorescens* strain, three replicates of four polythene bags were maintained and the experiment was conducted three times with the bags arranged in a completely randomized design. One gram of rice bran from each bag was added to 9ml of sterile distilled water. The solution was serially diluted to  $10^{-5}$ ,  $10^{-6}$ ,  $10^{-7}$  and  $10^{-8}$ . From each dilution, a sterilized pipette was used to draw one milliliter of the suspension and aseptically spread sterile petri dishes in a laminar flow hood. Sterilized King's B medium was cooled to 45°C and 20 ml was poured into each plate and smoothly rotated to obtain uniformity. Three plates were maintained per treatment and incubated at 23±2℃ for 48hrs. The number of colonies were counted using colony counter and recorded as number of colony forming units (CFUs). The inoculated bran was later dried in plastic dishes measuring ( $24 \times 24 \times 6.5$  cm), powdered using a mortar grinder and mixed with talc containing carboxyl methyl cellulose at the ratio of 2:1 to obtain final density of  $2\times10^8$  CFU/g which was used in the greenhouse and field trials.

### **3.12 Greenhouse experiment**

### **3.12.1 Experimental design, layout and treatment application**

Sand and soil was oven dried and later thoroughly mixed with manure at a ratio of 2:1:1 and autoclaved. Plastic pots measuring (10 cm) in diameter were filled with soil, labelled and arranged in complete randomized design with three replicates. Plastic plates (12 cm diameter) were placed beneath every pot to avoid contamination among the different treatments. The treatments included: *Pseudomonas fluorescens* isolates Pf1, Pf2, Pf3 and Pf4, Bio cure -B (Positive control), Neem, Pf1+ Neem and untreated (control). Treatments were applied twice at planting and vegetative stage. *Pseudomonas fluorescens* isolates Pf1, Pf2, Pf3 and Pf4 were applied through soil incorporation at 4kg/ ha at a density of  $2\times10^8$  CFU/g, Bio cure-B (3L/Ha) and neem was applied at 6 ml per litre of water. *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (Pf1) was selected as the best isolates since they showed higher percentage inhibition under *in vitro* studies. Three seeds of French bean var. Vanilla were planted per pot, and six pots constituted one treatment. The different application rates for *P. fluorescens*, Neem extract and Bio cure-B were arrived at preliminary trials carried out to test on the effectiveness of the isolates and their effects on plant growth.

## **3.12.2 Preparation of fungal inoculum for greenhouse experiment**

Fungal inoculum was prepared from a seven day old culture of *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *phaseoli*. Five millimeter diameter discs of the culture was cut and put in 10 ml sterile water and shaken to dislodge the spores. The number of spores were counted using hemocytometer. Sterile distilled water was added to adjust the spore density to  $10^6$  conidia/ml. The formulae below were used to calculate number of spores per ml;

No. of spores per ml = 
$$
\frac{N \times 1000}{X}
$$

 $N=$  No. of spores counted/no. of squares,  $X =$  Volume of mounted solution

The fungal inoculum was prepared using sorghum grains sourced from local cereal shops around Kenyatta University. Fifty grams of the grains were weighed and washed, put in glass jars (1,000L) and soaked in tap water overnight. The grains were later autoclaved at  $121<sup>0</sup>C$  for 60 mins. The autoclaved grains were cooled in a water bath and emptied in sterile polythene bags. Three bags were inoculated each with five mycelial plug having a diameter 10 mm into the cooled sorghum under sterile condition in a laminar flow hood. The inoculated bags were then shaken thoroughly and incubated at  $23\pm 2^{\circ}$ C for two weeks. The bags were shaken daily for about one minute to prevent aggregation of the inocula and improve aeration. The conidia were harvested by flooding the colonized grains by *F. oxysporum* with sterile distilled water. The fungal spores were obtained by filtering through two layer of cheesecloth. The conidia suspension was prepared by serial dilution with the aid of a hemocytometer to  $1 \times 10^6$  conidia ml<sup>-1</sup>. Five mililitres of the spore suspension was drenched near the root zone of French beans seedling under greenhouse condition as described by Patil *et al*. (2011).

## **3.12.3 Data collection**

Disease incidence was assessed by counting the number of plants showing infection out of the total number per pot. Percentage incidence was calculated as described by Belete *et al*. (2021)

Percentage disease incidence 
$$
=
$$
  $\frac{\text{Number of infected plants}}{\text{number of plants examined}} \times 100$ 

Fifteen plants were randomly sampled and tagged from three inner rows in each plot and were also used to collect data on disease severity, plant height, shoot and root length. Data on disease severity was collected from the fifteen plants using the scale of 0-4 as described by Weitang *et al*. (2004) in Table 3.2. Data was scored from three leaves sampled at the bottom, middle and top of each French bean plant (Wahome *et al*., 2011). Data on root and shoot length were measured using a tape measure and recorded in centimeters.

<b>Scale</b>	<b>Disease severity</b>
$\theta$	No symptoms
$\mathbf{1}$	Slight yellow on the lower leaves (At least 2 leaves).
$\overline{2}$	Moderate yellow plant (2-3 leaves infected)
3	Wilted plant (All plant leaves are yellow and wilted)
4	Complete infection (Plant severely wilted and destroyed)

**Table 3.2: Scale for assessing Fusarium wilt severity on French beans**

The percent disease index was calculated using McKinney (1923) formulae as described below;

$$
PDI = \frac{Sum of numeric rating}{No. of leaves observed} \times \frac{100}{Maximum disease rating}
$$

### **3.13 Evaluation of the survival of** *Pseudomonas fluorescens in vitro*

The population dynamics of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* in the soil under greenhouse condition was determined at two week intervals until termination of the experiment. From each treatment, 5 grams of soil was collected and used to assess the density of *P. fluorescens*. One gram of the soil was added to 9 ml of sterile distilled water in a 100 ml conical flask and placed on a shaker for 10 min and likewise dilutions of up to  $10^{-8}$  were made. From the  $10^{-6}$ ,  $10^{-7}$ ,  $10^{-8}$  dilutions, 1ml of the suspension was transferred to the centre of sterilized dishes in a laminar flow hood. Sterilized King's B medium was cooled to 45°C and 20 ml was poured into each plate and smoothly rotated to obtain uniformity. The plates were maintained at 27± 2℃ for 2 days (King *et al*., 1954). The population of *P. fluorescens* was expressed as cfu/ gram of soil as described by Aneja *et al*. (2003).

CFU per gram of carrier = 
$$
\frac{\text{No. of colonies}}{\text{Amount plated}} \times \text{Dilution factor}
$$

## **3.14 Assessment of French bean yields and yield attributes**

Harvesting commenced six weeks after planting and this was done thrice per week in all treatment and this continued for three weeks. The pods were graded into two groups marketable and non- marketable. Marketable pods comprised of extra fine and fine pods. The category of non-marketable pods included disease damaged, deformed, overgrown, thrips damage and

other damages and their weight taken. The weight of pods was recorded in grams at every harvest. The total pod yield was later converted into tonnes per hectare Wahome *et al*. (2013).

Data on root length and shoot length (cm) was collected from 15 plants initially tagged at termination of the experiment. The plants were uprooted and washed to remove soil particles and a tape measure was used to take the length. The materials were transported to the laboratory and oven dried at  $70^{\circ}$ C for three days. The materials were later weight and the biomass recorded (Bakker *et al*., 2002).

### **3.15 Field experiment**

# **3.15.1 Experiment layout, design and treatment application in the field trial**

The experimental field was ploughed to fine tilth. The experiment was laid out in a randomized complete block design (RCBD) with eight treatments replicated three times in plots measuring 3 M by 3 M. A spacing of 50 cm was left between the plots and 1M between replicates. French bean var. Vanilla, were used since they are highly susceptible to *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *phaseoli*. Treatments included *Pseudomonas* isolates Pf1, Pf2, Pf3 and Pf4, Bio cure –B, neem extract and Pf1+neem and control. *Pseudomonas fluorescens* isolates were applied in the soil at the rate of 4 kg/ha with 1000kg of organic manure equivalent to 3.9g/900g of organic manure per plot, Bio cure –B and neem were drenched at 3L/ha equivalent to 2.7ml/L of water and 6ml/litre/plot, respectively, at planting. French bean seeds were planted in single rows with spacing of 15 cm x 30 cm in each plot. Weeding was done once per month for the two seasons. Insect pests were managed using confidor (Imidacloprid 700g/kg) which was applied at the rate of 5g in 20 L of water at 7 day intervals until harvesting. The sprays commenced at 50% flowering. The crops were irrigated twice a week in absence of rains.

### **3.15.2 Data collection**

Data on disease incidence and severity, plant height, yield and dry weight of shoots and roots and population dynamics of *P. fluorescens* was assessed and recorded as described in section 3.13.

### **3.16 Data analysis**

Data on colony diameter, zone of inhibition, disease incidence and severity, height of beans (cm), shoot and root length of French beans, yield (weight of pods in grams), dry weight of shoots and roots (g), population dynamics of *P. fluorescens.* The data was transformed to test for homogeneity and arranged in Microsoft Excel Spreadsheet. All the data was subjected to one way analysis of variance (ANOVA) using SAS version 9.2. Treatment means were compared using Fisher's protected least significant difference (LSD) at  $P \le 0.05$ . The results were presented in tables and bar graphs.

## **CHAPTER FOUR: RESULTS**

# **4.1 Morphological and biochemical characteristics of** *Pseudomonas fluorescens* **isolates**

During the study, 34 isolates of *P. fluorescens* were obtained from rhizosphere soil of French beans collected from Kirinyaga County. Twelve isolates produced fluorescent pigment under UV lamp at 365nm in King's B media while the remaining twenty two isolates had no pigmentation. The colonies were small, round shaped, yellowish white, with regular margin, 2-3 mm in diameter (Plate 4.1). Biochemical tests showed that the isolates produced volatile and non-volatile compounds and siderophores were negative to starch hydrolysis test and Gram staining and positive to catalase test (Table 4.1).



**Plate 4.1: Pure cultures of** *P. fluorescens*

<b>Isolates</b>	<b>Shape</b>	<b>Colony</b>	<b>Colour</b>	<b>Fluorescence</b> emissions	
				under UV light	
PF1, PF10, PF12	Rod	Round	Yellowish	<b>Bright</b>	
PF2, Pf3, PF4, PF6,	Rod	Round	Yellowish	<b>Bright</b>	
PF8, PF7, PF9,			White		
<b>PF12</b>					
PF <sub>5</sub>	Rod	Round	White	<b>Bright</b>	

**Table 4.1: Morphological characteristics of** *Pseudomonas fluorescens*

## **4.2 Cultural characteristics of** *Fusarium oxysporum* **f. sp.** *phaseoli*

Eight cultures were isolated from French beans infected with *F. oxysporum*. Out of the eight isolates, five were identified as *Fusarium solani* while the remaining three *Fop* 1, *Fop* 2 and *Fop* 3 were identified and confirmed to be *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *phaseoli* using morphological characteristics. The three *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *phaseoli* showed luxuriant mycelial growth and moderate sporulation. The cultures produced both micro and macroconidia. The isolates differed in size and shape of the septa. The colony diameter was 84mm after 7 day of incubation at 27± 2℃. The pigmentation of the isolate was pink on reverse side on PDA medium (Plate 4.2).



**Plate 4.2: Cultures of** *F. oxysporum* **f. sp.** *phaseoli* **A (front), B (reverse)**

# **4.3 Antagonism of** *Pseudomonas fluorescens* **against** *Fusarium oxysporum*  **f. sp.** *phaseoli in vitro*

Twelve isolates of *P. fluorescens* were tested against *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *phaseoli* isolates using dual culture technique on King's B medium (Plate 4.3). The colony diameter of the isolates differed significantly (*F*=22.75; df=11, 38; *P*=0.001) compared to the control. Seven isolates recorded above 50% inhibition of mycelial growth. *Pseudomonas fluorescens* isolate- Pf1 scored 72.2% which was the highest reduction. The highest mean mycelial growth was recorded in the control with 71.7±0.88 mm followed by Pf12 which had 66.7±3.53 mm. Under the paper disc method, Pf1 scored the highest zone of inhibition of 20.0±1.54 mm and this was significantly different (*P*=0.001) from the other isolates (Table 4.2).

P. fluorescens isolates	Dual culture technique	Paper disc method	
	Mycelia diameter	$\%$	Zone of
	(mm)	Inhibition	inhibition (mm)
Pf1	$19.7 \pm 0.22$ <sup>e</sup>	72.2	$20.0 \pm 1.54$ <sup>a</sup>
Pf <sub>2</sub>	$23.5 \pm 0.57$ <sup>de</sup>	67.6	$12.3 \pm 1.45^b$
Pf3	$29.0 \pm 1.76$ <sup>cde</sup>	59.2	$11.0 \pm 0.58$ bc
Pf4	$29.5 \pm 1.26^{cde}$	59.2	$9.0 \pm 0.58$ <sup>c</sup>
Pf <sub>5</sub>	$32.2 \pm 0.87$ <sup>bcde</sup>	54.9	$5.3 \pm 0.88$ <sup>d</sup>
Pf6	$35.0 \pm 6.51$ <sup>bcd</sup>	50.7	$4.0 \pm 0.58$ <sup>de</sup>
Pf7	$35.3 \pm 1.76$ <sup>cde</sup>	50.7	$2.7 \pm 0.33$ <sup>ef</sup>
Pf8	$40.0 \pm 1.50$ <sup>bc</sup>	43.7	$2.3 \pm 0.33$ <sup>ef</sup>
Pf9	$40.4 \pm 1.94$ <sup>bc</sup>	43.7	$2.0{\pm}0.58^{\textrm{eff}}$
<b>Pf10</b>	$43.0 \pm 1.73$ <sup>b</sup>	39.4	$1.7 \pm 0.33$ <sup>gf</sup>
Pf11	$44.5 \pm 3.42^b$	38.0	$1.0{\pm}0.58^{\rm gf}$
<b>Pf12</b>	$66.7 \pm 3.53^a$	7.0	$0.7 \pm 0.33$ <sup>fg</sup>
Control	$71.7 \pm 0.88$ <sup>a</sup>	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$0.0 \pm 0.00$ <sup>g</sup>
<b>LSD</b>	13.08		2.02
P-value	0.001		0.001

**Table 4.2: Mean growth inhibition by** *Pseudomonas fluorescens* **isolates in dual culture with** *Fusarium oxysporum* **f. sp** *phaseoli*

Means followed by the same letter within a column are not significantly different according to Fisher's LSD test at  $P \le 0.05$ 



**Plate 4.3: Dual culture plates of** *Pseudomonas fluorescens (***A) and**  *Fusarium oxysporum* **on King's B medium (seven-day-old) compared to** *Fusarium oxysporum* **alone (B).**

## **4.4 Antifungal activity of neem extracts using the food poison technique**

Leaf extract of *A. indica* at different concentrations significantly  $(F=53.41; df=4, 14; P=0.001)$  suppressed radial growth of the test pathogen. Increasing the concentration from 30 to 60% of neem leaf extract showed varied degree of inhibition. The highest colony diameter of 82.7±1.45 mm was recorded in the control. Neem extract (60%) recorded the lowest colony diameter of 27.7±2.03 mm followed by 50% neem extract concentration with 48.0±1.15 mm (Table 4.3).

Neem extract	Mycelia growth of Fusarium oxysporum	Percentage
Concentration (%)	(mm)	inhibition
60	$27.7 \pm 2.03^{\circ}$	67.1
50	$48.0 \pm 1.15^b$	41.5
40	$50.0 \pm 0.29^b$	39.0
30	$52.3 \pm 0.88^b$	36.6
Control	$82.7 \pm 1.45^{\circ}$	0.0
<b>LSD</b>	8.46	
$P$ –value	0.001	

**Table 4.3: Mean diameter (mm) of** *Fusarium oxysporum* **mycelial growth treated with neem extract at different concentrations**

Means followed by the same letter within a column are not significantly different according to Fisher's LSD test at  $P \le 0.05$ 

## **4.5 Mechanisms of action of** *Pseudomonas fluorescens* **against** *Fusarium oxysporum in vitro*

### **4.5.1 Siderophores production**

There was significant difference  $(F = 62.70; df = 12, 38; P = 0.001)$  on the level of inhibition among the isolates as compared to the control (Table 4.4). The percentage inhibition of *F. oxysporum* by *P. fluorescens* isolates ranged between 4.1-64.5% in presence of FeCl<sub>3</sub> and 1.7- 69.8 % in the absence of FeCl3. The lowest mean inhibition of mycelia was recorded in control plates with 77.3±4.06, 80.7±3.53 mm followed by Pf11 with 76.0±4.51, 77.3±2.40 mm and Pf12 with 74.7 $\pm$ 1.33, 75.7 $\pm$ 2.33 mm in presence and absence of FeCl<sub>3,</sub> respectively. With regard to production of siderophores, a higher inhibition of *F. oxysporum* mycelia growth was observed in media without FeCl<sub>3</sub> compared to FeCl<sub>3</sub> fortified media.

Isolate	Siderophores	Percentage	Siderophores	Percentage
	Without iron	inhibition	with iron	Inhibition
PF1	$23.3 \pm 1.76$ <sup>f</sup>	69.8	$28.7 \pm 0.33$ <sup>f</sup>	64.5
Pf <sub>2</sub>	$31.7 \pm 0.88$ ef	59.1	$35.3 \pm 2.03$ <sup>ef</sup>	56.2
Pf3	$41.3 \pm 3.53$ <sup>cd</sup>	46.6	$46.3 \pm 2.60$ <sup>de</sup>	42.6
Pf4	$49.0 \pm 2.08$ bcd	36.6	$52.7 \pm 2.40^{cd}$	34.7
Pf <sub>5</sub>	$50.0 \pm 4.62$ <sup>bc</sup>	35.3	$54.0 \pm 3.46$ <sup>cd</sup>	33.1
PF <sub>6</sub>	$56.7 \pm 2.40^{bcd}$	26.7	$61.3 \pm 3.84$ <sup>c</sup>	24.0
PF7	$51.3 \pm 5.81^b$	33.6	$56.3 \pm 5.90$ <sup>cd</sup>	30.2
PF8	$61.7 \pm 5.78$ <sup>bc</sup>	20.3	63.7 $\pm$ 6.12 <sup>bc</sup>	21.1
PF9	$57.0 \pm 2.65$ <sup>bc</sup>	26.3	$58.3 \pm 2.96$ <sup>cd</sup>	27.7
<b>PF10</b>	59.3 $\pm$ 7.31 <sup>bc</sup>	23.3	$63.3 \pm 9.39$ <sup>bc</sup>	21.5
<b>PF11</b>	$76.0 \pm 4.51$ <sup>a</sup>	1.7	$77.3 \pm 2.40^a$	4.1
<b>PF12</b>	$74.7 \pm 1.33$ <sup>a</sup>	3.4	$75.7 \pm 2.33^{ab}$	6.2
Control	$77.3 \pm 4.06^{\circ}$	$\overline{0}$	$80.7 \pm 3.53^{\text{a}}$	$\overline{0}$
<b>LSD</b>	11.79		12.40	
P-value	0.001		0.001	

**Table 4.4: Mean** *Fusarium oxysporum* **f. sp** *phaseoli* **mycelial growth inhibition by siderophores produced by** *Pseudomonas fluorescens*

Means followed by the same letter within a column are not significantly different according to Fisher's LSD test at  $P \le 0.05$ 

## **4.5.2 Production of volatile and non-volatile compounds**

All *Pseudomonas* isolates significantly (*F*=56.48; df=12, 38; *P*=0.001) inhibited the mycelial growth of *Fusarium oxysporum* via production of volatile metabolites (Plate 4.4) compared to the control. *Pseudomonas fluorescens* isolate Pf1 had the lowest mean mycelia growth of 16.3±0.88 mm and this was significantly different from the control  $(85.7 \pm 2.33 \text{ mm})$  (Table 4.5). All the isolates significantly inhibited mycelia growth of *F. oxysporum* but this varied with production of metabolites by the different isolates. All the *P. fluorescens* isolates significantly (*F*=41.88; df=12, 38; *P*=0.001) inhibited mycelial growth of *F. oxysporum* through production of non-volatile metabolites compared to control. Among the isolates, Pf2 recorded the highest inhibition of 68.8% and Pf8 the lowest inhibition of 9.1%. Production of volatile compounds had the highest percentage inhibition of the pathogen compared to non-volatile compounds *in vitro*.



## **Plate 4.4: Inhibition of** *Fusarium oxysporum* **by volatile metabolite of** *P. fluorescens* **isolates (A) Control, (B)** *P. fluorescens* **Pf1 (C) PF3 (D) Pf4**

\*Arrow indicates the *Fusarium* colony growth

Isolates	Mycelia growth (mm)				
	Growth with volatile	$\%$	Growth with non-	$\%$	
	compounds (mm)	inhibition	volatile	inhibition	
			compounds(mm)		
Pf1	$16.3 \pm 0.88$ <sup>i</sup>	80.9	$37.3 \pm 2.19$ <sup>de</sup>	51.5	
Pf <sub>2</sub>	$21.7 \pm 0.88$ hi	74.7	$24.0 \pm 1.15$ <sup>e</sup>	68.8	
Pf3	$41.7 \pm 2.03$ <sup>efg</sup>	51.4	$50.0 \pm 1.15$ <sup>cd</sup>	35.1	
Pf4	$32.7 \pm 1.76$ <sup>gh</sup>	61.9	$48.7 \pm 4.06$ <sup>cd</sup>	36.8	
Pf <sub>5</sub>	$44.3 \pm 3.84$ <sup>efg</sup>	48.3	$52.0 \pm 6.35$ <sup>cd</sup>	32.5	
Pf6	$46.7 \pm 5.33$ <sup>def</sup>	45.5	$60.3 \pm 0.88$ <sup>abc</sup>	21.7	
Pf7	$38.3 \pm 6.89$ <sup>fg</sup>	55.3	$56.0 \pm 10.69$ <sup>bc</sup>	27.3	
Pf8	$54.0 \pm 3.46$ <sup>cde</sup>	37.0	$70.0 \pm 7.57$ <sup>ab</sup>	9.1	
Pf9	$58.0 \pm 3.6$ <sup>cd</sup>	32.3	$61.3 \pm 3.71$ <sup>abc</sup>	20.4	
<b>Pf10</b>	$59.3 \pm 7.45$ <sup>cd</sup>	30.8	59.7 $\pm$ 10.49 <sup>bc</sup>	22.5	
<b>Pf11</b>	$66.0{\pm}5.29^{bc}$	23.0	$61.7 \pm 5.04$ <sup>abc</sup>	19.9	
<b>Pf12</b>	$77.3 \pm 6.36^{ab}$	9.7	$64.7 \pm 7.97$ <sup>abc</sup>	16.0	
Control	$85.7 \pm 2.33^a$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$77.0 \pm 2.08^a$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	
<b>LSD</b>	12.84		17.18		
P-value	0.001		0.001		

**Table 4.5: Mean** *Fusarium oxysporum* **f. sp.** *phaseoli* **radial growth inhibition by compounds produced by** *Pseudomonas fluorescens*

Means followed by the same letter within a column are not significantly different according to Fisher's LSD test at  $P \le 0.05$ 

# **4.5.3 Inhibition of** *Fusarium oxysporum* **growth by** *Pseudomonas fluorescens* **through production of antibiotics**

*In vitro* study revealed that *Pseudomonas* strains had a significant (*F*= 15.58; df= 12, 38; *P*= 0.001) effect on *F. oxysporum.* f. sp *phaseoli* by antibiosis (Table 4.6). All isolates controlled the fungus growth zone by 11-65

% as compared to control except for strain Pf11. *Pseudomonas* Pf1 recorded the highest inhibition of 65.9% and Pf12 had the lowest inhibition of 11.9%. The untreated had the highest mycelia growth of  $71.3\pm7.06$  mm and this was significantly (*P*= 0.001) different from what was recorded in Pf11 (71.3±7.06 mm) and Pf12 (63.0 $\pm$ 3.21mm) at seven days after incubation.

Isolates	Radial growth of <i>F. oxysporum</i> f. sp phaseoli (mm)	Percentage inhibition
Pf1	$24.3 \pm 0.88$ <sup>e</sup>	65.9
Pf <sub>2</sub>	$30.3 \pm 3.18$ <sup>de</sup>	57.5
Pf3	$32.3 \pm 3.67$ <sup>de</sup>	54.7
Pf4	$32.0 \pm 2.31$ <sup>de</sup>	55.1
Pf <sub>5</sub>	$41.7 \pm 1.76$ <sup>cd</sup>	41.6
Pf6	$44.7 \pm 2.40$ <sup>cd</sup>	37.4
Pf7	$36.3 \pm 6.64$ <sup>cde</sup>	49.1
Pf8	$35.0 \pm 5.03$ <sup>cde</sup>	50.9
Pf9	$41.0 \pm 11.37$ <sup>cde</sup>	42.5
<b>Pf10</b>	$49.7 \pm 11.78$ <sup>bc</sup>	30.4
<b>Pf11</b>	$71.3 \pm 7.06^a$	0.0
Pf12	$63.0 \pm 3.21^{ab}$	11.7
Control	$71.3 \pm 7.06^a$	
<b>LSD</b>	17.19	
P-value	0.001	

**Table 4.6: Mean inhibition of Fusarium growth by** *Pseudomonas fluorescens* **through production of antibiotics** 

Means followed by the same letter within a column are not significantly different according to Fisher's LSD test at  $P \le 0.05$ 

# **4.5.4 Evaluation of** *Pseudomonas fluorescens* **as a plant growth promoting agent** *in vitro*

All the *Pseudomonas* isolates differed in their ability to promote growth *in vitro*. *Pseudomonas fluorescens* -Pf1 showed significantly longer shoot length (*F*=9.56; df= 5, 23; *P*= 0.001) and root length (*F*=7.48; df=5, 23; *P*=0.006) compared to control. Among the *P. fluorescens* strains, Pf1 had the highest plant vigor index of 794.1±40.70 followed by Bio cure- B with 696.1 $\pm$ 29.46 and the lowest was in Pf4 with 468.4 $\pm$ 63.78 (Table 4.7). The germination percentage was higher in treated seeds compared to untreated control. *Pseudomonas fluorescens* -Pf4 scored the lowest plant vigour index when compared to all the *P*. *fluorescens* isolates (Table 4.7).

<b>Treatments</b>	Shoot	Root length	Germination	Plant growth
	length(cm)	(cm)	percentage	vigor
P. fluorescens (Pf1)	$0.5 \pm 0.04^a$	$9.3 \pm 0.48^a$	$82.5 \pm 1.50^{\circ}$	$794.1 \pm 40.70^a$
P. fluorescens (Pf2)	$0.4 \pm 0.04^{ab}$	$8.0 \pm 0.71$ <sup>ab</sup>	$81.5 \pm 1.26^{ab}$	$671.9 \pm 62.05^{\text{a}}$
P. fluorescens (Pf3)	$0.3 \pm 0.03$ <sup>cd</sup>	$6.5 \pm 0.65$ <sup>bc</sup>	$78.0 \pm 0.82$ <sup>cd</sup>	$525.5 \pm 46.49^b$
P. fluorescens (Pf4)	$0.2 \pm 0.05^d$	$6.0 \pm 0.71$ <sup>c</sup>	$75.5 \pm 1.26$ <sup>cd</sup>	$468.4 \pm 63.78^b$
$Bio$ cure $-R$	$0.3 \pm 0.04^{\rm bc}$	$8.5 \pm 0.29$ <sup>a</sup>	$79.5 \pm 1.26$ <sup>abc</sup>	$696.1+29.46^a$
Control	$0.2 \pm 0.04^d$	$5.5 \pm 0.29$ <sup>c</sup>	$74.0 \pm 1.83$ <sup>d</sup>	$419.8 \pm 24.08^b$
<b>LSD</b>	0.12	1.63	4.02	139.3
P-value	0.001	0.006	0.001	0.007

**Table 4.7: Mean germination percentage, shoot and root length of French beans var. Vanilla treated with** *Pseudomonas fluorescens* **isolates using Roll Towel Method**

Means followed by the same letter within a column are not significantly different according to Fisher's LSD test at  $P \le 0.05$ 

## **4.6 Evaluation of treatments in the greenhouse**

# **4.6.1 Effects of treatments on disease incidence under greenhouse condition**

All isolates significantly (*F*=78.25; df= 7,23; *P*=0.005) reduced the disease incidence during the first season with Pf1 recording the lowest mean disease incidence of 37.8±0.33% at 42 days after inoculation compared to control. Among the treatments, neem recorded the highest mean disease incidence and this was significantly different from Pf3, Pf4, Bio cure- B and Pf1+neem. French bean plants from plots treated with Pf1+neem recorded significantly (*F*=80.92; df= 7, 23; *P*=0.001) lower disease incidence during the second season compared to control. However, this was significantly different from all the other treatments except Pf1 and Bio cure- B which scored 35.2±0.98 and 35.6±1.70%, respectively (Table 4.8).

Treatments	September-November 2018 (SN1)			January – March 2019 (SN2)		
	Mean disease incidence			Mean disease incidence		
	14 DAI	<b>28 DAI</b>	42 DAI	14 DAI	<b>28 DAI</b>	42 DAI
P. fluorescens (Pf 1)	$9.2 \pm 1.52$ <sup>c</sup>	$23.7 \pm 2.96^c$	$37.8 \pm 0.33$ <sup>c</sup>	$25.2 \pm 1.62^c$	$31.5 \pm 1.33$ <sup>c</sup>	$35.2 \pm 0.98$ <sup>de</sup>
P. fluorescens (Pf 2)	$15.8 \pm 2.20^{bc}$	$33.3 \pm 2.91$ <sup>bc</sup>	$39.2 \pm 0.83$ <sup>c</sup>	$29.3 \pm 0.98$ <sup>bc</sup>	$33.3 \pm 2.22$ <sup>c</sup>	$39.6 \pm 1.33$ <sup>cd</sup>
P. fluorescens (Pf 3)	$21.3 \pm 1.76^{ab}$	$35.7 \pm 1.7$ <sup>bc</sup>	$48.1 \pm 5.16$ <sup>bc</sup>	$28.9 \pm 2.57$ <sup>bc</sup>	$33.7 \pm 2.59$ <sup>c</sup>	$41.9 \pm 2.06$ <sup>c</sup>
P. fluorescens (Pf 4)	$23.0 \pm 4.04^{ab}$	$36.7 \pm 4.47^b$	$46.7 \pm 3.84$ <sup>bc</sup>	$33.0 \pm 2.06^{bc}$	$36.7 \pm 1.70$ <sup>bc</sup>	$42.2 \pm 1.70$ <sup>c</sup>
Bio Cure B	$20.2 \pm 4.79$ <sup>ab</sup>	$35.4 \pm 2.28$ <sup>bc</sup>	$44.6 \pm 3.70$ <sup>bc</sup>	$27.4 \pm 1.96$ <sup>bc</sup>	$32.6 \pm 0.74$ <sup>c</sup>	$35.6 \pm 1.70$ <sup>de</sup>
Neem	$25.7 \pm 4.70$ <sup>ab</sup>	$52.0 \pm 5.17^{\text{a}}$	$56.2 \pm 3.35^{ab}$	$34.8 \pm 2.06^b$	$42.2 \pm 1.70^b$	$48.5 \pm 0.74^b$
$Pf1 + Neem$	$17.0 \pm 3.00^{\rm bc}$	$36.3 \pm 1.96$ <sup>bc</sup>	$45.4 \pm 4.05^{\rm bc}$	$27.4 \pm 1.48$ <sup>bc</sup>	$33.0 \pm 2.06^c$	$34.8 \pm 1.48^e$
Control	$30.1 \pm 2.58$ <sup>a</sup>	$56.4 \pm 8.29$ <sup>a</sup>	$63.9 \pm 6.92^{\text{a}}$	$49.3 \pm 6.92^{\text{a}}$	$62.2 \pm 2.22^a$	$75.2 \pm 1.48^a$
<b>LSD</b>	9.91	12.75	12.15	9.03	5.70	4.46
P-value	0.013	0.001	0.005	0.001	0.001	0.001

**Table 4.8 Mean disease incidence (%) of Fusarium wilt disease after inoculation in the greenhouse**

Means followed by the same letter within a column are not significantly different according to Fisher's LSD test at P≤ 0.05 SN1-Season one; SN 2- season two; DAI- Days after inoculation

# **4.6.2 Effects of** *Pseudomonas* **and neem extract on severity of Fusarium wilt**

Application of the treatments at planting had a significant effect on disease severity of French beans at 14, 28 and 42 days after inoculation compared to control (Plate 4.5). All the treated plants had significantly (*F*=8.77; df=7,23; *P*=0.001: *F*=4.21; df= 7,23; *P*=0.008) lower severity compared to the control during the first and second season, respectively. French beans treated with Pf1+neem recorded a lower mean disease severity compared to those treated with neem alone and this was significantly different (*P*=0.001) at 42 DAI. The highest mean disease severity was recorded on the control plots and this differed significantly from all the other treatments. During the first and second season, French beans from plots treated with Pf1 isolate had the lowest mean disease severity with  $40.7 \pm 1.32$ ,  $48.0 \pm 4.07$ followed by Bio cure – B (commercial product) with  $43.3\pm0.63$ ,  $52.5\pm8.43$ , respectively.



**Plate 4.5: French beans treated with** *P. fluorescens* **(A) and untreated (B) under greenhouse condition**



## **Table 4.9: Mean (%) disease severity of Fusarium wilt of French bean plants treated with** *Pseudomonas fluorescens* **isolates**

Means followed by the same letter within a column are not significantly different according to Fisher's LSD test at P≤ 0.05 SN1-Season one; SN 2- season two; DAI- Days after inoculation

# **4.6.3 Effects of neem extract and** *Pseudomonas fluorescens* **on plant height of French bean under greenhouse condition**

A marked increase in plant height was scored in *Pseudomonas* treated plants compared to the untreated control (Fig. 4.1). During the first season, plants treated with *P. fluorescens* isolates, Bio cure-B and neem had significantly  $(F=20.94; df=7, 23; P=0.001)$  higher plant height with *P*. *fluorescens* Pf1 scoring 24.3±0.88 cm compared to control. The results were consistent whereby a significant  $(F=8.94; df= 7, 23; P=0.002)$  increase in height was recorded during the second season and Pf1 had the highest mean height of 22.6±0.33 cm compared to control. The lowest plant height was recorded in control plants with  $6.3\pm0.88$  cm and  $5\pm1.53$  cm followed by neem 13.7±3.18 and 11±1.53 during the first and second season, respectively.



**Plate 4.6: French beans in greenhouse showing difference in height between healthy(A) and infected plants (B)**


Season one Season two Se



\* Error bars indicate standard error comparing means for each treatment

### **4.6.4 Effects of treatment on shoot length of French beans in the greenhouse**

Application of treatments had significant effect on shoot length compared to the untreated control (Table 4.10). A significantly (*F*=3.45; df=7, 23; *P*=0.002) higher shoot length was recorded in all treatments compared to control during the first season. Among the treatments, plants treated with isolate Pf1 had the highest shoot length of 22.0±2.30 cm and the lowest was recorded in plants treated with neem 14.7±0.33 cm at 42 DAI. There was significant (*F*=2.08; df= 7, 23; *P*=0.001) difference in shoot length in treated plants compared to untreated control during the second season. Among the *P. fluorescens* isolates, plants treated with Pf4 recorded the lowest shoot length of 13.7±1.20 cm and the highest was those treated with Pf1 with 20.0±1.15 cm (Table 4.10).

Treatments	September-November	January – March 2019
	2018 (SN1)	(SN 2)
	Mean shoot length	Mean shoot length
P. fluorescens (Pf1)	$22.0 \pm 2.30^a$	$20.0 \pm 1.15^a$
P. fluorescens (Pf2)	$20.7 \pm 1.76^{ab}$	$18.0 \pm 1.15^{ab}$
P. fluorescens (Pf3)	$17.3 \pm 3.38^{ab}$	$14.3 \pm 1.45^{\rm bc}$
P. fluorescens (Pf4)	$16.3 \pm 0.88$ <sup>abc</sup>	$13.7 \pm 1.20$ <sup>bc</sup>
Bio cure-B	$18.3 \pm 2.02^{ab}$	$16.3 \pm 0.88$ <sup>bc</sup>
Neem	$14.7 \pm 0.33$ <sup>bc</sup>	$10.7 \pm 1.76$ <sup>c</sup>
$Pf1 + n$ eem	$19.7 \pm 2.60^{ab}$	$17.0 \pm 2.51^{ab}$
Control	$10.3 \pm 1.45$ <sup>c</sup>	$4.0 \pm 0.58$ <sup>d</sup>
<b>LSD</b>	6.16	4.34
P-value	0.023	0.001

**Table 4.10: Mean shoot length of French beans at 60 days after treatment application in the greenhouse** 

Means followed by the same letter within a column are not significantly different according to Fisher's LSD test at  $P \le 0.05$ 

SN1-Season one; SN 2- season two

# **4.6.5 Effects of** *Pseudomonas fluorescens* **and neem extract on root length of French beans inoculated with** *Fusarium oxysporum* **under greenhouse condition**

Plants treated with *P. fluorescens* isolates, Bio cure-B and neem had significantly  $(F=10.85; df=7, 23; P=0.003)$  longer root length compared to untreated control during the first season. French beans plants treated with Pf1 isolate had the highest root length of  $14.7\pm0.33$  cm while neem had the least with 7.7 $\pm$ 0.88 cm. During the second season, a significantly shorter root length was recorded in all treatments compared to untreated control: Plants treated with *P. fluorescens* isolates had a significantly shorter root length during the first season as compared to second season with Pf1 recording the longest with 10.7±1.76 cm (Table 4.11).

Treatments	September-November	January – March 2019			
	2018 (SN1)	(SN 2)			
	Mean root length (cm)	Mean root length (cm)			
P. fluorescens (Pf1)	$14.7 \pm 0.33$ <sup>a</sup>	$10.7 \pm 1.76^{\circ}$			
P. fluorescens (Pf2)	$10.7 \pm 1.76$ <sup>bc</sup>	$8.0 \pm 1.15^{ab}$			
P. fluorescens (Pf3)	$10.0 \pm 1.52$ <sup>bc</sup>	$7.7 \pm 1.45^{ab}$			
P. fluorescens (Pf4)	$8.7 \pm 1.45$ <sup>bc</sup>	$6.3{\pm}0.88^{\rm bc}$			
Bio cure-B	$8.7 \pm 1.85$ <sup>bc</sup>	$7.7 \pm 1.45^{ab}$			
Neem	$7.7 \pm 0.88$ <sup>c</sup>	$5.3 \pm 0.88$ bc			
$Pf1 + n$ eem	$11.7 \pm 0.88$ <sup>ab</sup>	$9.3 \pm 1.20^{ab}$			
Control	$7.3 \pm 0.88$ <sup>c</sup>	$3.3 \pm 2.02^c$			
<b>LSD</b>	3.88	4.21			
P-value	0.003	0.049			

**Table 4.11: Mean root length of French beans at 60 days after treatment application in the greenhouse**

Means followed by the same letter within a column are not significantly different according to Fisher's LSD test at P $\leq$  0.05

SN1-Season one; SN 2- season two

### **4.6.6 Effects of treatments on biomass of French beans inoculated with**  *Fusarium oxysporum*

Application of treatments before inoculation of *F. oxysporum* had a significant effect on the biomass of French beans  $(F=12.37; df=7, 23;$ *P*=0.002) during the first season (Table 4.12). Among the isolates, plants treated with Pf1 and Pf2 separately had the highest biomass with 72.3±3.66 g and 71.7±9.27 g, respectively. A higher biomass of 45.7±12.25g was attained in French beans treated with Pf1+neem compared to application of neem solely which had 29.3±10.34g. The results were consistent during the second season whereby a significant  $F=1.51$ ; df= 7, 23;  $P=0.001$ ) higher biomass was recorded in treated plants compared to untreated control. French beans treated with Pf1 scored a higher biomass compared to Bio cure- B with  $44.1 \pm 1.96$ g, 32.2.7±2.69g, respectively (Table 4.12).

Treatments	September-November 2018 (SN1)	January – March 2019 (SN2)
	Mean biomass (g)	Mean biomass (g)
P. fluorescens (Pf1)	$72.3 \pm 3.66^{\circ}$	$44.1 \pm 1.96^{\circ}$
P. fluorescens (Pf2)	$54.0 \pm 10.21^{ab}$	$34.4 \pm 3.48$ <sup>bc</sup>
P. fluorescens (Pf3)	$71.7 \pm 9.27$ <sup>a</sup>	$31.4 \pm 5.14$ <sup>bc</sup>
P. fluorescens (Pf4)	$42.7 \pm 6.96$ <sup>bc</sup>	$25.7 \pm 3.25$ <sup>cd</sup>
Bio cure-B	$30.3 \pm 2.60$ <sup>bc</sup>	$32.2 \pm 2.69$ <sup>bc</sup>
Neem	$29.3 \pm 10.34$ <sup>c</sup>	$19.0 \pm 2.78$ <sup>de</sup>
$Pf1 + n$ eem	$45.7 \pm 12.25$ <sup>bc</sup>	$35.0 \pm 2.52^{ab}$
Control	$26.3 \pm 2.33$ <sup>c</sup>	$12.2 \pm 0.83^e$
<b>LSD</b>	24.21	9.17
P-value	0.002	0.001

**Table 4.12: Mean biomass of French beans at 60 days after treatment application under greenhouse condition** 

Means followed by the same letter within a column are not significantly different according to Fisher's LSD test at  $P \le 0.05$ 

SN1-Season one; SN 2- season two

## **4.6.7 Effects of treatments on yield of French bean under greenhouse condition**

There was a marked increase in the weight of marketable pods in French bean plants treated with neem extract, Bio cure-B and *P. fluorescens* compared to untreated control (Fig.4.2). A significantly  $(F=12.01; df= 7, 23;$ *P*= 0.001) higher weight of marketable pods was recorded for all treatments compared to control. *Pseudomonas fluorescens* - Pf1 attained the highest weight of  $4.0\pm0.11$ t/ha and the least was recorded in control with  $0.6\pm0.04$ t/ha.

French beans treated with Pf1 isolate had the highest weight of nonmarketable pods compared to control. During the second season, a higher weight of marketable pods was scored in French bean plots treated with Pf1+neem with 2.5±0.28 t/ha compared to Bio cure-B (commercial product) with  $1.7\pm0.18t/ha$  (Fig.4.2).







**Figure 4.2: Mean weight of marketable and non-marketable pods from French beans treated with** *Pseudomonas fluorescens* **under greenhouse condition.** 

\*Error bars represent standard errors of the means per treatment

## **4.6.8 Assessment of survival rate of** *Pseudomonas fluorescens* **in the greenhouse**

*Pseudomonas fluorescens* strains Pf1, Pf2, Pf3 and Pf4 differed markedly in their population size in the soil over time (Table 4.13). At 42 days after inoculation of *Fusarium oxysporum*, the population of Pf1 was consistently higher with  $3.5 \pm 0.05 \times 10^8$  compared to other isolates during the first season (Table 4.13). A decline in the population was recorded for all the treatments at 60 DAI. There was significant  $(F=87.61; df=7, 23; P=0.001)$ difference in rhizosphere populations of *P. fluorescens* in treated plots compared to control during the second season. There was no population of *P. fluorescens* recorded for both neem and control since no application was done. Among *P. fluorescens* isolates, Pf3 isolate had the least population of  $2.0\pm0.12\times10^8$  cfu/g during the second season.



#### **Table 4.13: Mean population dynamics of** *Pseudomonas fluorescens* **in French bean rhizosphere soil under greenhouse condition**

Means followed by the same letter within a column are not significantly different according to Fisher's LSD test at P≤ 0.05 SN1-Season one; SN 2- season two; DAI- Days after inoculation; CFU – Colony forming unit

#### **4.7 Evaluation of treatments in the field experiment**

# **4.7.1 Effect of bacterium isolates and neem extract on disease incidence of French beans**

All *Pseudomonas fluorescens* isolates, neem and Bio Cure -B reduced the incidence of Fusarium wilt on French beans (Table 4.14). French beans from control plots had significantly (*F*=4.22; df=7,23; *P*= 0.008: *F*=17.61; df=7,31; *P*=0.001) higher number of infected plants compared to treated plants at 42 days after treatment application (DAT) during the first and second season, respectively. Among the treatments, plants treated with neem extract scored the highest disease incidence of  $41.9 \pm 3.66\%$  during the first season. French beans treated with *Pseudomonas fluorescens*- Pf1 was the most effective treatment with significantly lower disease incidence compared to Pf1+neem for both seasons (Table 4.14).

Treatments	July - September 2018 (SN1)				November 2018 - January 2019 (SN2)			
		Mean disease incidence				Mean disease incidence		
	14 DAT	28 DAT	42 DAT		14 DAT	28 DAT	42 DAT	
$P.$ fluorescens (Pf 1)	$9.0 \pm 1.77$ <sup>d</sup>	$12.3 \pm 2.56^b$	$26.7 \pm 1.67^{\mathrm{d}}$		$4.7 \pm 0.86$ <sup>c</sup>	$12.7 \pm 1.28$ <sup>c</sup>	$14.5 \pm 1.87^e$	
P. fluorescens (Pf 2)	$5.9 \pm 0.87$ <sup>cd</sup>	$13.3 \pm 1.21^b$	$29.3 \pm 2.34$ <sup>cd</sup>		$5.4 \pm 0.59$ <sup>c</sup>	$12.5 \pm 1.77$ <sup>c</sup>	$20.5 \pm 1.81$ <sup>de</sup>	
P. fluorescens (Pf 3)	$10.1 \pm 2.74$ <sup>bcd</sup>	$18.4 \pm 2.89^b$	$37.4 \pm 6.58$ <sup>bcd</sup>		$10.1 \pm 1.18^b$	$16.9 \pm 1.22$ <sup>bc</sup>	$23.8 \pm 1.08$ <sup>cd</sup>	
P. fluorescens (Pf 4)	$14.7 \pm 1.52^{ab}$	$15.1 \pm 4.60^b$	$38.6 \pm 2.60$ <sup>abc</sup>		$10.2 \pm 2.41^b$	$18.0 \pm 0.46^{\rm bc}$	$29.6 \pm 4.03$ <sup>c</sup>	
Bio Cure B	$10.3 \pm 1.31$ <sup>bcd</sup>	$16.5 \pm 2.76^b$	$32.9 \pm 1.41$ <sup>bcd</sup>		$7.1 \pm 1.53$ <sup>bc</sup>	$14.9 \pm 3.09$ <sup>c</sup>	$23.9 \pm 2.15$ <sup>cd</sup>	
Neem	$17.0 \pm 2.64^{\text{a}}$	$32.2 \pm 1.76^a$	$41.9 \pm 3.66^{ab}$		$15.6 \pm 0.61$ <sup>a</sup>	$22.5 \pm 1.66^{ab}$	$38.5 \pm 3.25^b$	
$Pf1 + Neem$	$13.6 \pm 0.73$ <sup>abc</sup>	$17.3 \pm 0.67^b$	$30.9 \pm 2.37$ <sup>cd</sup>		$7.4 \pm 1.43$ <sup>bc</sup>	$14.1 \pm 3.75$ <sup>c</sup>	$26.3 \pm 3.63$ <sup>cd</sup>	
Control	$14.9 \pm 1.70^{ab}$	$35.3 \pm 7.19^a$	49.1 $\pm$ 4.83 <sup>a</sup>		$17.8 \pm 1.62^{\text{a}}$	$28.7 \pm 3.66^a$	$54.6 \pm 4.26$ <sup>a</sup>	
<b>LSD</b>	5.40	10.62	10.75		4.09	7.03	8.68	
P-value	0.008	0.014	0.008		0.001	0.009	0.001	

**Table 4.14: Mean disease incidence (%) of Fusarium wilt on French bean plants treated with** *Pseudomonas fluorescens* **isolates**

Means followed by the same letter within a column are not significantly different according to Fisher's LSD test at P≤ 0.05

SN1-Season one; SN 2- season two; DAT- Days after treatment application

### **4.7.2 Effects of treatments on Fusarium wilt severity on French beans under field condition**

The severity of Fusarium wilt was significantly (*F*=15.03; df=7, 23; *P*=0.001) reduced by application of different treatments compared to untreated control during the first season. A progressive increase in diseases severity was recorded from 14, 28 and 42 days after treatment application (Table 4.15). Plants treated with *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (Pf1) recorded the lowest disease severity of 29.3 $\pm$ 2.03% and 19.5 $\pm$ 0.96% during the first and second season, respectively. The results were consistent during the second season whereby a significant  $(F=13.64; df= 7, 31; P=0.001)$  difference was recorded in treated plants compared to control. Maximum disease severity was recorded in the control plots with  $48.8\pm 6.57\%$  followed by Pf4 with  $29.3\pm 1.25\%$  during the second season at 42 DAT (Table 4.15).



**Plate 4.7: French beans treated (A) and untreated (B) with** *P. fluorescens* **at 28 day under field condition.**

Treatments	July-September 2018(SN1)				November-January 2019(SN 2)			
		Mean disease severity			Mean disease severity			
	14 DAT	28 DAT 42 DAT		14 DAT	28 DAT	42 DAT		
$P.$ fluorescens (Pf 1)	$23.0 \pm 1.67$ <sup>d</sup>	$26.7 \pm 2.03$ <sup>c</sup>	$29.3 \pm 2.03^e$		$10.8 \pm 1.11$ <sup>d</sup>	$15.3 \pm 0.48$ <sup>de</sup>	$19.5 \pm 0.96$ <sup>c</sup>	
P. fluorescens (Pf 2)	$28.5 \pm 2.34$ <sup>cd</sup>	$28.9 \pm 2.35$ <sup>c</sup>	$29.8 \pm 1.93$ <sup>de</sup>		$11.8 \pm 0.85$ <sup>d</sup>	$14.5 \pm 1.32^e$	$20.3 \pm 1.93$ <sup>c</sup>	
P. fluorescens (Pf 3)	$27.6 \pm 1.11$ <sup>cd</sup>	$28.9 \pm 2.11$ <sup>c</sup>	$29.8 \pm 1.93$ <sup>de</sup>		$18.5 \pm 1.85$ <sup>bc</sup>	$21.3 \pm 1.03$ <sup>bc</sup>	$24.8 \pm 1.25$ <sup>bc</sup>	
P. fluorescens (Pf 4)	$32.9 \pm 1.24$ <sup>bc</sup>	$33.3 \pm 4.02$ <sup>bc</sup>	$37.8 \pm 1.93$ <sup>bc</sup>		$23.3 \pm 1.80^{ab}$	$17.5 \pm 1.89$ <sup>cd</sup>	$29.3 \pm 1.25^b$	
Bio cure B	$34.7 \pm 1.41^{\rm bc}$	$35.6 \pm 4.64$ <sup>bc</sup>	$37.3 \pm 3.62$ <sup>bcd</sup>		$16.3 \pm 4.01$ <sup>cd</sup>	$18.8 \pm 1.19$ <sup>cde</sup>	$19.5 \pm 1.85$ <sup>c</sup>	
Neem	$36.9 \pm 3.66^b$	$38.2 \pm 0.89^b$	$43.6 \pm 3.89^b$		$22.3 \pm 2.17$ <sup>abc</sup>	$25.3 \pm 1.70^b$	$28.5 \pm 1.26^b$	
$Pf1 + Neem$	$27.6 \pm 2.37$ <sup>cd</sup>	$29.8 \pm 2.47$ <sup>bc</sup>	$32.1 \pm 1.44$ <sup>cde</sup>		$12.0 \pm 2.16^d$	$18.3 \pm 1.18$ <sup>cde</sup>	$19.5 \pm 1.50^c$	
Control	$51.8 \pm 3.89$ <sup>a</sup>	$55.3 \pm 4.83$ <sup>a</sup>	59.1 $\pm$ 3.11 <sup>a</sup>		$28.0 \pm 1.41$ <sup>a</sup>	$35.0 \pm 2.04^a$	$48.8 \pm 6.57$ <sup>a</sup>	
<b>LSD</b>	7.43	9.02	7.83		6.19	4.18	7.87	
P- value	0.001	0.004	0.001		0.001	0.002	0.013	

**Table 4.15: Mean disease severity (%) of Fusarium wilt on bean plants treated with** *Pseudomonas fluorescens* **isolates** 

Means followed by the same letter within a column are not significantly different according to Fisher's LSD test at P≤ 0.05 SN1-Season one; SN 2- season two; DAT- Days after treatment application

### **4.7.3 Effects of treatments with** *Pseudomonas fluorescens* **on plant height of French beans under field conditions**

Application of *P. fluorescens* isolates promoted growth of French beans compared to the untreated control (Plate 4.8). The plant height progressively increased with treatment application. There was significant difference (*F*=2.49; df =7, 23; *P*=0.001: *F*=23.66; df= 7, 31; *P*=0.001) in height in the treated French bean plants compared to the control during the first and second season, respectively. The highest plant height  $(28.7\pm1.45 \text{ cm})$ was scored in plants treated with *Pseudomonas fluorescens* - Pf1 and this was significantly different from the control. During the second season, French beans plants treated with Pf1 recorded a higher mean plant height of 32.5±1.17 followed by Pf2 (29.5 $\pm$ 0.65.) and Pf1+neem (28.5 $\pm$ 0.87cm). French beans in control plots recorded the lowest plant height with  $10.3\pm 2.33$  in the first and  $17.8 \pm 1.03$  cm during the second season (Fig 4.3).



**Plate 4.8: French beans treated with** *P. fluorescens* **(A) and control plots (B) at 28th day under field condition**



 $SN 1$   $SN 2$ 

#### **Figure 4.3: Mean plant height of French beans under field condition**

SN1-Season one; SN 2- season two; DAT- Days after treatment application

\*Error bars represent the standard error of means of treatments

### **4.7.4 Effects of bacterial isolates and neem on shoot length of French beans under field condition**

Application of treatments significantly (*P*= 0.001) increased the shoot length of French beans during the first and second seasons compared to the untreated control. French beans treated with *Pseudomonas* isolate Pf1 and Pf1+neem were at par and had the highest shoot length of 32.7±2.52 cm and 32.7±2.08 cm, respectively. French bean plants treated with Pf1 isolate performed better and attained 38.8±1.88 cm and this was significantly different from all the treatments except Pf3, Pf4 and neem compared to untreated control. Plants in the control plots had the lowest shoot length of  $21.0 \pm 1.73$  cm and  $22.8 \pm 0.63$  during the first and second season, respectively (Table 4.16).

Treatments	July - September	November 2018-
	2018 (SN1)	January 2019 (SN 2)
	Mean shoot length	Mean shoot length
P. fluorescens (Pf1)	$32.7 \pm 2.52^{\text{a}}$	$38.8 \pm 1.88^a$
P. fluorescens (Pf2)	$34.0 \pm 3.61$ <sup>a</sup>	$37.3 \pm 2.50^{\circ}$
P. fluorescens (Pf3)	$29.0 \pm 2.64^{ab}$	$32.0 \pm 0.91^b$
P. fluorescens (Pf4)	$31.0 \pm 2.00^a$	$30.3 \pm 1.44$ <sup>c</sup>
Bio cure-B	$30.3 \pm 5.03^{ab}$	$36.3 \pm 2.78$ <sup>ab</sup>
Neem	$25.3 \pm 2.10^{bc}$	$27.8 \pm 1.55$ <sup>c</sup>
$Pf1 + n$ eem	$32.7 \pm 2.08^a$	$35.3 \pm 0.48^{ab}$
Control	$21.0 \pm 1.73$ <sup>c</sup>	$22.8 \pm 0.63^d$
<b>LSD</b>	5.09	4.99
P-value	0.009	0.001

**Table 4.16: Mean shoot length of French beans at 60 days after treatment application** 

Means followed by the same letter within a column are not significantly different according to Fisher's LSD test at  $P \le 0.05$ 

SN1-Season one; SN 2- Season two

### **4.7.5 Effects of treatments on root length of French beans at 60 DAT under field condition**

The data revealed that application of *P. fluorescens,* Bio cure -B and neem extract at planting significantly increased root length compared to untreated control  $(F=6.88; df=7, 23; P=0.007)$  during the first season. The highest root length was attained in plants treated with *P. fluorescens* - Pf1 with 47.0±3.61 cm followed by Pf2 which recorded 44.0±1.00 cm in the season. The results were consistent in the second season whereby a significantly (*F*=14.07; 7, 31; *P*=0.001) higher root length was recorded in Pf1 treated plants compared to control (Plate 4.9). French beans treated with Pf1+neem recorded higher root length of 43.3±2.14 cm compared to use of neem extract solely which scored 31.8±1.81 cm during the second season (Table.4.17).



Plate 4.9: French bean root length untreated (A) and treated (B) with *P. fluorescens* under field condition

Treatments	July - September 2018	November 2018-
	(SN1)	January 2019 (SN 2)
	Mean root length	Mean root length
P. fluorescens (Pf1)	$47.0 \pm 3.61^{\text{a}}$	$50.3 \pm 1.75^{\text{a}}$
P. fluorescens (Pf2)	$44.0 \pm 1.00^{ab}$	$45.5 \pm 2.25^{ab}$
P. fluorescens (Pf3)	$37.7 \pm 4.93$ bcd	$39.3 \pm 2.29$ <sup>cd</sup>
P. fluorescens (Pf4)	$35.7 \pm 5.51$ <sup>cd</sup>	$37.5 \pm 1.26^{\circ}$
Bio cure-B	$38.7 \pm 4.16^{bc}$	$45.5 \pm 1.26^{ab}$
Neem	$31.7 \pm 3.17$ <sup>de</sup>	$31.8 \pm 1.81^e$
$Pf1 + n$ eem	$40.0 \pm 1.73$ bc	$43.3 \pm 2.14$ <sup>bc</sup>
Control	$28.7 \pm 5.03^e$	$29.3 \pm 2.69^e$
<b>LSD</b>	6.88	5.62
P-value	0.007	0.001

**Table 4.17: Mean root length of French beans at 60 days after treatment application**

Means followed by the same letter within a column are not significantly different at  $P \le 0.05$  (Fisher's LSD test)

SN1-Season one; SN 2- season two

# **4.7.6 Effect of** *Pseudomonas fluorescens* **and neem on biomass of shoots and roots under field conditions**

Plants treated with *P. fluorescens* isolates, neem and Bio cure –B recorded a higher biomass of shoots and roots of French beans compared to untreated control (Table 4.18). A significant difference  $(P=0.001)$  in biomass was observed in all treatments compared to the control during the seasons. French beans treated with Pf1 isolate recorded the highest mean biomass of 365.9±27.47g followed by Pf2 which had 345.5±25.19g during the first season. French beans in plots treated with neem extract had the lowest mean biomass of  $418.5\pm28.05$  g followed by control with  $223.5\pm31.40$  g. (Table 4.18).

Treatments	July - September 2018 (SN1)	November 2018- January 2019 (SN 2)
	Biomass $(g)$	Biomass $(g)$
P. fluorescens (Pf1)	$365.9 \pm 27.47$ <sup>a</sup>	$742.9 \pm 59.09^{\mathrm{a}}$
P. fluorescens (Pf2)	$345.5 \pm 25.19^a$	$708.6 \pm 81.92^{\text{a}}$
P. fluorescens (Pf3)	$263.5 \pm 25.21^b$	$502.6 \pm 38.67$ <sup>bc</sup>
P. fluorescens (Pf4)	$208.40 \pm 16.64$ <sup>c</sup>	$487.1 \pm 22.67$ <sup>c</sup>
Bio cure-B	$264.9 \pm 21.19^b$	636.1 $\pm$ 85.84 <sup>ab</sup>
Neem	$194.2 \pm 31.27$ <sup>c</sup>	$418.5 \pm 28.05$ <sup>c</sup>
$Pf1 + n$ eem	$225.7 \pm 30.70$ <sup>bc</sup>	$604.7 \pm 34.12^{ab}$
Control	$127.4 \pm 23.01$ <sup>d</sup>	$223.5 \pm 31.40^{\mathrm{d}}$
<b>LSD</b>	44.26	154.85
P-value	0.001	0.001

**Table 4.18: Mean biomass (g) of French beans at 60 days after treatment application**

Means followed by the same letter within a column are not significantly different according to Fisher's LSD test at  $P \le 0.05$ 

SN1-Season one; SN 2- Season two

#### **4.7.7 Effects of treatments on yield of French beans under field condition**

77 The harvested green pods from each plot were grouped into marketable and non-marketable and thereafter weighed (Plate 4.9). Results indicated that all treatments significantly increased the weight of marketable pods (*F*=51.32; df=7, 23;  $P=0.001$ ) compared to the untreated control ( $F=53.18$ ; df=7, 31;

*P*=0.001) during the first and second season, respectively. *Pseudomonas fluorescens* -Pf1 had 4.4±0.2 t/ha which was the highest pod weight in the first season. However, *Pseudomonas fluorescens* - Pf2 produced the highest weight of pods with 4.8±0.18 t/ha during the second season (Fig 4.4). The untreated control attained 1.5±0.56 t/ha which was the least weight of marketable pods during the seasons.



**Plate 4.10: Marketable (K) and non- marketable pods (L) of French beans.**



**Season one** Season two



Error bars indicate the standard error of the means per treatment

## **4.7.8 Population dynamics of** *Pseudomonas fluorescens* **at different intervals**

Initial population of *P. fluorescens* was determined before application of the treatments. There was a significant  $(F=89.42; df= 7.31; P= 0.001)$ increase in the population of *P. fluorescens* isolates in the soil from  $14<sup>th</sup>$  to  $42<sup>nd</sup>$ day after treatment application (DAT) during the first season. However, a significant (*F*=43.38; df=7,31; P=0.001) decrease in *P. fluorescens* was recorded for all the treatments at 60 DAT (Table 4.19). Among the treatments, *P. fluorescens* Pf1 treated plots supported the highest mean population of 11.4 $\pm$ 0.65 $\times$ 10<sup>8</sup>cfu/g and this was significantly different from Pf2 which had  $10.2 \pm 0.37 \times 10^8$  and Bio cure-B with  $10.9 \pm 0.24 \times 10^8$  cfu/g at 60 DAT. The results obtained during the second season showed there was a significant (*F*=70.39; df=7,31; *P*=0.001) increase in population of *P. fluorescens* upto 60 day after treatment application in all the treatments except for neem and untreated control.

Treatments	Population of <i>P. fluorescens</i> ( $\times$ 10 <sup>8</sup> CFU/g of soil)(SN 1)						Population of <i>P. fluorescens</i> ( $\times 10^8$ CFU/g of soil)(SN 2)				
	Initial	14 DAT	28 DAT	42 DAT	60 DAT		Initial	14 DAT	28DAT	42 DAT	60 DAT
<i>P. fluorescens</i> ( $Pf 1$ )	$4.4 \pm 0.18$ <sup>a</sup>	$8.8 \pm 0.15^{\text{a}}$	$10.8 \pm 0.82$ <sup>a</sup>	$15.3 \pm 0.43^{\circ}$	$11.4 \pm 0.65^{\text{a}}$		$3.6 \pm 0.05^{\text{a}}$	$9.4 \pm 0.18^a$	$12.2 \pm 0.33^{\text{a}}$	$17.9 \pm 0.57$ <sup>a</sup>	$20.2 \pm 0.84$ <sup>a</sup>
P. fluorescens (Pf 2)	$4.3 \pm 0.06^{ab}$	$8.8 \pm 0.33$ <sup>a</sup>	$9.8 \pm 0.16^a$	$13.1 \pm 0.27^b$	$10.2 \pm 0.37$ <sup>ab</sup>		$3.0 \pm 0.24$ <sup>bc</sup>	$8.7 \pm 0.16^{ab}$	$11.1 \pm 0.51^b$	$16.1 \pm 0.18^a$	$17.1 \pm 0.84^b$
$P$ .fluorescens (Pf 3)	$3.4 \pm 0.11$ °	$7.0 \pm 0.17^b$	$8.0 \pm 0.21^b$	$9.3 \pm 0.13$ <sup>cd</sup>	$8.7 \pm 0.21$ <sup>c</sup>		$2.6 \pm 0.17$ <sup>c</sup>	$9.2 \pm 0.95^{ab}$	$10.3 \pm 0.37$ <sup>bc</sup>	$11.3 \pm 0.33$ <sup>bc</sup>	$12.7 \pm 0.61$ <sup>d</sup>
P. fluorescens (Pf 4)	$4.3 \pm 0.12^{ab}$	$6.2 \pm 0.28$ <sup>c</sup>	$7.1 \pm 0.26^b$	$8.9 \pm 0.31$ <sup>d</sup>	$8.1 \pm 0.67$ <sup>c</sup>		$2.7 \pm 0.20^{\circ}$	$8.1 \pm 0.51^{\rm b}$	$9.2 \pm 0.26$ <sup>d</sup>	$10.4 \pm 0.84$ <sup>bc</sup>	$11.4 \pm 0.43$ <sup>d</sup>
Bio cure B	$4.4 \pm 0.15^{ab}$	$8.8 \pm 0.18^a$	$9.9 \pm 0.12^a$	$12.7 \pm 0.19^b$	$10.9 \pm 0.24^{ab}$		$3.1 \pm 0.22$ <sup>abc</sup>	$9.8 \pm 0.14$ <sup>a</sup>	$10.5 \pm 0.33$ <sup>bc</sup>	$12.0 \pm 1.41^b$	$15.2 \pm 0.46$ <sup>c</sup>
Neem	$3.6 \pm 0.12$ <sup>c</sup>	$3.5 \pm 0.16^d$	$3.6 \pm 0.31$ <sup>c</sup>	$4.1 \pm 0.54^e$	$4.8 \pm 0.24$ <sup>d</sup>		$2.8 \pm 0.22$ <sup>c</sup>	$3.2 \pm 0.11$ <sup>c</sup>	$3.5 \pm 0.20^e$	$2.9 \pm 0.24$ <sup>d</sup>	$2.9 \pm 0.16^e$
$Pf1 + Neem$	$4.0 \pm 0.20^b$	$6.6 \pm 0.34$ <sup>bc</sup>	$7.7 \pm 0.47^b$	$10.5 \pm 0.86$ <sup>c</sup>	$10.0 \pm 0.49^b$		$3.4 \pm 0.06^{ab}$	$9.1 \pm 0.28^{ab}$	$9.8 \pm 0.14$ <sup>cd</sup>	$10.0 \pm 0.12$ <sup>c</sup>	$12.7 \pm 0.46^{\circ}$
Control	$3.6 \pm 0.15$ °	$3.5 \pm 0.24$ <sup>d</sup>	$3.8 \pm 0.13$ <sup>c</sup>	$4.4 \pm 0.08^e$	$3.8 \pm 0.22$ <sup>d</sup>		$2.9 \pm 0.26$ <sup>bc</sup>	$3.1 \pm 0.23$ <sup>c</sup>	$3.2 \pm 0.13^e$	$2.4 \pm 0.43^{\circ}$	$2.4 \pm 0.46^e$
<b>LSD</b>	0.41	0.71	1.11	1.23	1.24		0.56	1.21	0.90	1.91	1.69
P- value	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001		0.005	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001

**Table 4.19: Mean population of** *Pseudomonas fluorescens* **in rhizosphere soil at different days after treatment application**

Means followed by the same letter within a column are not significantly different according to Fisher's LSD test at P≤ 0.05

SN1 –Season one, SN 2-Season two DAT- Days after treatment application; CFU- Colony forming unit

#### **CHAPTER FIVE: DISCUSSION**

#### **5.1 Discussion**

*Pseudomonas fluorescens* strains were more abundant in the French bean rhizosphere around the plant roots. Thirty four *Pseudomonas* spp. were isolated and twelve were identified to be *P. fluorescens* strains through morphological and biochemical tests. The isolates were rod shaped, round, yellowish and produced yellow-green pigments when observed under ultraviolet light at 365 nm. Kim (2002) reported that *P. fluorescens* are the most predominant genera isolated from French beans rhizosphere. Nehra and Saharan (2011) reported that *P. fluorescens* are in high densities in areas around the roots compared to bulk soils Todar (2004) and Sivasankari and Anandharaj (2013) reported that *P. fluorescens* produces a soluble and greenish fluorescent pigment under UV light at 365 nm. Biochemical tests i.e., hydrogen cyanide production, starch hydrolysis, catalase test and siderophores production further confirmed the isolates to be *P. fluorescens* as reported by Reddy *et al*.(2007); Prasanna-kumar *et al.* (2009) and Nathan *et al*. (2011).

*Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp*. phaseoli* was isolated on potato dextrose agar. Three isolates were confirmed to be *F. oxysporum*. f. sp. *phaseoli* and showed luxuriant, scanty aerial mycelial growth and formed pink colour on the reverse side on the PDA medium. The isolates had profuse sporulation and formed macro- and micro conidia. The results were in line with Li *et al*. (2000) who reported that *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *phaseoli* form macro-conidia and micro-conidia.

Results of bioassays in dual culture demonstrated that *P. fluorescens* isolates inhibited *F. oxysporum* growth on King's B medium. The inhibition zone suggested the production of metabolites secreted by the bacteria. The isolates varied in the production of antibiotics, siderophores, volatile and nonvolatile compounds and this correlated to their ability to inhibit *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *phaseoli in vitro*. *Pseudomonas fluorescens* Pf1 and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* Pf2 recorded the highest percentage inhibition in management of the *Fusarium* spp. through antibiosis. These results are in agreement with Deshwal *et al*. (2013) who reported that *P. fluorescens* produce antibiotics and antimicrobial metabolites that inhibit mycelial growth of *F. oxysporum* under laboratory conditions*.*

The *P. fluorescens* strains tested also demonstrated the ability to produce volatile and non-volatile compounds. These compounds showed to have antifungal activity which acted against *F. oxysporum* under laboratory conditions. The proximity of the pathogen to the antagonist may have also contributed to the effectiveness of the isolates. Kumar *et al*. (2002) and Karkachi *et al*. (2010) in their study indicated that *Pseudomonas fluorescens* produce metabolites that inhibited growth of *Fusarium oxysporum.* [Audrain](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0944501316300489#bib0020) *et al.* [\(2015\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0944501316300489#bib0020) reported that *[P.](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/biochemistry-genetics-and-molecular-biology/soil-microflora) fluorescens* produce volatile compounds that exhibit antimicrobial and nematicidal activity *in vitro*. *Pseudomonas fluorescens* UM270 was reported to produce volatile organic compounds that showed antifungal and plant growth promoting activity [\(Hernández-León](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0944501316300489#bib0130) *et al.,* 2015). Athukorala *et al*. (2010) also reported that *Pseudomonas* species produce volatile metabolites that inhibit growth of fungal pathogens. Other studies have illustrated that *Pseudomonas* species including *P. fluorescens* and *P. auerofaciens* produce non-volatile metabolites including pyrrolnitrin, phenazines and antibiotics (Athukorala *et al*., 2010; Ramarathnam *et al*., 2011). Akila *et al.* (2011) reported that *P. fluorescens* strains Pf1 and TRC 54 produced non-volatile metabolites that reduced the mycelia growth of *F. oxysporum*.

*Pseudomonas fluorescens* isolates produced siderophores which sequestered iron limiting its availability to *F. oxysporum* f. sp *phaseoli*. This inhibited germination and growth of the fungal pathogen. *Pseudomonas* strain Pf1 and Pf2 recorded the highest inhibition against *F. oxysporum* in King's B medium compared to medium amended with iron chloride. Increased siderophores under limiting iron concentration suggested they have a role in inhibiting growth of *F. oxysporum*. Siderophores proved to be one of the major strategies the bacterium utilizes to suppress fungal pathogen (Bhatia and Singh, 2021). Neem extract inhibited growth of *F. oxysporum* through the food poison technique and the level of inhibition differed with the concentration of antifungal compounds. It has been reported that neem extracts reduced the mycelial growth of *F. oxysporum*, *Alternaria solani*, *Rhizoctonia solani* and *Sclerotinia Sclerotium in vitro* (Hassanien *et al*., 2010). Obongoya (2010) also reported that neem extract controlled *F. oxysporum* f. sp*. phaseoli* of common beans *in vivo*.

Reduced disease incidence and severity was recorded in *Pseudomonas fluorescens* Pf1 and Pf2 isolates under greenhouse condition. This was attributed to application of *P. fluorescens* isolates before inoculation of the fungus thus allowing establishment of *Pseudomonas* in the rhizosphere. The bacterium therefore faced less competition with other soil micro-organisms since sterilized soil was used as compared to the field experiment. In addition, inoculation of *F. oxysporum* at two- leaf stage of French beans gave time for *Pseudomonas* isolates to colonize the root rhizosphere therefore reduced the infection sites for the test pathogen. Other studies showed that *P. fluorescens* BE8 controlled *[Fusarium oxysporum](https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/biochemistry-genetics-and-molecular-biology/fusarium-oxysporum)* on cucumber *Cucumis sativus* under greenhouse condition by colonizing the root zone of the plant [\(Szentes](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0944501316300489#bib0270) *et al*., [2013\)](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0944501316300489#bib0270).

Poor vegetative growth of French bean was observed under greenhouse as compared to field trial. This may have been attributed to high inoculum load of the *F. oxysporum* in the pot compared to the naturally infested field. This led to high disease incidence and severity and this translating to poor growth. During the second season high temperatures ( $28\pm2$ °C) were recorded which may have favoured root infection by *F. oxysporum* (Mui-Yun, 2003). The optimum temperature for *F. oxysporum* root infection has been reported to be 30℃ under greenhouse condition. This favoured growth of *F. oxysporum* f. sp *phaseoli* thus led to poor growth of both roots and shoots and the total yield of French beans. In addition, French beans performed well under field conditions compared to greenhouse condition because the beans do well in open field conditions. Hanaa *et al.* (2011) reported that high *F. oxysporum* inoculum load and high temperatures led to poor growth of tomato *Solanum lycopersicum* grown under greenhouse condition.

Quantification of *P. fluorescens* indicated that high population densities were found in French beans rhizosphere under field condition as compared to greenhouse experiment. *Pseudomonas fluorescens* is soilborne therefore thrive well under natural habitat compared to pot experiment. This may have favoured the survival and multiplication rates leading to the high numbers of the population dynamics recorded in the field trials compared to greenhouse experiment. During the seasons, high temperatures in the greenhouse were (28±2℃) which might have affected the survival and reproduction of the antagonist. A decrease in the CFU counts at 60 days after inoculation may be linked to change in the root morphology and decrease in the number of fine roots of French beans. Chowdhury *et al*. (2013) reported that a higher population of *Pseudomonas* RU47 was recorded in lettuce (*Lactuca sativa*) rhizosphere initially inoculated at field scale compared to greenhouse experiment.

Results obtained from the field showed that *P. fluorescens* Pf1 and Pf2 reduced the number of infected plants and disease severity of Fusarium wilt on French beans in the field. Reduction of the disease may have been as a result of the antimicrobial compounds produced by *P. fluorescens* that inhibited the growth of the phytopathogens in the soil. According to the results, management of the disease by *P. fluorescens* isolates may have also been attributed to production of siderophores which competed for the available iron in the soil thus limited growth and establishment of the pathogen (Sivasakathi *et al*., 2014). Koche *et al*. (2013) reported that *P. fluorescens* reduce severity of many diseases through production of secondary metabolites. Similarly, [Hol](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4358370/#B48)  *et al*. [\(2013\)](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4358370/#B48) investigated that *P. fluorescens* strains maintain soil health and protect crops from soil borne pathogens. Report by Gopalakrishnan *et al*. (2011) showed the potential use of *P. fluorescens* against Fusarium wilts. Production of siderophores and secondary metabolites *P. fluorescens* of strains were effective in managing *R. solani* and *F. oxysporum* (O'Sullivan *et al* 1992; Nagarajkumar *et al*., 2004).

Plants treated with neem alone recorded significantly higher mean disease severity, shorter shoot and root length. This translated to lower yields both in greenhouse and field conditions. This may have been attributed to the lower efficacy of the extract which may have been caused due to method of extraction, application methods and rates. The results from this study are not in line with Nahak and Sahu (2015) who reported that neem extract reduced the disease severity and promoted growth of tomatoes under greenhouse condition. However, plants treated with *P. fluorescens* performed better and controlled the disease. High efficacy of the isolates may have been attributed to the ability of *P. fluorescens* to produce diverse metabolites that inhibited growth of *F. oxysporum* [\(Hernández-León](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0944501316300489#bib0130) *et al.,* 2015).

Combination of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* – Pf1 +neem recorded lower disease incidence and severity as compared to application of neem alone. This showed a synergistic relationship between the bacterium and the neem extract thus a better integrated method for wilt control on French beans. Naseby *et al*. (2001) indicated that combined application of *P. fluorescens* and neemzol reduced Fusarium wilt disease in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*). Application of *P. fluorescens* isolates and neem extract showed increased plant growth and total yield of French beans. The bacterium has been reported to regulate carbon cycles thus enhance nutrient uptake including nitrogen and phosphorous which promoted growth of French beans (Adesemoye and Egamberdieve, 2013; Sivasakthi *et al*., 2014).

Increase in population of the bacterium in the soil has also been reported to increase availability of iron and lower soil pH thus promote nutrient uptake leading to high yields. Ahmed *et al*. (2008) and Sindhu *et al*. (2009) reported that *P. fluorescens* promotes growth through production of phytohormones. Singh *et al*. (2011) and Das *et al*. (2014) indicated an increase in growth of lentils (*Lens culinaris*) and mungbeans (*Vigna radiate*) through application of *P. fluorescens*. Similarly, Koley and Pal (2011) reported that use of *Azotobacter* and *P. fluorescens* under field condition increased plant growth and yields of sword lily (*Gladiolus oppositiflorus*) through early colonization of rhizosphere. Increase in plant height therefore may have been attributed to availability of soluble phosphorous to the plants (Nihorimbere *et al*., 2011). These results were confirmed by Afza *et al*. (2010) who found out that *Pseudomonas* spp. increased plant growth of faba beans (*Vicia faba*) through production of antimicrobial substances. In addition, *P. fluorescens* produce phytohormones e.g. cytokinins and gibberellins which increase the absorptive surface for uptake of water and nutrients by the plants (Nihorimbere *et al*., 2011). *Pseudomonas fluorescens* Pf1 and Pf2 had the longest shoot and root length. Increase in the length may have been enhanced by production of secondary metabolites involved in root development (Chadha *et al*., 2014). Gibberellin was reported to cause an increase in the root surface area and number of root tips in plots treated with *P. fluorescens* isolates (Bhattacharyya and Jha, 2012).

French beans treated with *P. fluorescens* isolates had a higher dry weight of shoots and roots compared to control. Accumulation of carbohydrates in French bean tissues was as a result of nutrient uptake from the soil which may have resulted to the increase in dry weight of beans in the plots treated with *P. fluorescens* isolates. The results are in line with those of Son *et al*. (2006) who reported that *Pseudomonas* increased the biomass of nodes in soya bean (*Glycine max*). *Pseudomonas* solubilize phosphorous by producing organic acids which decrease the environmental acidity thus enhance release of insoluble phosphorous from the soil in form of organic phosphoric acid, thus enhance nutrients uptake (Chung *et al*., 2005; Gulati *et al*., 2010).

Increase in total weight of marketable pods was recorded in plants treated with *P. fluorescens* strain Pf1 and *P. fluorescens* Pf2 and combination of *P. fluorescens* strain Pf1 and neem. Production of siderophores inhibited *F. oxysporum* thus promoted growth of French beans. Siderophores are reported to produce Pseudobacin which induce systemic resistance by strengthening cell wall in the infection site thus reduce number of infected plants and indirectly promoted growth and total yield of beans. The bacteria compete and utilize the available nutrients by suppressing the fungal pathogen. *Pseudomonas fluorescens* may have also enhanced release of nutrients e.g. phosphorous which helped in pod development thus increase in the total yields. This confirmed the results of the previous work by El-Mohamedy *et al.*  (2015) who reported that *Pseudomonas fluorescens* improves plant growth and yield of French beans. In addition, Asha *et al*. (2011) reported the bacterium causes increase in plant growth and yield through enhanced uptake of nutrients from the rhizosphere. Saharan *et al*. (2010) indicated that application of *Pseudomonas* R81 increased the yield of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*) by 46% through uptake of nutrients and phosphorous from the soil rhizosphere.

#### **CHAPTER SIX: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMEDATIONS**

#### **6.1 Conclusion**

Laboratory studies showed that local environment habour *P. fluorescens* that are effective against *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *phaseoli*. These *P. fluorescens* isolates were found effective in reducing *in vitro* the mycelial growth of *F. oxysporum* f. sp *phaseoli* the causal agent of Fusarium wilt disease of French beans.

Leaf extracts of *Azadirachta indica* inhibited the growth of *Fusarium oxysporum in vitro* with the higher concentration being the most effective.

*Pseudomonas fluorescens*- Pf1 and Pf2 were the most promising isolates in managing the test pathogen. The isolates produced siderophores, antibiotics and antimicrobial compounds that reduced mycelium growth of the test pathogen.

Application of *P. fluorescens* and neem extract reduced the disease development and improved growth of French beans in the greenhouse and field condition*.* The results showed *P. fluorescens* to be a potential biocontrol agent in management of fungal diseases. *Pseudomonas* - Pf1 and Pf2 reduced the disease and performed better than Bio cure- B (positive check) and much better than application of neem alone. This translated to increased growth and high quality yields with greater volumes of marketable pods. Increase in the volume of marketable pods will increase the income from sale of the produce thus improve the livelihood of farmers and widen the employment opportunities in the sector.

#### **6.2 Recommendation**

- i. *Pseudomonas fluorescens* Pf1 and Pf2 to be formulated and commercialized for use by farmers in management of Fusarium wilt disease and promoting plant growth.
- ii. Neem extract to be formulated for use in management of *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *phaseoli*.
- iii. *Pseudomonas fluorescens* produce antimicrobial compounds that inhibit growth of fungal pathogen thus further research can be done on the exact compounds produced.
- iv. Further research on the antifungal compounds produced by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* to characterise and determine their specificity and activity against Fusarium and other soil borne pathogens.
- v. Further research to investigate the persistence of *P. fluorescens* in the soil and understand success of the bacterium in different ecological zones.
### **REFERENCES**

- Aboellil, A.H. (2007). Trilogy, a product of neem (*Azadirachta indica*) induces resistance in cucumber against *Podostera xanthii*. Research Journal of Microbiology, 2: 402-414.
- Adesemoye, A.O. and Egamberdieva, D. (2013). Beneficial effects of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria on improved crop production: Prospects for developing economies, Bacteria in Agrobiology: Crop Productivity Edition, 45-63.
- Afzal, A., Bano, A. and Fatima, M. (2010). Higher soybean yield by inoculation with N-fixing and P-solubilizing bacteria. Agronomy for Sustainable Development, 30 (2): 487-495.
- Agaras, B.C., Scandiani, M., Luque, A., Fernández, L., Farina, F., Carmona, M. and Valverde, C. (2015). Quantification of the potential biocontrol and direct plant growth promotion abilities based on multiple biological traits distinguish different groups of *Pseudomonas* spp. isolates. Biological Control, 90: 173-186.
- Ahmed, F., Ahmad, I. and Khan, M.S. (2008). Screening of free-living rhizospheric bacteria for their multiple plant growth promoting activities. Microbiology Reserve, 163: 17-81.
- Ahmed, M. (2011). Management of Fusarium wilt of tomato by soil amendment with *Trichoderma koningii* and a white sterile fungus. Indian Journal Reserve, 5: 35-38.
- Akila, R., Rajendran, L., Harish, S., Saveetha, K., Raguchander, T. and Samiyappan, R. (2011). Combined application of botanical formulations and biocontrol agents for the management of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *cubense* (*Foc*) causing *Fusarium* wilt in Banana. Biological Control, 57: 175–183.
- Akrami, M. and Yousefi, Z. (2015). Biological control of Fusarium wilt of tomato *(Solanum lycopersicum)* by *Trichoderma* spp. as antagonist fungi, Biological Forum- 7(1): 887-892.
- Alemu, F. and Alemu T. (2015). *Pseudomonas fluorescens* isolates used as a plant growth promoter of faba bean (*Vicia faba*) *in vitro* as well as *in vivo* study in Ethiopia American Journal of Life Sciences, *3*(2), 100- 108.
- Al-Hazmi, R.M. (2013). Effect of neem (*Azadirachta indica*) leaves and seed extract on the growth of six of the plant disease. Plant Pathology, 5(1): 23-25.
- Ali, A., Rizvi, P.Q. and Khan, F.R. (2010). Bio-efficacy of some plant leaf extracts against mustard aphid, (*Lipaphis erysimi* kalt). on Indian mustard, *Brassica juncea.* Journal of Plant Protection Research, 50: 130–132.
- Aliero, B.L. (2003). Larvaecidal effects of aqueous extracts of *Azadirachta indica* (neem) on the larvae of anopheles mosquito. African Journal of Biotechnology, 2: 325–327.
- Aloo, B.N., Mbega, E.R. and Makumba, B.A. (2020). Rhizobacteria-based technology for sustainable cropping of potato (*Solanum tuberosum*  L.). Potato Research, 63(2): 157-177.
- Amin, M., Fitsum, S., Selvaraj, T. and Mulugeta, N. (2014). Field management of Anthracnose (*Colletotrichum lindemuthianum*) in common bean through fungicides and bioagents. Advances in Crop Science and Technology, 2: 12.
- Anitha, A. and Rabeeth, S. (2009). Control of Fusarium wilt of tomato by bioformulation of *Streptomyces griseus* in greenhouse condition. African Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences, 1: 9-14.
- Asha, B., Chandra, N., Udaya, S., Srinivas, C. and Niranjana, S. (2011). Biological control of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp*. lycopersici* causing wilt of tomato by *Pseudomonas fluorescens.* International Journal of Microbiology Research, 3: 79-84.
- Athukorala, S.N.P., Rashid, Y.K. and De Kievit, T. (2010). The role of volatile and non-volatile antibiotics produced by *Pseudomonas chlororaphis* strain PA23 in its root colonization and control of *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum*. Biocontrol Science Technology, 20 (8): 875– 890.
- Audrain, B., Farag, M.A., Ryu, C.M. and Ghigo, J.M. (2015). Role of bacterial volatile compounds in bacterial biology FEMS. Microbial Review, 39: 222-233.
- Bahraminejad, S., Asenntoefer, R.E., Riley, I.T. and Schultz, C.J. (2008). Analysis of the antimicrobial activity of flavonoids and saponins isolates from shoot oats. Pytopathology, 156: 1-7.
- Bailly A. and Weisskopf, L. (2012). The modulating effect of bacterial volatiles on plant growth: Current knowledge and future challenges. Plant Signal Behaviour, 7, 79–85.
- Bakker, P.M., Mercado- Blano, I. and Van Der Loon, L.C. (2002). Production of salicylic acid and Pseudonine and suppression of disease by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* WCS374. Bulletin, 25(10): 265-268.
- Bao, J.R., Fravel, D.R., O'Neill, N.R., Lazarovits, G. and Berkum, P.V. (2002). Genetic analysis of pathogenic and pathogenic *Fusarium oxysporum* from tomato plants. Canadian Journal of Botany, 80: 271- 279.
- Beebe, S., RaoIdulupati, Mukankusi, C. and Buruchara, R. (2012). Improving resource use efficiency and reducing risk of common bean production in Africa, Latin America and Caribbean Ciat.
- Belete, T., Bastas, K.K., Francesconi, S. and Balestra, G.M. (2021). Biological effectiveness of *Bacillus subtilis* on common bean bacterial blight. Journal of Plant Pathology,103(1): 249-258.
- Bellucci, E., Bitocchi, E., Rau, D., Rodriguez, M., Biagetti, E., Giardini, A., Attene, G., Nanni, L. and Papa, R. (2014). Genomics of origin, domestication and evolution of *Phaseolus vulgaris* L*.* Genomics of Plant Genetic Resources, 483-507.
- Beneduzi, A., Ambrosini, A. and Passaglia, L.M. (2012). Plant growthpromoting rhizobacteria (PGPR): their potential as antagonists and biocontrol agents. Genetics and Molecular Biology, 35: 1044-1051.
- Beneduzi, A., Ambrosini, A. and Passaglia, M.P. (2013). Plant growthpromoting rhizobacteria (PGPR): Their potential as antagonists and biocontrol agents. Genetics and Molecular Biology, 35: 1044-1051.
- Bhatia, S. and Singh, S. (2021). Inhibition of Siderophores in blocking fungal infection in fungal siderophores. Microbiology and Biotechnology, 28: 13-31.
- Bhattacharyya, P.N. and Jha, D.K. (2012). Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR): Emergence in agriculture. World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology, 28: 1327-1350.
- Bholay, A.D., Jadhav, P.U., Borkhataria, B.V. and Dhalkari, M.V. (2012). *Pseudomonas fluorescens* plant growth promoting rhizobacteria and their siderophorogenesis. Journal Pharmaceutical Biological Sciences, 3: 27-32
- Bogumił, A., Paszt, L.S., Lisek, A., Trzciński, P. and Harbuzov, A. (2013). Identification of new *Trichoderma* strains with antagonistic activity against *Botrytis cinerea*. Polish Society for Horticultural Science, 25 (2): 123-132.
- Bonane, C.S. (2019). Influence of Soil Moisture Levels on Yield and Seed Quality Parameters of Biofortified Common Bean Varieties (Doctoral dissertation, University of Nairobi).
- Bonanomi, G., Antignani, V., Pane, C. and Scala, F. (2007). Suppression of soil borne fungal diseases with organic amendments. Journal of Plant Pathology, 89: 311-324.
- Brandt, M.E. and Park, B.J. (2013). Think fungus-prevention and control of fungal infections. Emerging Infectious Diseases, 19, 1688–1689.
- Cammell, M. E., Way, M. J. and Heathcote, G. D. (1978). Distribution of eggs of the black bean aphid, *Aphis fabae* Scop on the spindle bush, *Euonymus europaeus* L., with reference to forecasting infestations of the aphid on field beans. Plant Pathology, 27(2): 68-76. cgiar.org/wpcontent/uploads/2012/12.
- Chadha, N., Mishra, M., Prasad, R. and Varma, A. (2014) Root endophytic fungi. Research update. Journal of Biology and Life Science USA, 5: 135-158.
- Chen, Y., Yang, W., Chao, Y., Wang, S., Tang, Y. T. and Qiu, R.L. (2017). Metal-tolerant *Enterobacter* spp. strain EG16 enhanced phytoremediation using *Hibiscus cannabinus* via siderophore-mediated plant growth promotion under metal contamination. Plant and Soil, 413(1-2): 203-216.
- Chin-A-Woeng, T.F., Bloemberg, G.V. and Lugtenberg, B.J. (2003) Phenazines and their role in biocontrol by *Pseudomonas* bacteria. New Phytology, 157: 503-523.
- Choi, Y.W., Hyde, K.D. and Ho, W.H (1999a). Single spore isolation of fungi Fungal Diversity, 3**:** 29-38.
- Chowdhury, S.P., Dietel, K., Randler, M., Schmid, M., Junge, H. and Borriss, R. (2013). Effects of *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* FZB42 on lettuce growth and health under pathogen pressure and its impact on the rhizosphere bacterial community. Plos One Journal, 8: 1371.
- Chung, H., Park, M., Madhaiyan, M., Seshadri, S., Song, J., Cho, H. and Sa, T. (2005). Isolation and characterization of phosphate solubilising bacteria from the rhizosphere of crop plants of Korea. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 37: 1970-1974.
- Das, I., Pradhan, A.K.. and Singh, A.P. (2014). Yield and yield attributing parameters of organically cultivated mungbeans as influenced by PGPR and organic manure. Journal of Crop Weed, 10: 172-74.
- De Freitas, J.R. and Germida, J.J. (1991). *Pseudomonas cepacia* and *Pseudomonas putida* as winter wheat inoculants for biocontrol of *Rhizoctonia solani*. Canadian Journal of Microbiology, 37: 780–784.
- De Souza, J.T., Arnould, C., Deulvot, C., Lemanceau, P., Gianinazzi- Pearson, V. and Raaijmakers, J.M. (2003). Effect of 2, 4- diacetylphloroglucinol on *Pythium*: Cellular responses and variation in sensitivity among propagules and species. Phytopathology, 93: 966-975.
- Deshwal, V., Singh, K., Chubey, S.B. and Kumar, P. (2013). Isolation and characterization of *Pseudomonas* strains from potatoes rhizosphere at Dehradun Valley, India. International Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences, 2(2): 53-55
- Di, X., Takken, F.L.W. and Tintor, N. (2016). How phytohormones shape interactions between plants and the soil-borne fungus *Fusarium oxysporum*. Frontier Plant Sciences, 7: 170.
- Dimkpa, C. (2016). Microbial siderophores, production, detection and application in agriculture and environment. Endocytobiosis and Cell Research, 27(2):34-43.
- Dita, M., Barquero, M., Heck, D., Mizubuti, E.S. and Staver, C.P. (2018). Fusarium wilt of banana: current knowledge on epidemiology and research needs toward sustainable disease management. Frontiers in Plant Science, 9, 1468.
- Dubey, H.C. (2014). Modern Plant Pathology 2nd edition. Saraswati Purohit for Student Edition, Jodhpur, 385-387.
- Dubey, N.K., Shukla, R.A., Kumar, A.S., Singh, P. and Prakash, B. (2011). Global scenario on the application of natural products in integrated pest management programmes. Natural Products in Plant Pest Management, 1: 1-20.
- El-Ghany, A., Roushdy, M.M. and Mohamed, A.A. (2015). Efficacy of certain plant extracts as safe fungicides against phytopathogenic and mycotoxigenic fungi. Journal of Agriculture Biological Sciences, 1(3): 71-75.
- El-Mohamedy, R.S., Shafeek, M.R. and Fatma, A. (2015). Management of root rot diseases and improvement growth and yield of green bean plants using plant resistance inducers and biological seed treatments. Journal of Agricultural Technology, 11 (5): 1219-1234.
- El-Mohamedy, R.S.R. and AbdAlla, M.A. (2013). Bio-priming seed treatment for biological control of soil borne fungi causing root rot of green bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). Journal of Agricultural Technology, 9(3): 589-599.
- El-Mohamedy, R.S.R., Jabnoun-Khiareddine, H. and Daami-Remadi, M. (2014). Control of root rot diseases of tomato plants caused by *Fusarium solani*, *Rhizoctonia solani* and *Sclerotium rolfsii* using different chemical plant resistance inducers. Journal of Plant Protection, 9: 45-55.
- Engindeniz, S. and Ozturk, G.C. (2013). An economic comparison of pesticide application for processing and table tomatoes, A case study for Turkey. Journal of Plant Protection Research, 53(3): 230-237.
- Erdogan, O. and Benlioglu, K. (2010). Biological control of Verticillium wilts on cotton by the use of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* spp under field conditions. Biological Control, 53:39-45.
- Faheem, M., Raza, W., Jun, Z., Shabbir, S. and Sultana, N. (2015). Characterization of the newly isolated antimicrobial strain *Streptomyces goshikiensis* YCXU. Science Letters, 3: 94-97.
- Fall, A., Byrne, P.F., Jung, G., Coyne, D.P., Brick, M.A. and Schwartz, H.F. (2001). Detection and mapping of a major locus for Fusarium wilt resistance in common bean. Crop Science, 41: 1494-1498.
- FAO (2015b). FAO and partners call for a global response to deadly banana disease.Availableat:http://www.fao.org/news/story/en/item/271647/ico de/ [Accessed February 13, 2019].
- Fravel, D., Olivain, C. and Alabouvette, C. (2003). *Fusarium oxysporum* and its biocontrol. New phytologist, 157(3): 493-502.
- Fuchs, J.G., Moënne-Loccoz, Y. and Défago, G. (1997). Nonpathogenic *Fusarium oxysporum* strain Fo47 induces resistance to Fusarium wilt in tomato. Plant Diseases. 81, 492–496.
- Fulano, A.M. (2016). Management of pests and diseases in snap beans by use of microbial antagonists and plant extracts (Doctoral dissertation, University of Nairobi).
- Fulano, A.M., Lengai, G.M. and Muthomi, J.W. (2021). Phytosanitary and Technical Quality Challenges in Export Fresh Vegetables and Strategies to Compliance with Market Requirements: Case of Smallholder Snap Beans in Kenya. Sustainability, 13(3): 1546.
- Ghirardi, S., Dessaint, F., Mazurier, S., Corberand, T., Raaijmakers, J. M., Meyer, J. M. and Lemanceau, P. (2012). Identification of traits shared by rhizosphere-competent strains of *Pseudomonas fluorescens*. Microbial Ecology, 64(3): 725-737.
- Girard, L., Höfte, M. and Mot, R.D. (2020). Lipopeptide families at the interface between pathogenic and beneficial *Pseudomonas*- plant interactions. Critical Reviews in Microbiology, 46(4): 397-419.
- Glick, B.R. (2014). Bacteria with ACC deaminase can promote plant growth and help to feed the world. Microbiological research, 169: 30-39.
- Gopalakrishnan, S., Humayun, P., Kiran, B.K., Kannan, G.K., Deepthi, K. and Rupela, O. (2011). Evaluation of bacteria isolated from rice rhizosphere for biological control of charcoal rot sorghum caused by *Macrophomia phaseolina.* World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology, 72: 1313–1321.
- Goro, H.N. (2013). Assessment of insect integrated pest management options on reduction of pesticides residues and production cost of snap beans (*Phaseoli vulgaris* L.) in Tharaka Nithi and Machakos counties of Kenya. MSc. Thesis, University of Nairobi, Kenya. 120.
- Gulati, A., Sharma, N., Vyas, P., Sood, S., Rahi, P., Pathania, V. and Prasad, R. (2010). Organic acid production and plant growth promotion as a function of phosphate solubilisation by *Acinetobacter rhizosphaerae* strain BIHB 723 isolated from the cold deserts of the Trans-Himalayas. Archive Development Microbiology, 192: 975-983.
- Haas, D. and Dèfago, G. (2005). Biological control of soil borne pathogens by *Pseudomonas fluorescens*. Nature Reviews Microbiology, 3: 307–319.
- Hanaa, F., Zeinab, A., Dawlat, A., Mervat, A. and Sror, H. (2011). Effect of neem and willow aqueous extracts on *Fusarium* wilt disease in tomato seedlings: Induction of antioxidant defensive enzymes. Annals of Agricultural Sciences, 56(1), 1-7.
- Hassanien, N.M., Zeid, M.A., Youssef, K.A. and Mahmoud, D.A. (2010). Control of tomato early blight and wilt using aqueous extract of neem leaves. Pytopathology Mediterranean, 49: 143-151.
- Hernández-León, R., Rojas-Solís, D., Contreras-Pérez, M., Orozco-Mosqueda, M.C., Macías-Rodríguez, L.I., Reyes-de la Cruz, H., Valencia-Cantero, E. and Santoyo, G. (2015) Characterization of the antifungal and plant growth-promoting effects of diffusible and volatile organic compounds produced by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* strains. Biological Control, 81: 83-92.
- Hesse, C., Schulz, F., Bull, C.T., Shaffer, B.T., Yan, Q. and Shapiro, N. (2018). Genome-based evolutionary history of *Pseudomonas* spp. Environmental Microbiology*,* 20: 2142–2159.
- Heydari, A. and Pessarakli, M. (2010). A review on biological control of fungal pathogens using microbial antagonists. Journal of Biological Sciences, 10: 273-290.
- Hider, R.C. and Kong, X. (2010). Chemistry and biology of siderophores. Natural Product Reports, 27: 637-657.
- Hol, W.H. and Martijin, T. (2013). Getting the ecology and interactions between plants and the plant growth- promoting bacterium *Pseudomonas fluorescens*. Frontiers Plant Science, 67: 71-81.
- Holt, J.G., Kreig, N.R., Sneath, P.A., Staley, J.T. and Williams, S.T. (1994). Bergey's Manual of Determinative Bacteriology  $9<sup>th</sup>$  Edition. Lippincott Williams and Wilkins, Baltimore, USA, ISBN-13: 787.
- Horticultural Crop Development Authority, (2014). HCDA- Vegetables-French beans. http://www.hcda.or.ke/?page\_id=1054. Accessed on 20th Dec, 2018.
- Horticultural Crops Development Authority (HCDA), (2010).Horticultural Crops Development Authority, Ministry of Agriculture, Kenya.
- Horticultural Crops Development Authority (HCDA), (2016). French beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris*) production. Journal of Advances in Microbiology, 2(5): 10-29.
- Horticultural Crops Development Authority, (2013). French beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris*) production. Journal of Advances in Microbiology, 2(4): 1-9.
- Hossain, M.H. and Hossain, I. (2013). Screening of different plant extracts against leaf spot (*Cercospora arachidicola* and *Cercosporidium personatum*) of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). Bangladesh Journal of Agricultural Research, 38 (3): 491-503.
- InfonetBiovision,(2015).Infonetbiovision.http://www.infonetbiovision.org/def ault/ct/118/crops Accessed on 27/ 01/ 2015.
- ITIS (2014), "ITIS standard report page: *Phaseolus vulgaris*, Taxonomic Serial No. 26857", Integrated Taxonomic Information System, [www.itis.gov.](http://www.itis.gov/)
- Kaburu, D.M., Kamau, J.K., Nderitu, J.H. and Chemining'wa, G. (2012) Integrated Management and Utilization of French beans in East Africa. Journal of plant protection 8: 23-33.
- Kagale, S., Marimuthu, T., Thayumanavan, B., Nanda-kumar, R. and Samiyappan, R. (2004). Antimicrobial activity and induction of systemic resistance in rice by leaf extract of *Datura metel* against *Rhizoctonia solani* and *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. *oryzae.* Physiological and Molecular Plant Pathology, 65: 91–100.
- Kahuthia-Gathu, R. (2000). Evaluation of neem formulations for the control of selected pests of French beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris*. L.). (Masters Thesis, Kenyatta University).
- Kamanu, J.K., Chemining'wa, G.N., Nderitu, J.H. and Ambuko, J. (2012). Growth, yield and quality response of snap bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*  L.) plants to different inorganic fertilizers applications in Central Kenya. Journal of Applied Biosciences, 55: 3944–3952.
- Kannan, C. (2019). Biological Management of Plant diseases.Current Research and Innovations in Plant Pathology, 167.
- Karimi, K., Amini, J., Harighi, B. and Bahramnejad, B. (2012). Evaluation of biocontrol potential of *Pseudomonas* spp. against Fusarium wilts of chickpea. Australian Journal of Crop Science, 6: 695-703.
- Karkachi, N.E., Gharbi, S., Kihal, M. and Henni, J.E. (2010). Biological control of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp*. lycopersici* isolated from Algerian tomato by *Pseudomonas fluorescens*, *Bacillus cereus*, *Serratia marcescens* and *Trichoderma harzianum*. Research Journal of Agronomy, 4(2): 31–34.
- Kaur, R., Kaur, J. and Singh, R.S. (2010). Non-pathogenic *Fusarium* as a biological control agent. Plant Pathology, 9: 79–91.
- Kaur, R., Kaur, J., and Singh, R. S. (2011). Nonpathogenic Fusarium as a biological control agent. Journal of Plant Pathology, 9(3): 79-91.
- Kaur, R., Singh, R.S. and Alabouvette, C. (2007). Antagonistic activity of selected isolates of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* against *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *ciceri*. Asian Journal of Plant Sciences, 6(3): 446-454.
- Keikotlhaile, B.M. and Spanoghe, P. (2011). Pesticide residues in fruits and vegetables, pesticides formulations effects. Fate, 243-252.
- Kendrick, J.B. and Snyder, W.C. (1942). *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *phaseoli.*  Phytopathology, 32: 1013.
- Killani, A.S., Abaidoo, R.S. and Akintokun, A.K. (2011). Rice husk extract is potentially effective as a phytopesticide against root and soil-borne fungal pathogens of cowpea. Nature and Science, 9(3): 48.
- Kim, H.S., Hwang, I.G., Chung, N. and Bang, W.G. (2002). Production of Dlactic acid from DL-lactonitrile by *Pseudomonas* sp. *.*Korean Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology, 30(4): 373-379.
- Kimani, V. (2014). Biopesticides development, use and regulation in Kenya. Regional experts' workshop on development, regulation and use of biopesticide in East Africa. Nairobi, Kenya, (pp. 22-23).
- King, E.O., Ward, M.K. and Raney, D.E. (1954). Two simple media for the demonstration of pyocyanine and fluorescens. Journal of Laboratory and Clinical Medicine, 44: 301-307.
- Koche, D., Gade, R.M. and Deshmukh, A.G. (2013). Antifungal activity of secondary metabolites produced by *Pseudomonas fluorescens*. The Bioscan, 8(2): 723-726.
- Koley, S. and Pal, A.K. (2011). Response of inorganic fertilizer and bio fertilizer on growth and flower yield of tuberose (*Polianthes tuberosa*  l.) cv. *prajwal* in the plains of west Bengal. Journal of Crop Weed, 7: 1-4.
- Kondo, D.N. (2019). Nutrient management options for improving growth, yield and quality of snap bean (*Phaseolus Vulgaris* L.) in Embu County (Doctoral dissertation, University of Nairobi).
- Kouki, S. and Saidi, N. (2012). Control of Fusarium wilt of tomato caused by *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *radicis-lycopersici* using mixture of vegetable and *Posidonia oceanica* compost. Applied Environmental Soil Science, 12: 1–6.
- Kraus, J. and Loper, J.E. (1990). Biocontrol of *Phythium* damping off of cucumber by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* Pf-5 mechanistic studies. Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria. The  $2<sup>nd</sup>$  International workshop on Plant growth –Promoting Rhizobacteria, Interlaken, 172-175.
- Kumar, N., Thirumalai, V. and Gunasekaran, P. (2002). Genotype of antifungal compounds producing plant growth promoting rhizobacteria *Pseudomonas fluorescens*. Current Science, 1463-1466.
- Kutama, A.S., Abubakar, M. M., Kabiru, S. and Muharaz, A. (2016). Survey of Fusarium Wilt on Garden Egg (*Solanum Melongena)* At Imawa Village of Kura Local Government, Kano State, Nigeria. International Journal of Innovative Science, Engineering and Technology, 3(1): 2348 –7968.
- Lee, B., Farag, M.A., Park, H.B., Kloepper, W.J., Lee, S.H. and Ryu, C.M. (2012). Induced resistance by a long-chain bacterial volatile: elicitation of plant systemic defense by a C13 volatile produced by *Paenibacillus polymyxa*. PloS ONE 7**:** 48744.
- Li, S., Tam, Y.K. and Hartman, G.L. (2000). Molecular differentiation of *Fusarium solani* f. sp*. glycines* from other *F. solani* based on mitochondrial small subunit rDNA sequences. Phytopathology, 90: 491– 497.
- Lioi, L. and Piergiovanni, A.R. (2013), "European common bean", Genetic and Genomic Resources of Grain Legume Improvement, Elsevier, London.
- Liu, B., Zhu, Y.Q., Zhou, H.T., Zhang, S.Q., Xie, G.L. and Zhang, S.S. (2004). Advances in crop wilt disease. Journal of Xiamen University, 43: 47-58.
- Liu, C., Hao, Y., Jiang, J. and Liu, W. (2017). Valorization of untreated rice bran towards bioflocculant using a lignocellulose-degrading strain and its use in microalgal biomass harvest. Biotechnology for Biofuels, 10(1): 1-12.
- Liu, X., Han, F., Kong, C., Fang, Z., Yang, L., Zhang, Y. and Lv, H. (2017). Rapid introgression of the Fusarium wilt resistance gene into an elite cabbage line through the combined application of a microspore culture, genome background analysis, and disease resistance-specific marker assisted foreground selection. Frontiers in Plant Science, 8, 354.
- Lo Presti, L., Lanver, D., Schweizer, G., Tanaka, S., Liang, L., Tollot, M., Zuccaro, A., Reissmann, S. and Kahmann, R. (2015). Fungal effectors and plant susceptibility. Annual Revision Plant Biology, 66: 513–545.
- Manmohan, M. and Govindaiah. S. (2012). *In vitro* screening of aqueous plant extracts against *Fusarium solani* causing root rot in mulberry. Journal of Applied Agriculture and Horticultural Science, 3(2): 223- 225.
- Marete, G.M., Shikuku, V.O., Lalah, J.O., Mputhia, J. and Wekesa, V.W. (2020). Occurrence of pesticides residues in French beans, tomatoes, and kale in Kenya, and their human health risk indicators. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment, 192(11): 1-13.
- Martyn, R.D. and Gordon, T.R. (1996). Fusarium wilt of melon. Compendium of Cucurbit Diseases. St Paul, MN, USA: APS Press, 11–13.
- McKinney (1923). A new system of grading plant disease. Journal of Agricultural Research*,* 26: 195-218.
- Meera, T. and Balabaskar, P. (2012). Isolation and characterization of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* from rice fields. International Journal of Food, Agriculture and Veterinary Sciences, 2(1): 113-120.
- Menge, D.S., Makobe, M., Monda, E.O. and Okemo, P.O. (2014). Effects of crude extracts of some selected physiological parameters of French beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris*) infected with rust (*Uromyces appendiculatus*). African Journal of Plant Science, 8(7): 35663.
- Meyer, S.L., Everts, K.L., Gardener, B.M., Masler, E.P., Abdelnabby, H.M. and Skantar, A.M. (2016). Assessment of DAPG-producing *Pseudomonas fluorescens* for management of *Meloidogyne incognita* and *Fusarium oxysporum* on watermelon. Journal of Nematology, 48(1): 43.
- Mishra, H.P. (2014). Role of botanicals, biopesticide and bioagents in integrated pest management. Odisha Review, 62-67.

Mui-Yun, W. (2003). Soil borne Plant Pathogen Class Project, 728.

Mulanya, M. M., Kimani, P. M., & Narla, R. D. (2014). Selection for multiple disease resistance in bush snap bean lines developed in Kenya. In RUFORUM Fourth Biennial Conference, Maputo, Mozambique, 19-25 July 2014 (pp. 197-198).

- Mulet, M., Lalucat, J. and Garcia, E. (2010). DNA sequence-based analysis of *Pseudomonas* species. Environmental Microbiology, 12: 1513-1530.
- Muriungi, J., Mutitu, E. and Siboe, M. (2013). Biocontrol of Fusarium root rot in beans by antagonistic *Trichoderma* fungi. International Journal of Agriscience, 3(7): 550–557.
- Mustafa, S., Kabir, S., Shabbir, U. and Batool, R. (2019). Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria in sustainable agriculture: from theoretical to pragmatic approach. Symbiosis, 78(2): 115-123.
- Muthomi, J.W., Mugambi, I.K., Ojiem, J., Cheminingwa, G.N. and Nderitu, J.H. (2014). Effect of incorporating lablab biomass in soils on root rot disease complex and yield of beans intercropped with maize. International Journal of Agriscience, 26-41.
- Muvea, M.A. (2011). The potential of coloured sticky traps with kairomonal attractants (LUREMTR) in management of thrips on tomato and French beans. .M.Sc. Thesis, Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture and Technology, Kenya.
- Nagarajkumar, M., Bhaaskaran, R. and Velazhahan, R. (2004). Involvement of secondary metabolites and extracellular lytic enzymes produced by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* in inhibition of *Rhizoctonia solani*, the rice sheath of blight pathogen. Microbiology Research, 159: 73–81.
- Nahak, G. and Sahu, R.K. (2015). Biopesticidal effect of leaf extract of neem (*Azadirachta indica* A. Juss) on growth parameters and diseases of tomato. Journal of Applied and Natural Science, *7*(1): 482-488.
- Naseby, D.C., Way, J.A., Bainton, N.J. and Lynch, J.M. (2001). Biocontrol of *Pythium* in the pea rhizosphere by antifungal metabolite producing and non-producing *Pseudomonas* strains. Journal Applied Microbiology, 90: 421-429.
- Naseri, B. (2014). Bean production and *Fusarium* root rot in diverse soil environments in Iran. Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition, 14(1): 177–188.
- Nathan, P., Rathinam, X., Kasi, M., Rahman, Z. A., & Subramaniam, S. (2011). A pilot study on the isolation and biochemical characterization of *Pseudomonas* from chemical intensive rice ecosystem. African Journal of Biotechnology, 10(59): 12653-12656.
- National Farmers Information Service (NAFIS).

http://www.nafis.go.ke/vegetables/ Accessed on 02/10/2019.

- Nawar, L.S. (2015). Studies on the efficacy of non-pathogenic *Fusarium oxysporum* isolate to control Fusarium wilt of tomato plants in Saudi Arabia. International Journal of Science and Research, 4(1):1949–19.
- Ndegwa, A.M., Muthoka, N.M., Gathambiri, C.W., Muchui, M.W., Kamau, M.N. and Waciuri, S.M. (2010). Snap bean production, post-harvest practices and constraints in Kirinyaga and Machakos Districts of Kenya. [http://www.kari.org/biennialconference.](http://www.kari.org/biennialconference)
- Nderitu, J.H., Kasina, M.J., Nyamasyo, G.N., Waturu, C.N. and Aura, J. (2008). Management of thrips (*Thysanoptera: thripidae*) on French

beans (*Fabaceae*) in Kenya: Economics of insecticide applications. Journal of Entomology, 5: 148-155.

- Nehra, V. and Saharan, B.S. (2011). Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria. A critical review. Life Science and Medical Research, 21: 1-30.
- Niehus, R., Picot, A. and Oliveira, N.M. (2017). The evolution of siderophores production as a competitive trait. Evolution, 71(6): 1443-1455.
- Nihorimbere, V., Ongena, M., Smargiassi, M. and Thonart, P. (2011). Beneficial effect of the rhizosphere microbial community for plant growth and health. Biotechnology, Agronomy, Society and Environment, 15(2): 327-337.
- Nyasani, J. O., Meyhöfer, R., Subramanian, S. and Poehling, H.M. (2012). Effect of intercrops on thrips species composition and population abundance on French beans in Kenya. Entomology Experiments and Application, 142(3): 236-246.
- Nyasetia, D.S. (2011). Antifungal activity of selected crude plant extracts on bean rust (*Uromyces appendiculatus*) and their effects on physiological activities of French beans. M.Sc. Thesis, Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture and Technology, Kenya. Article no. JAMB.32684.
- O'Sullivan, D.J. and O'Gara, F. (1992). Traits of *fluorescent Pseudomonas* spp. involved in suppression of plant root pathogen. Microbiology Review, 56(2): 662-626.
- Obongoya, B.O., Wagai, S.O. and Odhiambo, G. (2010). Phytotoxic effect of selected crude plant extracts on soil-borne fungi of common bean. Journal of African Crop Science, 18(1): 15-28.
- Odero, D.O., Mburu, J., Ackello-Ogutu, C. and Nderitu, J.H. (2013). Value chain of smallholders snap beans production in Kirinyaga County, Kenya.
- Ojwang, P. O., Melis, R., Songa, J. M., Githiri, M. and Bett, C. (2009). Participatory plant breeding approach for host plant resistance to bean fly in common bean under semi-arid Kenya conditions. Euphytica, 170(3): 383.
- Okello, J.J. and Swinton, S.M. (2011). International food safety standards and the use of pesticides in fresh export vegetable production in developing countries: Implications for farmer health and the environment, pesticides-formulations, effects, fate. Safety-standards and the-use of pesticides in fresh export vegetables production.
- Okello, J.J., Narrod, C. and Roy, D. (2007). "Institutional Innovations for Smallholder Compliance with International Food Safety Standards: Experiences from Kenya, Ethiopian and Zambian Green Bean Growers". African Association of Agricultural Economists (AAAE) Conference Proceedings held in Ghana, (3): 483-487.
- Otieno, P.S., Ogutu, C.A., Mburu, J., and Nyikal, R.A. (2017). Effect of Global-GAP policy on smallholder French beans farmers climate change adaptation strategies in Kenya. African Journal of Agricultural Research, 12(8): 577-587.
- Ouma, B., Muthomi, J., Nderitu, J. and Toroitich, F. (2014). Management of thrips in French beans by integrating biological and synthetic pesticides in conventional spray regimes. Journal of Renewable Agriculture, 2(2): 27-37.
- PABRA (2014). End phase report for International Centre for Tropical Agriculture Colombia (CIAT).
- Palmero, D., Iglesias, C., De Cara, M., Lomas, T., Santos, M. and Tello, J.C. (2009). Species of *Fusarium* isolated from river and sea water of south Eastern Spain and pathogenicity on four plant species. Plant Disease, 93: 377–385.
- Park, J. Y., Anderson, A. J., Neiswender, J., Kim, J.C. and Kim, Y.C. (2011). Production of the antifungal compounds phenazine and pyrrolnitrin from *Pseudomonas chlororaphis* O6 is differentially regulated by glucose. Letters in Applied Microbiology, 52(5): 532-537.
- Park, Y.S., Dutta, S., Ann, M., Raaijmakers, J.M. and Park, K. (2015). Promotion of plant growth by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* strain SS101 via novel volatile organic compounds. Biochemical Biophysical Response Communication, 461: 361–365.
- Pastor-Corrales, M.A. and Abawi, G.S. (1987). Reactions of selected bean germplasms to infection by *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp*. phaseoli*. Plant Disease, 71(11): 990-993
- Patale, S.S. and Mukadam, D.S. (2011). Management of plant pathogenic fungi by using *Trichoderma.* Bioscience Discovery, 2(1): 36-37.
- Patil, S., Sriram, S. and Savitha, M.J. (2011). Evaluation of non-pathogenic *Fusarium* for antagonistic activity against Fusarium wilts of tomato. Journal of Biological Control, 25: 118-123.
- Pereg, L. and McMillan, M. (2015). Scoping the potential uses of beneficial microorganism for increasing productivity in cotton cropping systems. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 80: 349-358.
- Pliego, C., Kamilova, F. and Lugtenberg, B. (2011). "Plant growth-promoting bacteria fundamentals and exploitation. Agrobiology Crop Ecosystems, 295–343.
- Porch, T. (2013). Use of wild relatives and closely related species to adapt common bean to climate change. Agronomy, 3(2): 433-461.
- Prasanna, R.B., Reddy, M.S. (2009). Siderophore-mediated antibiosis of rhizobacterial *Pseudomonas fluorescens* against rice fungal pathogens. International Journal. Pharmaceutical Technology Reserve, 1(1):227– 229.
- Qi, W. and Zhao, L. (2013). Study of the siderophore‐ producing *Trichoderma asperellum* Q1 on cucumber growth promotion under salt stress. Journal of Basic Microbiology, 53(4): 355-364.
- Quarles, W. (2013). New biopesticides for IPM and organic production. The IPM practitioner, 33(7/8): 1-9.
- Ramadan, E.M., AbdelHafez, A.A., Hassan, E.A. and Saber, F.M. (2016). Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria and their potential for biocontrol of phytopathogens. African Journal of Microbiology Research, 10(15): 486-504.
- Ramaiah, A.K. and Kumar, H.G. (2015). *In vitro* antifungal activity of some plant extracts against *Fusarium oxysporum lycopersici*. Asian Journal of Plant Sciences and Research, 5(1): 22-27.
- Ramamoorthy, V., Raguchander, T. and Samiyappan, R. (2002). Induction of defense-related proteins in tomato roots treated with *Pseudomonas fluorescens* Pf1 and *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *lycopersici*. Plant and Soil, 239(1): 55-68).
- Ramarathnam, R., Dilantha, W.G. and De Kievit, T. (2011). The role of antibiosis and induced systemic resistance, mediated by strains of *Pseudomonas chlororaphis*, *Bacillus cereus* and *B. amyloliquefaciens*, in controlling blackleg disease of canola. Biocontrol, 56(2): 225–235.
- Raza, W., Ling, N., Liu, D., Wei, Z., Huang, Q. and Shen, Q. (2016). Volatile organic compounds produced by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* WR-1 restrict the growth and virulence traits of *Ralstonia solanacearum*. Microbiological Research, 192: 103-113.
- Reddy, K.N., Choudary, K.A. and Reddy, M.S. (2007). Antifungal metabolites of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* isolated from rhizosphere of rice crop. Journal of Mycology and Plant Pathology, 37(2): 9-15.
- Reetha, S., Bhuvaneswari, G., Thamizhiniyan, P. and Ravi, T. (2014). Isolation of Indole acetic acid (IAA) producing rhizobacteria of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* and *Bacillus subtilis* and enhance growth of onion (*Allium cepa* L.). International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences, 3(2): 568574.
- Rekha, V., Ahmed John, S. and Shamkar, T. (2010). Antibacterial activity of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* isolates from rhizosphere soil. International Journal of Biological Technology, 1(3): 10-14.
- Reuveni, R., Raviv, M., Krasnovsky, A., Freiman, L., Medina, S., Bar, A. and Orion, D. (2002). Compost induces protection against *Fusarium oxysporum* in sweet basil. Crop Protection, 21: 583-587.
- Saharan, K., Sarma, M.K., Srivastava, R., Sharma, A.K., Johri, B.N., Prakash, A., Sahai, V. and Bisaria, V.S. (2010). Development of non-sterile inorganic carrier-based formulations of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* R62 and R81 and evaluation of their efficacy on agricultural crops. Applied Soil Ecology, 46: 251-258.
- Santoro, M.V., Cappellari, L.D.R., Giordano, W. and Banchio, E. (2015). Plant growth‐ promoting effects of native *Pseudomonas* strains on *Mentha piperita* (peppermint) an *in vitro* study. Plant Biology, 17(6): 1218-1226.
- Saraf, M., Pandya, U. and Thakkar, A. (2014). Role of allelochemicals in plant growth promoting rhizobacteria for biocontrol of phytopathogens. Microbiological Research, 169(1): 18-29.
- Satish, S., Raghavendra, M.P. and Raveesha, K.A. (2009). Antifungal potentiality of some plant extracts against *Fusarium* spp. Archieves of Phytopathology and Plant Protection, 42: (7) 618-625.
- Schulz, J. and Dickschat, S. (2007). Bacterial volatiles: the smell of small organisms. National Production. Reports, 24(4): 814-842.
- Shanmugam, V. (2011). Selection and differentiation of *Bacillus* spp. Antagonistic to *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *lycopersici* and *Alternaria solani* infecting tomato. Folia Microbiology, 56(2): 7-170.
- Sharma, K.D., Chen, W., Muehlbauer, F.J. (2005). Genetics of chickpea resistance to five races of *Fusarium* wilt and a concise of races differentials for *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *ciceris.* Plant Diseases, 89: 385-390.
- Siameto, E.N., Okoth, S., Amugune, N.O. and Njoroge, N.C. (2010). Antagonism of *Trichoderma harzianum* [isolates on soil borne plant](https://profiles.uonbi.ac.ke/njoroge/publications/antagonism-trichoderma-farzianum-isolates-soil-borne-plant-pathogenic-fungi-emb)  [pathogenic fungi from Embu District, Kenya.](https://profiles.uonbi.ac.ke/njoroge/publications/antagonism-trichoderma-farzianum-isolates-soil-borne-plant-pathogenic-fungi-emb) Journal of Yeast and Fungal Research, 1(3): 47-54.
- Sillero, J.C., Villegas-Fernández, A.M., Thomas, J., Rojas-Molina, M.M., Emeran, A.A., Fernández-Aparicio, M. and Rubiales, D. (2010). Faba bean breeding for disease resistance. Field Crops Research,115(3): 297-307.
- Sindhu, S.S., Rakshiya, Y.S. and Sahu, G. (2009). Biological control of soil borne plant pathogens with rhizosphere bacteria. Pest Technology, 3: 10-21.
- Singh, A.V., Prasad, B. and Shah, S. (2011). Influence of phosphate solubilizing bacteria for enhancement of plant growth and seed yield in lentil. Journal of Crop weed science, 7: 241-43.
- Singh, S.K., Singh, R.H. and Dutta, S. (2002). Integrated management of pigeon pea wilt by biotic agents and biopesticide. Annual Plant Protection Science, 10: 388-389.
- Sivasakthi, S., Usharani, G.A. and Saranraj, P. (2014). Biocontrol potentiality of plant growth promoting bacteria (PGPR)-*Pseudomonas fluorescens* and *Bacillus subtilis*. African Journal Agricultural Research, 9(16): 1265-1277.
- Sivasankari, B., Kavikumar, N. and Anandharaj, M. (2013). Indole-acetic acid production and enhanced plant growth promotion by indigenous bacterial species. Journal of Current Research in Science, 1(5): 331- 335.
- Smith, S.N. (2007). An overview of ecological and habitat aspects in the genus *Fusarium* with special emphasis on the soil-borne pathogenic forms. Plant Pathology Bulletin, 16: 97-120.
- Soliman, H.M., El-Metwally, M.A., Elkanky, M.T. and Badawi, W. E. (2015). Alternatives to chemical control of grey mold disease on cucumber caused by *Botrytis cinerea*. Asian Journal of Plant Pathology, 9(1): 1- 15.
- Son, H.J., Park, G.T. and Heo, M.S. (2006). Solubilisation of insoluble inorganic phosphates by a novel salt and pH tolerant *Pantoea agglomerans* R-42 isolated from soya bean rhizosphere. Bio Resource Technology, 97: 204-210.
- Song, M., Yun, H.Y. and Kim, Y.H. (2014). Antagonistic *Bacillus* species as a biological control of ginseng root rot caused by *Fusarium* f. sp. *incarnatum*. Journal of Ginseng Research, 38: 136–145.
- Srijita, D. (2015). Biopesticides: an eco-friendly approach for pest control. World Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences, 4(6): 250- 265.
- Stadlinger, N., Mmochi, A. and Kumbilad, L. (2013). Weak Governmental Institutions Impair the Management of Pesticide import and sales in Zanzibar, Ambio 42: 72-82.
- Subramanian, S. and Smith, D.L. (2015). Bacteriocins from the rhizosphere microbiome–from an agriculture perspective. Frontiers in Plant Science, 6, 909.
- Sumitra, A., Kanojia, A.K., Kumar, A., Mogha, N. and Sahu, V. (2012). Biopesticide formulation to control tomato lepidopteran pest menace. Current Science, 102(7): 1051-1058.
- Szentes, S., Gabriel-Lucian, R., Laslo, E., Lanyi, S. and Mara G. (2013). Selection and evaluation of potential biocontrol rhizobacteria from a raised bog environment. Crop Protection, 52: 116-124.
- Tahir, H.S., Gu, Q., Wu, H., Niu, Y., Huo, R. and Gao, X. (2017). *Bacillus* volatiles adversely affect the physiology and ultra-structure of *Ralstonia solanacearum* and induce systemic resistance in tobacco against bacterial wilt. Scientific reports, 7(1): 1-15.
- Thangavelu, R. and Mustaffa, M.M. (2010). A Potential isolate of *Trichoderma viride* NRCB1 and its mass production for the effective management of *Fusarium* wilt disease in banana. Tree and Forestry Science and Biotechnology, 4: 76-84.
- Todar, K. (2004). *Pseudomonas* and related bacteria. Todar's online text book of bacteriology, 304- 421.
- Trapet, P., Avoscan, L., Klinguer, A., Pateyron, S., Citerne, S., Chervin, C. and Besson-Bard, A. (2016). The *Pseudomonas fluorescens* siderophore pyoverdine weakens *Arabidopsis thaliana* defense in favor of growth in iron-deficient conditions. Plant Physiology, 171(1): 675- 693.
- University of Massachusetts Amherst, (2015). Beans, snap, dry and Lima. Www.umass.edu. Accessed on 27/1/2019.
- USAID-KHCP (2015). Global competitiveness study: Benchmarking Kenya's horticulture sector for enhanced export competitiveness. Fintrac Inc.
- Wale, O.A. and Adewunmi, D.G. (2020). Evaluation of nutritional and phytochemical properties of *Eucalyptus camaldulensis*, *Hibiscus sabdariffa* and *Morinda lucida* from Ogun State, Nigeria. Journal of Stress Physiology & Biochemistry, 16(2): 45-56.
- Wahome, S.W., Kimani, P.M., Muthomi, J.W. and Narla, R.D. (2013). Quality and yield of snap bean lines locally developed in Kenya. International Journal of Agronomy and Agricultural Research, 3(7): 1-10.
- Wahome, S.W., Kimani, P.M., Muthomi, J.W., Narla, R.D. and Buruchara, R. (2011). Multiple disease resistance in snap bean genotypes in Kenya Journal of Food Agriculture Nutrition and Development, 19(4): 289- 302.
- Weitang, S., Ligang, Z., Chengzong, Y., Xiaodong, C., Liqun, Z. and Xili, L. (2004). Tomato Fusarium wilt and its chemical control strategies in a hydroponic system. Crop Protection, 23(3):120-123.
- Whipps, J.M (1997). Development in the biological control of soil borne plant pathogens. Advances in Botanical Research, 26: 1-134. [www.intechopen.com//books.](http://www.intechopen.com/books) Accessed on 23/09/2011.
- Zeilinger, S., Gupta, V.K., Dahms, T.E., Silva, R.N., Singh, H.B. and Upadhyay, R.S. (2016). Emerging insights from fungal interactions with plants. Microbiology Revision*,* 40: 182–207.

## **APPENDICES**

#### **Appendix I: Soil analysis methods**

Soil samples were collected from Mwea (Kimbimbi) field site where the trial was carried out for Physiochemical analysis. The soil were analysed for available nutrient (P, K, Na, Ca, Mg and Mn) using Mehlich Double Acid method (1962), availability of trace elements (Fe, Zn and Cu): Extraction with 0.1 M HCl, presence of organic carbon was determined using Calorimetric method, Total nitrogen was determined using Kjeldahl method, Total nitrogen was determined using Kjeldahl method, Soil pH– water- pH – meter. The results are presented in the table below.

Soil pH	4.18	Strong acidity
Exchangeable acidity me%	0.5	Adequate
Nitrogen %	0.18	Low
Organic Carbon %	1.92	moderate
Phosphorus (Mehlich) ppm	120	High
Potassium me (%)	1.03	adequate
Calcium me $(\%)$	3.4	adequate
Magnesium me $(\%)$	4.07	High
Manganese me $(\%)$	1.42	adequate
Copper ppm	8.87	adequate
Iron ppm	47.8	adequate
Zinc ppm	9.73	adequate
Sodium me%	0.22	adequate

**Table 6.1: Physical and chemical results of soil samples from Mwea** 

# **Appendix II: NACOSTI Research authorization**



# **Appendix III: Published paper**

Muthoni, W., Kahuthia–Gathu, R., Mwangi, M., Waceke, J.W. (2021). Mechanisms of action of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* against *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp *phaseoli in vitro. [www.researchjournali.com/pdf/5701.pdf](http://www.researchjournali.com/pdf/5701.pdf)*