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Abstract: This study aimed to determine the effects of foreign ownership on firm’s financial performance of listed firms. The study findings indicated correlation co-efficient of 0.683, implying a positive relationship between foreign ownership and financial performance. This was evidenced by the p value of 0.000 which is less than that of critical value (0.05). The study concluded that there was a significant positive relationship between foreign ownership and firm’s financial performance.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Clarkson and Chapple (2011) argues that many foreign scholars have verified that ownership and capital structure have significant effects on firm performance and that the effect of ownership structure on financial performance mainly considers ownership type, ownership concentration and capital market value of listed firms. Djankov and Simeon (2008) found a positive relationship between foreign ownership and the provision of generic and specific knowledge to the local company.

Chege (2013) examined the relationship between ownership structures and financial performance among commercial banks listed in the NSE in Kenya. He found out that there is a positive relationship between profitability and foreign shares ownership and observed that, foreign shares were significant in explaining results as a unit changes in foreign shares were found to be significant in explaining profitability. The findings were consistent with Avulamusis(2013) who established that there was positive a relationship between foreign ownership and different parameters of financial performance in a study of commercial banks in Kenya. He attributed high monitoring capabilities of foreign owners to improved financial performance of commercial banks in Kenya.

Aydin, Sayim and Yalama, (2007) argued that on average foreign owned firms have performed better than the domestically owned firms because foreign owners are more likely to have the ability to monitor managers and give them performance based incentives to avoid behaviors that undermine the wealth creation motivation of the firm owners. According Pallathitta (2005) foreign ownership goes beyond financial contribution and extends to provision of managerial expertise and technical collaboration which helps them to increase the efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes leading to improved performance

1.1 Statement of the problem:

Kihara (2006) argues that the issues of ownership structure and company performance are driving investors and general public in making in-depth scrutiny of equity shareholders behind every firm. This has been caused partly by corporate failure or poor performance of public and private companies. Uzel (2015) argued that the major objective of businesses is to make profits and concluded that the concept of organizational performance is core to all investors. Ekadah and Mboya (2011) advocated that the issue of Equity ownership and firm’s performance is rapidly gaining prominence in Kenya as is the case in other countries. However, Iravo, Ongori and Munene (2013) raised concern as to why some companies
succeed while others fail and this has influenced a study on ownership structure and financial performance of companies. Aydin, Sayim and Yalama, (2007) argued that on an average foreign owned firms perform better than the domestically owned firms while Pallathitta (2005) argues that foreign ownership goes beyond financial contribution and extends to provision of managerial expertise and technical collaboration which helps them to increase the efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes leading to improved performance. However, Aguilera and Cuerra (2004) argued that foreign shareholders may only have a moderate impact on firm performance despite being endowed with good monitoring capabilities because their financial focus and emphasis on liquidity making them unwilling to commit to a long-term relationship with the firm that engages in a process of restructuring in case of poor performance. Most of them prefer strategies of exit rather than voice to monitor management. It was on the background of the above contradicting reports that the study was undertaken to determine the effects of foreign ownership on financial performance of companies listed in Nairobi Securities exchange and shed new light into corporate finance.

1.2 Research objective:
The study was guided by the following general objective

1.3 Scope of the study:
The study investigated the influence of Foreign ownership on financial performance of public listed companies and was limited to only companies’ listed in the Nairobi Securities Exchange in Kenya. According to companies Act, it’s a legal requirement for all registered companies to submit audited published final accounts on yearly basis and provided a reliable and consistent source of the required data for comparison purposes.

2. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK
The Agency theory rests on the assumption that the role of organizations is to maximize the wealth of the shareholders (Blair, 1995). Further the Agency theory explains a fundamental problem for absent or distant owners who employ professional executives to act on their behalf. According to Wheelen and Hunger (2002) the problems arises because agents (professional) are not willing to bear responsibility for their decisions since they don’t own a substantial amount of stock in the firms and hence don’t stand to benefit by perusing wealth maximizing objective. However, Aydin, Sayim and Yalama, (2007) argued that on an average foreign owned firms have performed better because foreign owners have the ability to monitor managers and give them performance based incentives to avoid behaviors that undermine the wealth creation motivation of the firm.

Bender (2011) stewardship theory focuses on facilitating and empowering structures rather than monitoring and controls that are proposed by the agency theory which may interfere with the motivation of the steward leading to a loss of productivity and inciting opportunistic behaviour. Stewardship theory advocates that if both parties decide for a true stewardship relationship, this form they can create an environment where the agents could proceed effectively leading to an increase in productivity (Bender, 2011).

3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY
3.1 Research Design:
This study employed correlation research and cross-sectional study designs. According to Albright et al. (2011) a correlation research is a procedure in which subjects’ score on two or more variables are simply measured, without manipulation of any variable, to determine whether there is a relationship. On the other hand cross-sectional design was used to gathered data just once to determine the interrelationship between the variables under consideration among the different firms in the study and permitted the researcher to make statistical inference on the broader population and generalize the findings to real life situations and thereby increase the external validity of the study.

3.2 Target population:
The target population for this study was made up 61 executives which comprised of of one finance director, for each of the 61 firms listed at the NSE. According to Nairobi Stock Exchange (NSE) Handbook on profiles and performance of listed companies (2011-2015) there were 61 firms that had compiled their financial reports for the relevant period of study.
3.3 Data Collection methods:
The study used questionnaires to obtain qualitative data for analysis which was further validated by secondary quantitative data acquired through analysis of the companies’ published final accounts and quarterly market reports.

4. RESEARCH FINDINGS AND RESULTS

4.1 Descriptive statistics for Foreign Ownership:
The objective of the study was to establish the effect of Foreign Ownership on Financial Performance of firms listed in Nairobi Securities Exchange in Kenya. Result in table 4.1 indicated that 80% of the respondent agreed that foreign shareholding enables their company to attract highly qualified professional who improves the financial performance of the company, 66% of the respondent agreed that foreign shareholding enables their company to get foreign funding which improves their financial performance.

In addition 78% agreed that foreign shareholding helps company to set performance targets which makes the company to improve its performance, 63% agreed foreign shareholding helps in monitoring and evaluation of the company which leads to improved performance, 69% agreed that Foreign shareholding helps company in collaborative linkages and network which improves company performance, 70% of the respondents agreed that foreign shareholding reduces the agency costs by setting systems and procedures which improve company performance and 75% of the respondent agreed that foreign shareholding advices on adoption of new technology which leads to high productivity and improved performance.

Table. 4.1 Foreign ownership structure on financial performance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>STD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Foreign shareholding enables attraction of highly qualified professional</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>3.91</td>
<td>0.688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign shareholding enables getting foreign funding</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>0.675</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign shareholding helps set performance targets</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign shareholding helps set performance targets</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign shareholding helps in monitoring and evaluation</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>3.37</td>
<td>0.735</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign shareholding helps in collaborative linkages and network</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>0.659</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign shareholding influences stability of share prices</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>0.653</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign shareholding reduces the agency costs</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>0.665</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign shareholding helps adoption of new technology</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>0.675</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mean score of responses regarding Foreign ownership was 3.75 on a 5-point scale which indicates that majority of the respondents agreed that foreign ownership structure is a key determinant on financial performance of a firm. The study finding agree with those of Aydin, Sayim and Yalama, (2007) argued that foreign owners have the ability to monitor managers and give them performance based incentives to avoid behaviors’ that undermine the wealth creation. This is supported by Pallathitta (2005) argued that Foreign ownership goes beyond financial contribution and extends to provision of managerial expertise and technical collaboration which helps them to increase the efficiency and effectiveness of the operational processes leading to improved performance. Chege (2013) found a positive relationship between profitability and foreign shares ownership among commercial banks listed in the NSE which according to Alumasi (2013) was due to high monitoring capabilities of foreign owners. The two studies are supported by Stulz (1988) who argued that foreign investors come to invest with expectations of a good return on their investment and therefore ensure effective monitoring of management which leads to improved financial performance of companies.

4.2 Sample adequacy for Foreign Ownership Factors:
KMO and Bartlett’s Test were conducted to test sample adequacy for foreign ownership measures before factor analysis was carried out.
KMO measures on Foreign ownership measures yielded a result of 0.82 while Bartlett’s test of Sphericity results were (chi-square=997.196, p<0.000) with 45 degrees of freedom. According to Field (2000) for a data set to be regarded as adequate and appropriate for further statistical analysis, the value of KMO should be greater than 0.5. and therefore the results for both tests were significant for further statistical analysis.

4.3 Total Variance Explained for Foreign Ownership factors:

Factor analysis was conducted using Principal Components Method (PCM) approach. The extraction of the factors followed the Kaiser Criterion where an eigen value of 1 or more indicates a unique factor as presented on Table 4.3 below.

When the eight measures of foreign ownership were subjected to factor analysis, only five factors attracted coefficients of more than 0.4. According to Rahn (2010) and Zandi (2006) a factor loading equal to or greater than 0.4 is considered adequate. Therefore, only five factors were retained for further analysis. Further analysis showed that among the five factors retained, there were two critical factors from foreign ownership measures that were affecting financial performance of listed firm which accumulated to 74.124% of the total variance in this construct. Factor I had the highest variance of 59.132 % while factor 2 had 14.992%. These two factors had the greatest influence on financial performance of firms listed in Nairobi Securities Exchange.

Rotation matrix was conducted using Principal Component Analysis with Promax Kaiser normalization.
Foreign shareholding reduces the agency costs by setting systems and procedures which improve company Performance.

Foreign shareholding advice on adoption of new technology which leads to high productivity and improved performance.

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.
Rotation Method: Promax with Kaiser Normalization.

The factors were grouped into two factors namely FO 1 and FO 2. FO 1 had stability of share prices at 0.969, agency costs at 0.950, performance targets at 0.842 and new technology at 0.610. This factor was named Performance. The second factor had monitoring and evaluation at 0.811, collaborative linkages and network at 0.716, foreign funding at 0.574 and highly qualified professional at 0.520. This factor was named Foreign linkages. Using the two factors, a scale was created using the average means of each construct. A scale of 1-5 was created and all the means of all the items in each component were analyzed. Other factors not included in group one or two were excluded from further analysis because they seemed to have low means and as such much of their influence could be explained by the other factors.

**4.4 Relationship between Foreign Ownership Structure and Financial Performance**

A correlation study was conducted to test the relationship between foreign ownership and financial performance of firms listed in Nairobi Securities Exchange. The results indicated a correlation coefficient of 0.683, implying a positive relationship between foreign ownership and financial performance and supported by the p value of 0.000 which is less than that of critical value (0.05).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Financial Performance</th>
<th>Foreign Ownership</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Financial Performance</td>
<td>Pearson Correlation</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign Ownership Structure</td>
<td>Pearson Correlation</td>
<td>0.683</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Further, regression analysis was conducted to empirically determine whether foreign owned structure was a significant determinant of financial performance of firms listed in Nairobi Securities Exchange in Kenya. The coefficient of determination $R^2$ and correlation coefficient ($r$) shows the degree of association between the independent variable foreign ownership and dependent financial performance. The results of the linear regression indicate $R = 0.683$ which indicates that there is a significant positive relationship between foreign ownership and financial performance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Coefficient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>0.683</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R Square</td>
<td>0.467</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted R Square</td>
<td>0.462</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std. Error of the Estimate</td>
<td>0.59072</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From the model summary results adjusted $R^2$ was 0.467 this indicates that foreign ownership explains 46.7% of variations financial performance.

**Table 4.7: ANOVA for Foreign Ownership Structure**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Sum of Squares</th>
<th>Df</th>
<th>Mean Square</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regression</td>
<td>33.636</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>33.636</td>
<td>96.392</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual</td>
<td>38384</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>0.349</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>72,019</td>
<td>111</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to determine whether there is a regression relationship, between foreign ownership and financial performance. The ANOVA test in Table 4.7 shows that the significance of the F-statistic of
96.392 and significance level of 0.000 which is less than 0.05 meaning that null hypothesis is rejected. The findings implied that there is a positive relationship between foreign ownership and financial performance and that foreign ownership was statistically significant in explaining financial performance of listed firms.

4.5 Regression results for foreign ownership and financial performance:

To establish the effect of foreign ownership on financial performance of listed firms’ hypotheses was stated as follows: -

**Hypotheses**

H0: There is no statistically significant correlation between foreign ownership and financial performance of listed firms in Kenya.

HOA: There is statistically significant correlation between foreign ownership and financial performance of listed firms in Kenya

The null hypothesis state that, \( \beta (\text{beta}) = 0 \), meaning there is no relationship between Foreign ownership and firms financial performance as the slope \( \beta (\text{beta}) = 0 \) (no relationship between the two variables).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Beta</th>
<th>Std. Error</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>3.083</td>
<td>0.115</td>
<td>26.764</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign ownership</td>
<td>0.321</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>9.668</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.8: Regression coefficients of Foreign Ownership

The results on the beta coefficient of the resulting model in Table 4.24 shows that the constant \( \alpha= 3.083 \) is significantly different from 0, since the p-value = 0.000 is less than 0.05. The coefficient \( \beta = 0.321 \) significantly different from 0 with a p-value=0.000 which is less than 0.05.

This implies that the null hypothesis \( \beta_1=0 \) is rejected and the alternative hypothesis \( \beta_1\neq0 \) is taken to hold implying that there is significant correlation between foreign ownership and financial performance of listed firms in Kenya. The fitted equation is as shown below:

\[
Y=3.083+ 0.321X_2 (\text{Foreign ownership}) + e, \text{ is significantly fit.}
\]

The model \( \text{Firms financial performance}= \alpha + \beta \) (Foreign ownership) holds as suggested by the test above and this confirms that there is a positive linear relationship between Foreign ownership and Firms financial performance

5. DISCUSSIONS OF KEY FINDINGS

Foreign Ownership on Financial Performance:

Foreign ownership was assessed by eight measures namely stability of share prices, agency costs, performance targets, new technology, monitoring and evaluation, collaborative linkages and network a, foreign funding and highly qualified professional. The significant results showed that the means were statistically different and the alternative hypothesis was accepted. This is supported by a study of Douma et al. (2006) who analyzed the effect of foreign ownership on the financial performance of Indian corporations with a distinction between foreign institutional and foreign corporate shareholders and found significant positive relationship between foreign ownership and Financial performance. Relevant results show that on a scale of 1 to 5 (where 5 = strongly agree; 1 = strongly disagree, most respondents agree that foreign ownership to a great extent influenced the firm’s financial performance by share prices(mean score =3.75), agency costs(with mean score = 3.71), performance targets(with mean score = 4), new technology(with mean score =3.72 ), monitoring and evaluation(with mean score =3.37 ), collaborative linkages and network(with mean score = 3.84) a, foreign funding(with mean score =3.58) and highly qualified professional(with mean score =3.91).Overall, the intensity of foreign ownership on financial performance of listed firms was considerably moderate (overall mean score = 3.735).

Foreign ownership sig. value was .000 (which is less than .05), it was concluded that there is a significant relationship between foreign ownership and financial performance of firms listed in NSE. Regression analysis was used to test foreign ownership hypotheses that there is a significant effect of foreign ownership on financial performance of firms listed in NSE. The regression results showed a positive relationship between foreign ownership and financial performance. (\( R^2 \) =0.467, p-value 0.000). The results identified with a study by Chege (2013), which found a positive relationship between...
profitability and foreign shares ownership among commercial banks listed in the NSE. To further validate the results, the ANOVA test showed a statistically significant positive relationship between foreign ownership and financial performance. (F= 96.392, p-value =0.000).

6. SUMMARY OF RESULTS

The study results revealed that foreign ownership was statistically significant in explaining financial performance of firms listed in Nairobi securities exchange. Therefore, the study concluded that foreign ownership had an effect on financial performance of firms and that there exists a positive significant relationship between foreign ownership and firm’s financial performance.

7. CONCLUSION

This study ultimately finds a positive correlation between foreign ownership and financial performance of listed firms in NSE (r =0.683). Foreign ownership sig. value is .000 (which is less than .05), it was concluded that there is a significant relationship between foreign ownership and financial performance. It can be concluded that there is a statistically significant association between financial performance foreign ownership.
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