INTRODUCTION

Transformation of Kenya’s smallholder agriculture from subsistence to market orientation is the focus of key policy documents which include Vision 2030 (GOK, 2007), the Agricultural Sector Development Strategy (GoK, 2010) and the National Agribusiness Strategy (GoK, 2012). In the dairy sector, the National Dairy Master Plan (GoK, 2010) has been developed to provide specific framework for dairy agribusiness. All these initiatives are intended to put markets at the centre of production, processing, product development and packaging. Milk marketing in Kenya is dominated by the informal chain which controls about 80% of marketed milk (Omore et al., 1999; Muriuki, 2003). Total annual milk production is estimated at 4.5 billion litres, and is mainly produced in the milk sheds of Rift valley and Central Kenya regions. Milk price in these regions is about KES 27-33 per litre and during glut season, there is lack of market leading to wastages or spoilage. In contrast, Western Kenya experiences frequent milk shortages and several studies indicate it is a milk deficit area, with high milk prices but dairy farmers in the area not responding to this attractive market by increasing production (Mudavadi et al., 2001; Waithaka et al., 2002; Wambugu et al., 2011). However, little is known about the milk market in Western Kenya, particularly its structure and performance. End market analysis is known to be important in accessing markets since it helps to determine consumer requirements, market characteristics and inform decision making by different stakeholders on participating in a particular market (USAID, 2008). The objective of this study therefore was to assess the milk market in the counties of Busia, Bungoma, Kakamenga and Vihiga in Western Kenya in order to identify new ways to upgrade the milk value chain in the region.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study area

The study was carried out in Busia, Bungoma, Kakamenga and Vihiga counties of Western Kenya. The area lies on the Equator between latitude 0.03°N to 1°N and 34°E to 35.30°E longitude. It borders Trans Nzoia, Uasin Gishu counties to the North, Nandi county to the East, Kisumu, Siaya counties to the South, and Uganda to the West. The region has a total population of 4.3 million people and 904 000 households (GOK, 2009). The area has an estimated 99000 smallholder
dairy farmers keeping improved dairy cattle and produces about 215 Million litres of milk and is a deficit region (Waithaka et al., 2002; Wambugu et al., 2011).

**Data collection**

Both qualitative and quantitative data was collected using a semi structured questionnaire administered by trained enumerators to 385 milk consumers randomly selected in Busia (72), Vihiga (72), Bungoma (120) and Kakamega (121) through proportional sampling technique. Out of 385 respondents, 253 (65%) comprised households, 107 (25%) hotels and 25 (5%) institutions (universities, schools, hospitals). The questionnaire sought information on sources of milk supply; marketing channels; consumer requirements in terms of: products, quantity, quality, price, reliability, packaging; market coordination, consumer preferences, consumption trends, and SWOT (Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities and Threats) of the market. Additional data was collected through visits, informal interviews and secondary data obtained from officials of selected dairy cooperatives, Ministry of livestock and Kenya Dairy Board to establish the general context of the milk market including drivers and trends.

**Data analysis**

Data was entered in SPSS version 19 (IBM, 2010) and, frequency counts, percentages and means were calculated to produce tables and bar charts, while correlations and chi square tests were done to establish differences observed in some attributes (Mugenda and Mugenda, 2003; Kothari, 2008). To make choices on markets, USAID End market research tool kit (USAID, 2008) was used in prioritization and ranking.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Structure: Suppliers and channels**

The findings of this study showed that farmers and traveling traders are the main suppliers of milk in the region accounting for about 58% of total milk traded (Fig 1). Considering that cooperatives and milk bars together account for 12%, the informal channel which deals in raw milk controls over 70% of marketed milk in the region. These results are consistent with several studies done in the country and in Eastern Africa indicating the dominance of the informal channel in milk trade (FAO, 2011; National dairy Master Plan, 2010; Omore et al., 2000). According to the officials of ministry of livestock development and Kenya dairy board interviewed, the milk market in the region is largely unorganized due to its informal nature. This in itself is a point of weakness as it affects quality. Lack of coordination and governance structures has been reported by Ruben et al. (2007) as a key issue affecting performance of tropical food chains, especially quality management.

**Performance: Quantity and price**

The results obtained showed 53% of milk marketed comes from outside the region with acute shortages of milk experienced for a period of three to four months between December and March. About 92% of respondents surveyed reported experiencing low milk supply.
Pasteurized milk purchased from shops and supermarkets also had high frequency of chemical contamination. This finding was confirmed by the dairy board officials through our interviews who attributed the situation to informal marketing as well as limited certification of all market actors due to inadequate staff. The problem with adulteration is that it cheats the consumer by increasing the volume. Together with physical dirt and addition of chemicals, ostensibly to preserve milk over long distances, the risk to public health through bacterial contamination and drug residues is real. Thus low quality milk and safety concerns are major problems in Western Kenya milk market. A study by Omore et al. (2001) through the smallholder dairy Project (SDP), identified similar critical control points along the dairy value chain which to date have not been adequately addressed through policy and quality surveillance system.

### Consumer preference

The findings of this study revealed the majority of consumers (Households, Hotels and institutions prefer fresh unpasteurized milk (63%) compared to fresh pasteurized (25%) and UHT (12%) milk (Fig 2a). This result was significant at p<0.05. Previous study by Ouma et al. (2000) reported consumer preference for raw milk traded through informal channel than other dairy products. There was also a significant difference between consumers and preferred attribute (P<0.05) since out of the respondents surveyed (N=385), 56% prefer quality, 27% price, 9% quantity, 5% packaging while 3% would go for reliability as preferred attribute influencing choice of milk supplier (Fig 2b). The implication of this result is that a milk marketing strategy in the region should prioritize quality and pricing.

### Status of dairy cooperatives

The study found out that only 9% capacity of the region’s milk coolers were utilized. Out of total of 23000 litres capacity, cooperatives received only 2100 litres of milk on daily basis delivered by farmers (Table 3). In addition, our visits and informal interviews established that coolers in all cooperatives surveyed were either grossly underutilized, broken down and had power supply disconnected due to inability to pay bills. Despite the huge idle capacity, more coolers were still being supplied in the region by development agencies. We argue that in a milk deficit region such as Western Kenya, the investment policy and priority is to target and focus on increasing volumes and capacity building of both farmers and cooperatives on management / agribusiness skills rather than expansion of more storage facilities. The study also revealed that cooperatives buy milk from farmers at between KES 30 to KES 55, which as already mentioned, is the highest price offered by cooperatives in the country, yet unlike their counter parts in Central Kenya who are paid much lower price, farmers in Western are not responding positively to this attractive milk market. Constraints facing cooperatives were identified as:

---

**Table 2. Problems associated with milk suppliers as perceived by consumers**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Problem</th>
<th>Farmer</th>
<th>Travelling trader</th>
<th>Dairy Cooperative</th>
<th>Milk bar</th>
<th>Supermarket</th>
<th>Shop</th>
<th>Respondents</th>
<th>Frequency (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adulteration</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>65.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical dirt</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Addition of chemicals</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk turns sour</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk clots on boiling</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Compiled from field data

---

**Fig. 2a. Preferences for milk products among consumers (P<0.05)**

**Fig. 2b. Preferred attribute for milk supplier**
low milk supply, farmer apathy, delayed or defaulted payments, mismanagement, low technical, financial and business skills, competition from other buyers and high operational costs. Similar results have been reported in Kenya by Limo et al. (2011) and Ortmann and King (2007) on South African Cooperatives. To be efficient and remain relevant and competitive in this post liberalization era, cooperatives indeed must upgrade from horizontal to vertical coordination through embracing modern functions. Bijman (2007) suggests that such modern functions include quality guarantees, enhancing logistics, information exchange, process and product innovation. To what extent milk cooperatives in Kenya are prepared to play this new role in the value chain is a subject of further research.

### Making choices on markets

This study identified four main milk markets/buyers which included households, hotels, institutions and cooperatives. Using the USAID end market analysis tool kit (2008), from the variables indicated in Table 5, though households and hotels offer good prices, these markets are unsustainable, scattered and unable to absorb increased volumes in an upgrading strategy designed to increase milk production since the study revealed that the mean volume bought by households, hotels and institutions were 1 litre, 5 litres and 23 litres respectively. We argue that cooperatives, though unpopular and comparatively buy milk at lower price in the region are the better option.

### SWOT of milk market

The results of the SWOT analysis of the milk market are shown in Table 4. The findings of this study show that the main strengths of the Western Kenya milk market is the availability of coolers which at the moment are not being fully utilized by local farmers to take advantage of prevailing opportunities of high milk prices, unmet demand and growing population. The biggest weakness was found to be inadequate milk supply. With a population of 4.3 million people (GOK, 2009), using FAO recommendations for milk consumption of 0.25 litres/person per day, the demand for milk in the region is about 392 million litres/year while the deficit is 177 million litres. Therefore the region’s milk deficit is about 177 million litres per annum. From this study, 53% of milk comes from outside, which translates to 94 million litres. The low quality milk is another weakness and in addition to idle capacity, if not urgently addressed could not only expose the market to threat of competition from milk that comes from outside the region but also lead to total collapse of key market institutions such as farmer cooperatives.

### Conclusion and Recommendation

The findings of this study show that the milk market in Western Kenya offers the highest raw milk price/litre in the country at K shs 60. The market is growing and has a demand of 392 million litres/year while the deficit is 177 million litres/year. Farmers and traveling traders supply about 58% of total milk traded, with 70% of the milk passing through the informal channel. Problems associated with milk suppliers as perceived by consumers included adulteration with water (65.5%), addition of chemicals (18%) and physical dirt (13.5%). There was a significant correlation between channel and milk contamination (p<0.05). The main milk markets and buyers included households, hotels, institutions and cooperatives. Consumers preferred quality (56%), price (27%), quantity (9%), packaging (5%) and reliability (3%) as attributes influencing choice of milk supplier. Based on these findings, marketing strategy in the region should prioritize...
quality and pricing. Though households, hotels and institutions offered good prices, they were unsustainable, scattered and unable to absorb increased volumes in an upgrading strategy designed to increase milk production. It is recommended that cooperatives, though comparatively buy milk at lower price, are the better option. However, the multiple challenges facing dairy cooperatives must first be addressed to prepare them for leadership in the milk value chain.

Acknowledgement

This study was made possible through the cooperation and collaboration of several enumerators, households, hotels, institutions, the county directors and district livestock production officers, Kenya dairy Board, Milk cooperatives in Busia, Bungoma, Kakamega and Vihiga counties of Western Kenya. The study was funded by East African Agricultural Productivity Project. This paper is submitted for publication with permission from Kenyatta University.

REFERENCES


Nairobi: Ministry of state for planning, National development and Vision 2030.


