Rice Husk Ash Derived Zeolite Blended with Water Hyacinth Ash for Enhanced Adsorption of Cadmium Ions
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ABSTRACT

In order to help curtail or impose sustained control to the offensive water hyacinth plant, it is essential to explore ways of generating water remediation materials from it. In the current study, the capacity and efficacy of water hyacinth ash (WHA), its insoluble residue (WHAR) and rice husk ash (RHA) to remove cadmium ions and methylene blue from contaminated water was investigated. Mixtures of the two ashes were used to formulate zeolitic materials by hydrothermal reactions. Material A, ZMA was prepared by using rice husk ash and the soluble portion of WHA while for material B, ZMB a mixture of equal amounts the two ashes including the insoluble fraction of WHA were used. Batch experiments was carried out to determine the effect of metal ion concentration, initial pH, contact time (t), temperature (T), shaking speed and adsorbent dose on percentage removal of Cd\(^{2+}\) and methylene blue by the ashes and their zeolitic products. The data obtained for adsorption of Cd\(^{2+}\) on RHA, ZMA, and ZMB was found to best fit in the Langmuir isotherm model while WHA and WHAR data best fitted in the Freundlich model. Adsorption capacities for cadmium on RHA, WHA, WHAR, ZMA and ZMB adsorbents ions were 3.745, 52.00, 56.89, 11.24 and 22.22 mg/g respectively. The findings showed that incorporating the WHAR during synthesis of the zeolitic material enhanced its adsorption capacity and efficiency for Cd (II) ions and methylene blue.
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INTRODUCTION

17.2 million People in Kenya who constitute about 43 percent of the population have no access clean water. The major factors contributing to this water crisis include rapid population growth, droughts, forest degradation, poor management of water supply and water contamination. This water crisis may worsen as industrial development and population grows as projected if drastic measures are not taken immediately. The water hyacinth menace has further complicated the issue by not only contaminating our water bodies but also rendering many Kenya lakes idle with minimal economic activities taking place. The rapid population growth both in rural and urban areas has stressed the existing water supply systems¹. This accompanied by unsuitable water supply infrastructure has hindered access to water by the poor in many developing countries². There has been remarkable growth in light and informal (jua kali) industries like textiles, leather, paper, plastics, electroplating, cement, metal processing, wood preservatives, paints, pigments and steel fabricating industries³. These industries discharge large quantities of toxic wastes⁴. Recent studies in Kenya have reported that the open-air mechanical workshops are significant sources of mobile and bioavailable heavy metal contaminants¹,². Several processes exist for removing dissolved heavy metals, including ion exchange, precipitation, ultrafiltration, reverse osmosis, electrodialysis and adsorption⁵. Many of these approaches demand high energy, advanced operational requirement or difficult to treat and do not enable recovery of metals
or material. It is therefore most desirable to explore possibilities of developing cheap water treatment materials and curbing or putting water hyacinth under maintained control in tandem. The findings herein suggest that rice husk and water hyacinth can be used as raw materials for synthesizing adsorbent materials for heavy metal removal from contaminated water.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Chemicals**

A stock solution of cadmium with a concentration of 1000 mg/L was prepared by dissolving 2.745 g of cadmium nitrate in 1000 mL distilled water in volumetric flask. The solution was then diluted to obtain standard solutions containing 0.1, 0.2, 0.4, 0.8, 1.6 and 3.2 ppm. Concentrated Nitric acid and sodium hydroxide solutions were used throughout experiments to adjust the pH of the solution.

**Rice husk ash, water hyacinth ash and water hyacinth ash residue preparation**

Water hyacinth plants were obtained from Nairobi dam. They were then transported to the laboratory where they were extensively washed with tap water to remove soil and dust, sliced into pieces and then air dried for one week using a procedure already documented by 4. Ashing was done using an oven at a temperature of 950°C for five hours. The ash was mixed to obtain a composite sample. Samples were sieved to obtain particles of the same size. Fig I shows a sample of water hyacinth ash, WHA. Water hyacinth ash residue (WHAR) was prepared by dissolving 50 g of water hyacinth ash in 250 cm³ of distilled water. The mixture was shaken for five minutes and allowed to settle. Filtration was done using whatman No. filter paper. The residue was then sun dried for five hours. Rice husks were provided by Euros rice millers in Kirinyaga County, Mwea west district. They were then washed several times with distilled water to remove soil and dust, followed by filtration and then dried at 100°C. The clean and dry rice husks were burnt in an oven at 500°C for 3hrs to obtain ash. Figure II shows a picture of a sample of rice husk ash, RHA.

**Potassium hydroxide solution**

1M potassium hydroxide was generated from water hyacinth ash by dissolving 50g of WHA and filtering off the residue. Titration experiments were done to determine the concentration of the alkaline solution using 0.1M hydrochloric acid.

**Synthesis of zeolitic material, ZMA**

25 g of rice husk ash was conditioned into three stainless steel digestion bomb. 250 mL of potassium hydroxide solution was added on this ash; the bomb was closed and introduced into a pre-heated oven at 200°C for a period of 24 hrs. The contents were then allowed to cool and filtered. The solid residue was washed with distilled water to remove the excess alkali and dried at 105°C for 12 hrs. The solid residue was designated as ZMA and is shown in Fig 3.

**Preparation of zeolitic material B, ZMB**

For the preparation of ZMB, 50g of rice husk ash and 50g of water hyacinth ash were put in a reaction bomb. 250 mL of distilled water was added to the mixture. The bomb was put in a preheated oven at 200°C for 12 hrs. The formed solid was washed with hot distilled water to remove the excess alkali. It was then dried at 105°C for 12 hrs. The samples were designated as ZMB and shown in fig 4.

**Preparation of standard and test solution of Cd²⁺**

A known mass, 2.745g of analytical grade cadmium nitrate Cd(NO₃)₂ was dissolved in 200 mL of distilled water. The resulting solution was diluted to 1000 mL mark using distilled water. This was the 1000 ppm stock solution of cadmium. Standard solutions were prepared by successive dilution of the stock solution.

**Instrumentation**

The Cd²⁺ ion concentrations in the various solutions were determined using atomic absorption spectrophotometer model AAS 4141, ECIL, India at wave length 283.3 nm in flame mode using air-acetylene flame. The pH meter, model PHEP, Hanna instrument, Italy, was used in this study between pH ranges 2-12 at a temperature of 22.7°C and UV-visible spectrometer. The concentrations were determined in triplicates. A standard and blank sample was run after every seven samples to check instrumental drift. Calibration curve method was used to quantify the heavy metal concentration.
Batch experiments

A temperature-controlled water-bath shaker (DKZ-1 NO.1007827) was used for the batch adsorption experiments. The experiments were performed at the same shaking speed. For each experimental run, 50 mL aqueous solution of known concentrations of Cd$^{2+}$ ion were put in 120 mL plastic bottles that contained known masses of RHA, ZMA, ZMB, WHA and WHAR. These bottles were agitated at a constant shaking rate of 150 rpm and temperature of 25°C, centrifuged and filtered. The concentration of Cd$^{2+}$ ions in the filtrates obtained were measured using flame atomic adsorption spectrometry. Amount of Cd$^{2+}$ ions adsorbed per unit mass of adsorbed and the percentage of Cd$^{2+}$ ions removed were calculated using the equations 1 and 2 respectively.

\[
q_e = \frac{(C_0 - C_e)}{m} V \quad \text{(1)}
\]

\[
R = \frac{100(C_0 - C_e)}{C_0} \quad \text{(2)}
\]

Where,
- $q_e$ = Amount of Cd$^{2+}$ ions adsorbed per unit mass of adsorbed at equilibrium.
- $C_0$ = Initial concentration of sorbate.
- $C_e$ = Concentration of sorbate at equilibrium.
- $m$ = mass of sorbate (atomic mass).
- $V$ = volume of solution.

Effect of the various parameters on the percentage of Cd$^{2+}$ ions adsorbed

The effects of various parameters (adsorbent dose, contact time, initial concentrations, pH and temperature) on the percentage of Cd$^{2+}$ ions adsorbed were investigated by varying the parameter of interest while keeping all the others constant. The effect of initial concentration was investigated by varying the initial concentration from 10 to 500 ppm at same conditions of: 0.1 g of adsorbents, temperature of 25°C, agitation speed of speed of 150 rpm, contact time of 24 hrs. The effect of adsorbent dosage was investigated by varying the doses from 0.02 to 2.5 g at same conditions of: 10 ppm Cd$^{2+}$ ion solutions for WHA, RHA and ZMA and 100 ppm for WHAR and ZMB, pH 5, and contact time of 24 hrs. The effect of contact time was investigated by varying contact time from 1 to 14400 min at same conditions of: 0.1 g of adsorbents, 10 ppm Cd$^{2+}$ ion solutions for WHA, RHA and ZMA and 100 ppm for WHAR and ZMB, temperature of 25°C, agitation speed of speed of 150 rpm, pH 5. The effect of pH was investigated by varying pH from 2 to 12 at same conditions of: 0.1 g of adsorbents, 10 ppm Cd$^{2+}$ ion solutions for WHA, RHA and ZMA and 100 ppm for WHAR and ZMB, temperature of 25°C, agitation speed of speed of 150 rpm, contact time 2 hrs.

Removal of methylene blue dye from water

A stock solution of methylene blue of concentration 1000 ppm was prepared by dissolving 1 g of methylene blue in a 100 ml volumetric flask using distilled water. The solution was shaken to obtain homogeneity. Solutions of various concentrations were obtained by dilution. The effect of the amount of methylene blue adsorbed was studied by agitating different concentrations of 50 ml of coloured water with 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, and 0.5 g of WHA, WHAR, RHA, ZMA and ZMB for two hours. The solution was then filtered using whatman No. 1 filter paper and the colour in water was determined using UV Spectrophotometer. All these studies were conducted at 25°C and agitation speed of 150 rpm.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Samples characterization

The chemical compositions of RHA, WHA, WHAR, ZMA, and ZMB were determined by XRF and are shown in tables 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 respectively. As shown in table 1, RHA is a good source of SiO$_2$ and Al$_2$O$_3$ as it contained 77% and 12% respectively. WHA had 35.8% of K$_2$O followed by 27% of CaO and Cl at 21% hence serving as a good source of KOH base.

Effect of the initial concentrations of Cd$^{2+}$ ions

The percentage of Cd$^{2+}$ ions adsorbed by ZMA, ZMB, WHA, RHA and WHAR was significantly influenced by the initial concentration of Cd$^{2+}$ ions in aqueous solutions. The initial Cd$^{2+}$ concentration was varied from 10 ppm to 500 ppm while maintaining the adsorbent dosage at 0.1 g. Figure 5 shows the effect of initial concentration on percentage removal of Cd$^{2+}$ ions. The percentage removal of Cd$^{2+}$ increased from 86.2% to 99.75% for RHA, 85.45% to 99.93% for ZMA, 99.0% to 98.1% WHAR, 50.5% to 99.5%
for WHA and 97.8% to 99.4% for ZMB at the same contact time and adsorption temperature. Further increase in concentration lead to a decrease in percentage removal of cadmium ions due to an increase in the number of Cd\(^{2+}\) ions for the fixed amount of adsorbent.

Effects of temperature on the adsorption of cadmium ions

The effect of temperature on the removal of Cd\(^{2+}\) ions by WHA, RHA, WHAR, ZMA and ZMB at initial solution concentration of 10 ppm for RHA, WHA and ZMA and 100ppm for WHAR and ZMB, using 0.1 g and contact time of 120min and agitation speed of 150rpm are shown in figure 6. From the results, it was observed that the percentage removal of cadmium ions by WHA, WHAR and ZMB remained constant with increase in temperature. There was a rapid decrease in percentage removal of cadmium ion by RHA and ZMA when the temperature was increased from 298k to 374k. The observed initial decrease in cadmium removal with increasing temperature suggests weak binding interaction between the active sites and cadmium ions which support physisorption which are exothermic and therefore favoured by low temperature\(^1\).

Effect of adsorbent dosage on adsorption of Cadmium ions

Experiments were conducted with the adsorbent dose of 0.02, 0.04, 0.06, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0 and 2.5g per 50mL of test solution. The percentage removal of cadmium ions by WHA, WHAR and ZMB remained constant with increase in temperature. There was a rapid decrease in percentage removal of cadmium ion by RHA and ZMA when the temperature was increased from 298k to 374k. The observed initial decrease in cadmium removal with increasing temperature suggests weak binding interaction between the active sites and cadmium ions which support physisorption which are exothermic and therefore favoured by low temperature\(^1\).

Table 1: XRF analysis for Rice husk ash, RHA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Al(_2)O(_3)</th>
<th>SiO(_2)</th>
<th>K(_2)O</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>TiO(_2)</th>
<th>MnO</th>
<th>Fe(_2)O(_3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% oxide</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>77%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>0.97%</td>
<td>0.21%</td>
<td>7.21%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: XRF analysis for Water hyacinth ash, WHA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Al(_2)O(_3)</th>
<th>P(_2)O(_5)</th>
<th>Cl</th>
<th>K(_2)O</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>MnO</th>
<th>Fe(_2)O(_3)</th>
<th>ZnO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% oxide</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>35.8%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
solution. When the addition of the adsorbent dose increased, the percentage removal of metal ions also increased as shown in Fig 7. Adsorption of cadmium ions increased due to an increase in the number of binding sites as the adsorbent dose increased. A maximum removal of $99.99\%$ at $2.0\text{g}$ ZMA, $99.40\%$ at $2.5\text{g}$ RHA, $99.12\%$ at $0.1\text{g}$ ZMB, $100\%$ at $1.5\text{g}$ WHA and WHAR was observed. A further increase in the adsorbent dose did not have any significant effect on the removal of cadmium ions from the solution.

**Effect of contact time on percentage removal of cadmium ion**

Experiments were conducted by varying contact time from 1 to 1440 min while maintaining all other experimental conditions constant. The results obtained are shown in Fig. 8. As shown the adsorption process occurred rapidly when WHA, WHAR and ZMB were used with almost $100\%$ of the cadmium present adsorbed after 1 min. There was an overlap between ZMB and WHA. ZMA achieved $66\%$ removal after 30 min, $95\%$ after 90 min and reached equilibrium within 2 hrs while RHA achieved $72\%$ removal by 30 min, $99\%$ by 90 min and reached equilibrium within 2 hrs. This suggests that the bonding of the cadmium ion to active sites occurs preferably on the solid surface.

**Effects of PH on percentage removal of cadmium ion**

The effect of pH on the removal efficiency of cadmium ions is studied from pH 2 to 12. The percentage removal was found to be $99.73\%$ for RHA at pH 4, $99.32\%$ for ZMA at pH 9, $99.7\%$ for water hyacinth ash at pH 9, $99.12\%$ for WHA and ZMB at pH 7 and 9 as shown in Figure 9. At low pH, carboxylic and amino functional groups of adsorbents are protonated, thus active sites are less available for metal ion binding and thus cadmium ions were less absorbed. Thereafter, the percentage...
Table 3: XRF analysis for Water hyacinth ash residual, WHAR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Al₂O₃</th>
<th>SiO₂</th>
<th>K₂O</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>MnO</th>
<th>Fe₂O₃</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% oxide</td>
<td>4 %</td>
<td>9.9 %</td>
<td>9.9 %</td>
<td>54.8 %</td>
<td>15.9 %</td>
<td>5.9 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: XRF analysis for Zeolitic material A, ZMA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Al₂O₃</th>
<th>SiO₂</th>
<th>K₂O</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>TiO₂</th>
<th>MnO</th>
<th>Fe₂O₃</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% oxide</td>
<td>7.8 %</td>
<td>75 %</td>
<td>3.3 %</td>
<td>1.1 %</td>
<td>1.3 %</td>
<td>0.45 %</td>
<td>10.45 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: XRF analysis for Zeolitic material B, ZMB

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Al₂O₃</th>
<th>SiO₂</th>
<th>K₂O</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>TiO₂</th>
<th>MnO</th>
<th>Fe₂O₃</th>
<th>P₂O₅</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% oxide</td>
<td>10.52 %</td>
<td>76.22%</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>1.76 %</td>
<td>0.04 %</td>
<td>2.08 %</td>
<td>2.01%</td>
<td>4.63 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Langmuir and Freundlich isotherm constants for cadmium

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>sample</th>
<th>q_max mg/g</th>
<th>b dm³/g</th>
<th>R²</th>
<th>1/n</th>
<th>K_f mg/g</th>
<th>R²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RHA</td>
<td>3.745</td>
<td>5.03</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>0.785</td>
<td>7.59</td>
<td>0.674</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHA</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.208</td>
<td>0.934</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>52.00</td>
<td>0.979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHAR</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.842</td>
<td>1.486</td>
<td>56.89</td>
<td>0.992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZMA</td>
<td>11.24</td>
<td>22.25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.385</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.908</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZMB</td>
<td>22.22</td>
<td>0.303</td>
<td>0.998</td>
<td>5.103</td>
<td>5.103</td>
<td>0.987</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 8: Effect of contact time on the percentage removal of Cd²⁺ ions by WHA, RHA, WHAR, ZMA and ZMB (initial solution concentration = 10 ppm for RHA, WHA and ZMA and 100 ppm for WHAR and ZMB, adsorbent dose = 0.1g, agitation speed = 150rpm, pH=5)

Fig. 9: Effect of contact time on the percentage removal of Cd²⁺ ions by WHA, RHA, WHAR, ZMA and ZMB (initial solution concentration = 10 ppm for RHA, WHA and ZMA and 100 ppm for WHAR and ZMB, adsorbent dose = 0.1g, agitation speed = 150rpm, contact time = 2hrs
removal of cadmium ions decreased with increase in PH. This is as a result of increase in OH ions cause a decrease in adsorption of metal ions at adsorbent adsorbate interface.

**Langmuir and Freundlich isotherm constants for cadmium**

As shown in Table 6, adsorption data for WHA and WHAR best fitted in Freundlich model with $R^2 = 0.979$ and 0.992 respectively while RHA ZMA and ZMB best fitted to the Langmuir model with $R^2 = 0.999$, 1 and 0.998. WHAR and WHA had the highest affinity for Cd ions with adsorption capacity of 56.89 and 52.00mg/g respectively. They also had a $1/n$ value greater than one indicating the adsorption process was favourable in Freundlich isotherm model. ZMA and ZMB had adsorption capacity of 11.24 and 22.22mg/g respectively indicating that incorporating the insoluble WHAR in the zeolitic synthesis enhances the materials adsorption for Cd ions. The study showed that ZMA, ZMB, WHA, WHAR and RHA could effectively remove cadmium ions and that adsorption was enhanced in WHAR blended ZMB.
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